

February 15, 2013

Dori Wiggin NH Wetlands Bureau PO Box 95 Concord, NH 03302-0095

Dear Ms. Wiggin,

Enclosed is the application of Exeter Mills, LLC, requesting a permit to construct a 6' X 20' fixed pier and access ramp and associated gangway and float. The proposed project is to take place at 10 Chestnut Street, Exeter, NH on the owner's property. The proposed docking system is intended for private recreational access to the Squamscott River and the greater Piscataqua River watershed. This dock will provide access for canoes, kayaks, etc. for the residents of the Exeter Mills Apartments. We do not believe there are any negative impacts associated with this proposed project. An application has been submitted to the NH Division of Historical Resources for review, to comply with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Also a copy of the application has been sent to the NH Division of Ports & Harbors and the Local River Advisory Committee for review of navigational issues. Thank you for considering this application.

Sincerely,

Zachary Taylor Director of Operations, Riverside & Pickering Marine

cc: Local Rivers Advisory Committee NH Division of Ports & Harbors NH Division of Historical Places Owner

> Office: 207-451-9229 Fax: 207-703-0354 P.O. Box 368 Eliot, Maine 03903 www.RiversideandPickering.com A division of Riverside Marine Construction Inc.

	29 Hazen Dri	IRONMENTA ES MANAGE OS BUREAL ive, PO Box 99 H 03302-0095 7 Fax: (603) on/divisions/w o://des.nh.gov/	AL SERVICES EMENT J 5 271-6588 vater/wetlands/index /onestop/index.htm		
Administrative Use Only	Administrative Use Only	Ac	Iministrative Use Only		
1. REVIEW TIME AND IMPACT TY		etermine revi	ew time and impa	ct type.	
Expedited Review, Minimum Impa	act Standard Review,	Minimum Impa	act 🛛 Stand	ard Rev	view, Minor or Major Impact
2. PROJECT LOCATION:					
ADDRESS: 10 Chestnut Street			TOWN	CITY: E	xeter
TAX MAP: 64	BLOCK:		LOT: 51		UNIT:
LOCATION COORDINATES: N 177,017	.42 E1,176,884.23		Latitude/Longitud	de 🔲 (	UTM 🛛 State Plane
3. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMA	TION:	1.2	12 - 2.1		
NAME: Exeter Mills, LLC					
EMAIL or FAX: * See Applicant Info PHONE: * See Applicant Info					cant Info
MAILING ADDRESS: 8 Newmarket Roa	ad, Suite 2				
TOWN/CITY: Durham			STATE: NH		ZIP CODE: 03824
4. APPLICANT INFORMATION:					
NAME: Zachary Taylor, Riverside & Pick	ering Marine Contractors				
EMAIL or FAX: zach@riversideandpicke	ring.com		PHONE: (20	)7) 451-9	0229
MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 368					
TOWN/CITY: Eliot			STATE: ME		ZIP CODE: 03903
5. AGENT INFORMATION:					
NAME:		COMPA	NY NAME:		
EMAIL or FAX: PHONE:					
MAILING ADDRESS:					
TOWN/CITY:			STATE:		ZIP CODE:
Wetlands Permit Application - 01.	/01/2012 Page 1 of	6			

6. CHECK BOX TO INDICATE APPLICABLE PROJECT TYPES:							
Excluding culverts a of a lake/pond of wh page 1 do NOT own lake/pond.	ich the property or	wner(s) listed on	wetland, p tidal buffe owned by	wetland, prime wetland buffer, tidal water, salt mash, sand dune, tidal buffer zone or in a pond of which the entire bed and banks are owned by the property owner(s) listed on page 1.			
Dock construction, r a RIVER.	naintenance, repa	ir or replacement of	on All culvert replacem		ction, maintenance, r	epair or	
7. PROJECT DESCR	RIPTION:						
<ol> <li>Construct and install the pier leading down to 2) The size of the parce</li> </ol>	a 6'X20' fixed pier a 10'X20' float lo	and associated accated by moorings	ccess ramp with a 4	4'X30' arched alumir	num gangway installe	ed at the end of	
8. INDICATE AREA OF PROPOSED IMPACTS FOR EACH RESOURCE:							
						I	
Resource:	Permanent Sq. Ft.	Permanent Lin. Ft.	Temporary Sq. Ft.	Temporary Lin. Ft.	After-the-fact Sq. Ft.	After-the-fact Lin. Ft.	
Resource: Forested wetland							
		Lin. Ft.		Lin. Ft.		Lin. Ft.	
Forested wetland		Lin. Ft. -		Lin. Ft.		Lin. Ft.	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland		Lin. Ft. - -		Lin. Ft.		Lin. Ft. - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland		Lin. Ft. - -		Lin. Ft.		Lin. Ft. - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow		Lin. Ft. - - - -		Lin. Ft. - - - -		Lin. Ft. - - - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog		Lin. Ft. - - - -		Lin. Ft		Lin. Ft. - - - - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland		Lin. Ft. - - - - - -		Lin. Ft		Lin. Ft. - - - - - - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. - - - - - - -	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal water	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Lin. Ft. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal water Salt Marsh	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. 		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal water Salt Marsh Sand dune	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. 		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal Buffer Zone Salt Marsh Sand dune Intermittent Stream	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. 		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal water Salt Marsh Sand dune Intermittent Stream Perennial Stream	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. 		Lin. Ft	
Forested wetland Scrub-shrub wetland Emergent wetland Wet meadow Bog Prime wetland Prime wetland buffer Docking structure Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal Buffer Zone Tidal water Salt Marsh Sand dune Intermittent Stream Perennial Stream	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft	Sq. Ft.	Lin. Ft. 		Lin. Ft	

r

4

Wetlands Permit Application - 01/01/2012

Page 2 of 6

Total		180		320				
9 - 1	3. IF APPLI	CABLE, PROVIDE:						
9.	Cubic yards	of proposed sand for be	each replenishr	ment:				
10.	Cubic yards	of proposed dredge ma	terial for surfac	e water dredge:				
11.	Contributing	watershed size(s) of im	pacted stream	(s) and river(s) (acres	or square miles):			
12.		ical Survey Topograph	c Map Waterb	ody name: Squams	cott River			
13.	13.     ONLY     (a)     (b)     (a) + (b) / 2 =       Required for docking     Straight line distance pin to pin (lin. ft.)     Actual natural navigable shoreline pin to pin (lin. ft.)     Shoreline Frontage (lin. ft.):							
structures 681.35' +/- 779' 730.175'								
14.	APPLICATI	ON FEE:						
		t, Expedited Review Ap	plication: Flat f	fee of \$ 200				
- OR		or Major Impact, Stand	lard Review Ar	polication: Complete c	alculation below			
		d permanent impacts:			sq. ft.	X	\$0.20 =	
	oorary Docking				<u> </u>	X		320.00
Perm	anent Docking	J Structure:			<u>180</u> sq. ft.	Х		360.00
				Projects proposing s		add \$200	) or NA =	200.00
	Total =							
		The	Application Fe	e is above calculated	Total or \$200, which	never is	greater =	\$880.00
15.	INDICATE R	ELATED FILE / APPR	OVAL NO. AN	D STATUS:				
Exist	ing Wetlands E	Bureau file no. this appl	ication is replace	cing:				
Wetla	ands Bureau ei	nforcement (subject an	d abutting prop	perties):				
Wetla	Wetlands Bureau emergency authorization (subject property):							
Wetlands Bureau denials (subject and abutting properties):								
Wetlands Bureau withdrawals (subject and abutting properties):								
Wetlands Bureau approvals (subject and abutting properties):								
Shoreland Program waiver or permit:								
Alteration of Terrain Bureau:								
Watershed Management Program:								
Subsurface Systems Bureau:								
Othe	Other NHDES Programs and Bureaus:							
City/	Town:							
State	Agencies:							
	ral Agencies:	Application - 01/01/201	0					
v V (	saanus remmu	Application - 01/01/20	2	Page 3 of 6				

.

Link to all NHDES Programs, Bureaus and Units: http://des.nh.gov/programs/index.htm

# 16. THE SUBMITTED APPLICATION WILL BE RETURNED TO YOU IF THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS NOT PROVIDED:

- 🖾 A. Attach application fee, check or money order payable to the NH DES Wetlands Bureau (RSA 482-A:3,I & Env-Wt 505.01(c))
- 🖾 B. Applicant and Town Clerk signatures, numbers 19 and 23 on pages 5 and 6 of this form (Env-Wt 501.01(d)&(e) & 505.01(m)&(o))
- ⊠ C. Narrative description of the proposed project, number 7 on page 2 of this form (Env-Wt 501.02(a) & 505.01(l))
- D. Documentation from Department of Resources and Economic Development's Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) indicating that NHB has reviewed your project. Documentation can be obtained online at: <u>https://www2.des.state.nh.us/nhbdatacheck/</u> or by phone (603) 271-2215 x 323. Please attach the REQUIRED letter/memo and map provided by NHB.
- E. Attach a U. S. Geological Survey topographic map upon which the property lines and project limits have been outlined. The map must be at an <u>unaltered</u> scale of 1:24,000 or 1" = 2,000 feet (1:25,000 metric map). (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(4) & 505.01(g))
- ☑ F. Attach a legible copy or tracing of the tax map from the municipal office (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(1)& 505.01(e))
- G. Attach legible and labeled color photographs clearly depicting the jurisdictional areas to be impacted, the resource outside of impact area, any shoreline structures and culvert inlet/outlets (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(3) & 505.01(i))
- H. Attach overview, cross-section and profile plans as applicable (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(2) & 505.01(h))

100 million (100	17A. INFORMATION RE	EQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF TECHNICAL REVIEW FOR ALL PROJECTS:
ſ	A. Is the project within	a ¼ mile of a designated river? http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/rivers/desigriv.htm
		1. Indicate river: _Exeter/Squamscott
		2. As required by RSA 482-A:3,I(d)(2), I have notified the Local River Advisory Committee
		(http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/rivers/lac/index.htm) by sending a copy of the complete application and supporting materials via certified mail on: Day: 2 Month: <u>19</u> Year: <u>201</u> 3
l		application and supporting materials via certified mail on: Day: <u>2</u> Month: <u>19</u> Year: <u>201</u> 3 3. Attach a copy of the certified mail receipt with your application submittal (RSA 482-A:3,I(d)(2))
I		U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New Hampshire Programmatic General Permit (PGP) Appendix B – Required
STATES OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Information and Cor	ps Secondary Impact Checklist (Attachment B).
	C. Does the project real	quire compensatory mitigation pursuant to Env-Wt 302.03?
	If yes, attach mater	nov/organization/commissioner/pip/forms/wetlands/documents/mitigation_form.doc
CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	D. Attach a copy or tra property, and the lo list of abutters' nam	ucing of the town tax map showing the property of the applicant, the location of the proposed project on the cation of properties of abutters with each lot labeled with the abutter's name(s) and mailing address(es); or a es and mailing addresses to cross-reference with the tax map (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(1)& 505.01(f)). Abutter ons see Env-Wt 501.01(c)
	E. Attach a construction	on sequence (Env-Wt 501.02(a)(5) & 505.01)
	F. Is/are (a) waiver(s)	to wetland rules being requested? Y N If yes, attach the waiver request(s) as outlined in Env-Wt 204
	residential access f	ssing projects, attach a response to Env-Wt 904.01, General Design Considerations (except single family for Tier 1 and Tier 2 crossings pursuant to Env-Wt 303.04(z) & 901.03(e))
	permission to act o	ot the property owner, attach a written letter of permission from the property owner granting the applicant n their behalf
	If ves, attach the si	within 20 feet of an abutting property line or imaginary extension thereof over surface water? □Y ⊠ N gned permission letter(s) from the affected abutters (Env-Wt 304.04). This letter must be notarized if your cking facility (RSA 482-A:3-XIII(c))
	17B. INFORMATION F	REQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF TECHNICAL REVIEW FOR MINIMUM IMPACT PROJECTS:
	J. Refer to Attachmer Requirements, an	It A: Determination for Project Impact Classification & Review Time, Section 2: Minimum Impact Project d indicate the letter for the Minimum Impact rule that describes your project: <b>Env-Wt 303.04</b>
	attachment.	ges from Attachment A: Determination for Project Impact Classification & Review Time, as instructed on the
	L. Attach a statement	demonstrating need for the proposed project (Pursuant to Env-Wt 302.03)
	M. Attach a statement under the departme	demonstrating that the proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments ent's jurisdiction in accordance with Env-Wt 302.03. (Env-Wt 505.01(d)&(y)).
	17C. INFORMATION I	REQUIRED FOR COMPLETION OF TECHNICAL REVIEW FOR MINOR AND MAJOR IMPACT PROJECTS:
		to questions outlined in Env-Wt 302.04(a)
		ol survey and report (Env-Wt 302.04(a))
	$\square$ P. If a wetland deline	ation is required, provide a NH Certified Wetland Scientist Stamp on attached plans (Env-Wt 301.01)

Wetlands Permit Application - 01/01/2012

18. SEE LISTED WETLAND RULE(S) FOR APPLICABLE PROJEC	CT TYPE(S) PLAN REQUIREMENTS:
Minimum	Env-Wt 505.01
⊠ Minor and Major	Env-Wt 501.02
	Env-Wt 304.09(c)
Vegetative & riprap bank stabilization (river, stream, lake, pond)	Env-Wt 404.03 & 404.04
Retaining Walls	Env-Wt 404.05
Shoreline	Env-Wt 501.02(c)
🖾 Tidal	Env-Wt 501.02(b)
Protected Shoreland (SWQP)	Env-Wt 501.02(d)
□ New Tier 1 Stream Crossing (Exempt: Env-Wt 303.04(z))	Env-Wt 903.03(a) & 904.01
Tier 1 stream crossing or replacement	Env-Wt 903.03(a) & 904.01
New Tier 2 stream crossing (Exempt: Env-Wt 303.04(z))	Env-Wt 903.03(a), 904.01, 904.03 (e), 904.03(f)
Tier 2 stream crossing repair or replacement	Env-Wt 903.03(a), 904.01, 904.03 (e), 904.03(f)
All Tier 3 Stream Crossings	Env-Wt 903.03 (a) & (b) , 904.01, 904.04(d), 904.04(g), 904.05

Wetland Rules Link: http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/legal/rules/documents/env-wt100-900.pdf

### 19. APPLICANT AND AGENT SIGNATURES REQUIRED FOR ALL APPLICATIONS:

By signing the application, I am certifying that:

- 1. All abutters have been identified in accordance with RSA 482-A:3, I and Env-Wt 100-900.
- 2. I have read and provided the required information outlined in Env-Wt 302.04 for the applicable project type.
- 3. I have read and understand Env-Wt 302.03 and have chosen the least impacting alternative.
- Any structure that I am proposing to repair/replace was either previously permitted by the Wetlands Bureau or would be considered grandfathered per Env-Wt 101.44.
- 5. I have submitted a copy of the application materials to the NH State Historic Preservation Officer.
- 6. I authorize the municipal conservation commission to inspect the site of the proposed project.
- 7. I have reviewed the information being submitted and that to the best of my knowledge the information is true and accurate.
- 8. I understand that the willful submission of falsified or misrepresented information to the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services is a criminal act, which may result in legal action.
- 9. I am aware that the work I am proposing may require additional state, local or federal permits.
- 10. The mailing addresses I have provided are up to date and appropriate for receipt of DES correspondence. DES will not forward returned mail.

C Z

Signature of Applicant

Zachary Taylor Print name legibly 2/15/13

Date

Signature of Authorized Agent (if applicable)

Print name legibly

Date

20. ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION:

By initialing here, I the applicant or authorized agent in accordance with RSA 482-A:3, XIV (b), hereby authorize DES to communicate all matters relative to this application electronically with the individual's email addresses provided on pg. 1 of the application:

ΖT

# 21. CONSERVATION COMMISSION SIGNATURE REQUIRED FOR EXPEDITED APPLICATIONS ONLY:

Expedited Applications ONLY require that the Conservation Commission signature is obtained prior to submitting the final application to the Town/City Clerk for signature and mailing to the NHDES Wetlands Bureau. *The Conservation Commission is not required to sign. If the Conservation Commission does not sign this statement for any reason, then the application is not eligible for expedited review and shall be reviewed in the standard review time.* 

The signature below certifies that the municipal conservation commission has reviewed this application, and: 1) waives its right to intervene per RSA 482-A:11; 2) believes that the application and submitted plans accurately represent the proposed project; and 3) has no objection to permitting the proposed work.

Authorized Commission Signature

Print name legibly

Date

#### 22. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL:

#### APPLICANT:

- 1. If sought, obtain the Conservation Commissions signature as outlined above on this page, no. 21;
- 2. Submit the original application form and materials, four copies, application fee check and any required municipal fees (authorized by RSA 482-A:3,I) to the town/city clerk.

#### TOWN/CITY CLERK:

Per RSA 482-A:3,I(d):

- 1. Collect from the applicant the postal receipts demonstrating that all abutters and the Local Advisory Committee were sent proper notice;
- 2. Immediately sign the original application and four copies in the signature space provided on this pg. no. 23 below;
- Retain one copy of the application form and all attachments that will remain with the town/city clerk and will be made reasonably accessible to the public;
- Immediately distribute a copy of the application with attachments to the municipal Conservation Commission, the local governing body (Board of Selectmen or Town/City Council), and the Planning Board in accordance with RSA 482-A:3, I; and
- 5. IMMEDIATELY send the original application materials and filing fee, by CERTIFIED MAIL to the NHDES Wetlands Bureau at the address indicated on the front of this application.

# 23. TOWN/CITY CLERK SIGNATURE REQUIRED FOR ALL APPLICATIONS:

I hereby certify that the applicant has filed five application forms, five detailed plans, and five USGS location maps with the town/city indicated below. As required by Chapter 482-A:3 (amended 1991), and I have received and retained certified postal receipts (or copies) for all abutters identified by the applicant.

Town/City

.

Signature or Town/City Clerk

Print name legibly

Date

### U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Programmatic General Permit (PGP) Appendix B- Corps Secondary Impacts Checklist (for inland wetland/waterway fill projects in New Hampshire)

1. Attach any explanations to this checklist. Lack of information could delay a Corps permit determination. 2. All references to "work" include all work associated with the project construction and operation. Work

1 of 1

includes filling, clearing, flooding, draining, excavation, dozing, stumping, etc.

3. See PGP, GC 5 regarding single and complete projects.

4. Contact the Corps at (978) 318-8832 with any questions.

I. Impaired Waters	Yes	No
1.1 Will any work occur within 1 mile upstream in the watershed of an impaired water? See		
www.des.nh.gov/organization /divisions/water/wmb/section401/impaired waters.htm to determine if there is	YES	
an impaired water in the vicinity of your work area.*		
2. Wetlands		
2.1 Are there are streams, brooks, rivers, ponds, or lakes within 200' of any proposed work?		NO
2.2 Are there proposed impacts to SAS, shellfish beds, special wetlands and vernal pools (see PGP, GC 26 and Appendix A)? Applicants may obtain information from the NH Department of Resources and Economic Development Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) website, <u>www.nhnaturalheritage.org</u> , specifically the book <u>Natural Community Systems of New Hampshire</u> .		NO
2.3 If wetland crossings are proposed, are they adequately designed to maintain hydrology, sediment transport & wildlife passage.	N/A	N/A
2.4 Would the project remove part or all of a riparian buffer? (Riparian buffers are lands adjacent to streams where vegetation is strongly influenced by the presence of water. They are often thin lines of vegetation containing native grasses, flowers, shrubs and/or trees that line the stream banks. They are also called vegetated buffer zones.)		NO
2.5 The overall project site is more than 40 acres.		NO
2.6 What is the size of the existing impervious surface area?	0 sq/	/ft
2.7 What is the size of the proposed impervious surface area?	0 sq/	
2.8 What is the % of the impervious area (new and existing) to the overall project site?		)%
	Yes	No
<ol> <li><u>Wildlife</u></li> <li>3.1 Has the NHB determined that there are known occurrences of rare species, exemplary natural</li> </ol>		
communities, Federal and State threatened and endangered species and habitat, in the vicinity of the proposed project? (All projects require a NHB determination.)	YES	
3.2 Would work occur in an area identified as either Highest Ranked Habitat in N.H." or Highest Ranked Habitat in Ecological Region"? (These areas are colored magenta and green, respectively, on NH Fish and Game's map, "2010 Highest Ranked Wildlife Habitat by Ecological Condition.") Map information can be found at: PDF: www.wildlife.state.nh.us/Wildlife/Wildlife_Plan/highest_ranking_habitat.htm. Data Mapper: www.granit.unh.edu. GIS: www.granit.unh.edu/data/downloadfreedata/category/databycategory.html.	YES	
3.3 Would the project impact more than 20 acres of an undeveloped land block (upland,		N
wetland/waterway) on the entire project site and/or on an adjoining property(s)?		
3.4 Does the project propose more than a 10-lot residential subdivision, or a commercial or industrial development?		NC
3.5 Are stream crossings designed in accordance with the PGP, GC 21.?	N/A	N//
4. Flooding/Floodplain Values	Yes	No
4.1 Is the proposed project within the 100-year floodplain of an adjacent river or stream?	YES	
<ul><li>4.2 If 4.1 is yes, will compensatory flood storage be provided if the project results in a loss of flood storage?</li></ul>	N/A	N/.
5. Historic/Archaeological Resources	Yes	N
If a miner or major impact, has a copy of the Request for Project Review (RPR) Form (www.nh.gov/nhdhr/review) been sent to the NH Division of Historical Resources as required on	YES	

\*Although this checklist utilizes state information, its submittal to the Corps is a Federal requirement.

RIVERSIDE PICKERING

December 13, 2012

To: Town of Exeter NH DES NH Division of Ports and Harbors NH Division of Historical Resources US Army Corps of Engineers

Re: Letter of Agency

To Whom It May Concern:

For the purpose of obtaining permits to construct a docking structure at 10-20 Chestnut Street in Exeter, NH (Map 64 Lot 51) please consider Zachary Taylor, Director of Operations of Riverside & Pickering Marine Contractors, my authorized agent.

Sincerely

Exeter Mills, LLC 8 Newmarket Road, Suite 2 Durham, NH 03824

Office: 207-451-9229 Fax: 207-703-0354 P.O. Box 368 Eliot, Maine 03903 www.RiversideandPickering.com A division of Riverside Marine Construction Inc.

# Exeter Mills, LLC, Exeter, NH: Proposed Docking System

# Wt 302.04 Requirements for Application Evaluation for all major and minor projects.

#### (a)(1) Need:

The owner wishes to provide recreational access to the Squamscott River and the greater Piscataqua River watershed to the tenants of the apartment complex located at 10 Chestnut Street, Exeter, NH. The proposed docking system is intended for recreational access for the residents of the Exeter Mills Apartments to for canoes, kayaks, etc... We do not believe there are any negative impacts associated with this proposed project.

# (a)(2) Least impacting alternative:

Considering the prevailing conditions of the site (further detail provided within the following documents) the proposed project is the least impacting alternative. After thoroughly evaluating the property we have determined that the proposed location of the docking structure will allow the owners to safely span the existing band of salt marsh to reach the water with the minimum square foot impact possible to do so. Construction of the proposed structure will allow for a safe means to cross this sensitive eco-habitat for direct access to the water, which will minimize impact to the wetland from foot traffic and scour damage from dragging vessels to the water. We have reduced the length of the fixed pier as much as possible to limit the permanent impact to the wetlands.

The intertidal substrate on the owner's shorefront is similar in composition to much of the shoreline of the Squamscott River System. The site-specific distance from the HOTL to MLW is approximately 250 ft. Emergent vegetation exists along the entirety of the shoreline (+/-730 ft of average shoreline) which is predominantly found to be S. Alterniflora. The width of the salt marsh vegetation varies greatly along the property but is most narrow along the middle and southern end of the shoreline. Below the terminal edge of the emergent vegetation bed the substrate is predominantly mudflat out to the navigable channel.

The intertidal wetland complex along the shoreline is such that accessing the water without a proper means to traverse the existing emergent vegetation would be a detriment to the health of the resource. The proposed structure will allow the owner and guests the ability to get directly to the river for boating and fishing activities without damaging sensitive intertidal vegetation beds. Only on substantial spring tides would the owner and residents have direct access to the water during high tide without the assistance of a docking structure. Otherwise without the dock they would have to drag and/or moor their vessel in the intertidal area if they are to have access at any tide other than high. Tracking across the fragile salt marsh vegetation could cause substantial damage as well as create a safety liability for the owner.

In the location selected for the construction of the dock there is a +/-26 foot band of low marsh emergent vegetation which will need to be crossed. This specific area was chosen because the emergent vegetation bed was at one of the narrowest points along the shoreline. The southernmost shoreline does have areas with a narrower band of emergent vegetation however our goal was also to keep the dock as far north of the damn as possible to minimize impact to essential fish habitats. In order to protect the existing salt marsh the proposed structure will be built to industry standards with a 1-foot to 1-foot ratio of pier width to distance from the bottom of the longitudinal supports above the substrate inhabiting tidal wetlands vegetation. The decking of the fixed pier will be installed with <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" spacing as well. These design methods should help reduce the affect from sun shading by the new docking structure.

As a further measure to help reduce impact to the resource the ramp and float will be seasonal structures which would be removed and stored in the upland. The ramp would be detached and stored on the pier and the float would be removed and stored either in the upland on the property or at an offsite upland location. The 10' X 20' float will be located by moorings and will have skids installed on the bottom of the float. This will help prevent erosion at the lower ends of the ebb and flood tide as well as prevent any direct impact to marine life inhabiting the substrate below from contact with the floatation drums. There is no evidence of emergent vegetation in the area of indirect impact.

There are other docks located on the Squamscott River System, many of which are of comparable or greater magnitude. The proposed structure would be located directly opposite the existing Town of Exeter and Phillips Academy piers and boat launch. We feel that the proposed project will neither have a negative aesthetic nor cumulative impact from its approval and construction.

(a)(3) Wetland type/classification: The intertidal substrate within the project area consists of an approximately 26 ft band of persistent emergent vegetation bed (E2EM1) transitioning to mud flat (E1US3) out to low water.

(a)(4) Relationship to nearby wetlands & surface waters: See the attached plans.

(a)(5) Rarity: There is no rarity of this type of shoreline.

(a)(6) Surface area of impact: There will be 440 sq/ft of new impact to the wetlands resource. Considering the size and the scope of the project detailed in the following schematics we believe the impact to the resource will be minimal.

# (a)(7) Impact on plants, fish & wildlife:

a. nonec. noneb. noned. none

e. NHB comments are included. We have provided the necessary/additional information requested by Melissa Coppola at NHB. After review of the additional information submitted, Melissa determined that NHB will perform a follow up survey for the spongy-leaved arrowhead (*Sagittaria montevidensis* ssp. *spongiosa*) during the growing in late-May or early June and then provide recommendations on how to avoid and minimize impacts to this state-endangered species. NHB has recommended this due to any survey conducted outside of the growing season cannot truly confirm the presence or absence of this species on site.

Kim Tuttle of NHF&G has also reviewed the project and has determined that they "do not expect impacts to any of the above listed species as habitat does not appear to be affected by the project." Her full comments are included as well.

(a)(8) Impact on public commerce, navigation and recreation: none

(a)(9) Interference with aesthetic interests of the general public: none

(a)(10) Interference or obstruction of public rights of passage or access: none

(a)(12) Benefit to the health, safety, and well being of the general public:

In our opinion, there will be no impact. Neither construction, nor the completed project, will interfere with navigation. Notice of the proposed project has been sent to the NH Division of Ports & Harbors. The Harbor Master's comments will be submitted to the Bureau upon our receipt of them. We do not believe the project will infringe upon the public's access, safety, or well being. The size and location are such that aesthetic interference has been minimized so as not to detract from the natural surroundings of the Squamscott River.

# (a)(11) Impact upon abutting owners:

We believe this project will have no negative impacts on abutters. There are existing piers of comparable magnitude in the river.

(a)(13) Impact on quantity or quality of surface & ground waters:

None. Neither the structure, nor its construction, will offer any opportunity for erosion to occur.

(a)(14) Potential to cause or increase flooding, erosion, or sedimentation:

(a)(15) Extent the project reflects or redirects current or wave energy which might cause damage:

We see no potential for this project to cause these types of problems.

(a)(16) Cumulative impact:

Because of the relatively small scope of the project and the existence of piers in the area of comparable size, we feel that the cumulative impact will be negligible.

(a)(17) Impact on the values and functions of the total wetland complex:

No effect we are able to discern.

(a)(18) Impact upon the value of sites included in or eligible for the National Register of Natural Landmarks:

(a)(19) Impact upon the value of areas named in acts of congress or presidential proclamations as national rivers, national wilderness areas ...:

None.

(a)(20) Redirects water from one watershed to another: N/A.

# RIVERSIDE PICKERING

### **ABUTTER NOTIFICATION**

Dear Abutter,

As required by the NH Dept. of Environmental Services, you are being notified about proposed work at property, which abuts yours. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact this office. We will be glad to discuss any aspect of the proposed project. A copy of the full application sent to the NH Dept. of Environmental Services, Wetlands Bureau will be available for your inspection at your City/Town Clerk's office.

Thank you,

Zachary Taylor Director of Operations, Riverside & Pickering Marine Contractors

Name of property owner (s): Exeter Mills, LLC Location of proposed project/adjacent abutter: 10 Chestnut Street, Exeter, NH Map 64, Lot 51 Brief description of work: Provide 6' X 20' fixed pier with associated gangway and float

ABUTTERS LIST Tax Map & Lot Number

Exeter Tax Map 64, Lot 86 Exeter Mills, LLC 10-20 Chestnut Street Exeter, NH 03833

Exeter River Local Advisory Committee Donald Clement, Chair c/o Theresa Walker, RPC 156 Water Street Exeter, NH 03833 CERTIFIED MAIL #

7010 1060 0001 6248 0639

2070 70P0 0007 8548 0834

7011 2000 0001 7751 9748





Abutter Notifi	cations
For: Exeter Mills, LLC 10-20 Chestnut	RIVERSIDE CONTRACTORS
Street Exeter; NH 03833 Map 64 Lot 51	P.O. Box 368 Eliot,ME 03903 Telephone (207) 451 9229 Fax (207) 703 0354

#### Allen Folsom

From:	Tuttle, Kim <kim.tuttle@wildlife.nh.gov></kim.tuttle@wildlife.nh.gov>
Sent:	Thursday, February 14, 2013 2:42 PM
То:	Allen Folsom
Cc:	Dionne, Michael
Subject:	RE: NHB12-3784, Exeter Mills, Exeter, NH pier, gangway, float

Allen,

The NHFG Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program has reviewed NHB12-3784 for the proposed tidal dock for Exeter Mills in Exeter. The following species were listed on the NHB database check as occurring in the vicinity of the project:

Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus) SC -- Osprey (Pandion haliaetus) SC --Pied-billed Grebe (Podilymbus podiceps) T --Sora (Porzana carolina) SC --

1 Codes: "E" = Endangered, "T" = Threatened, "-SC" = Species of Concern

We do not expect impacts to any of the above listed species as habitat does not appear to be affected by the project. Also, as long as there is no dockage or associated structures upstream of the current dock at the upstream end of the boardwalk on the river-left bank, we do not expect impacts to essential fish spawning and staging habitat.

The proposed tidal dock project is below the area of essential fish habitat, therefore we do not expect impacts to anadromous fish (rainbow smelt and river herring). Please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions regarding this review.

Sincerely,

Kim Tuttle Certified Wildlife Biologist NH Fish and Game Nongame and Endangered Species Program 603-271-6544

NH Fish and Game - Protecting Wildlife Since 1935

From: Allen Folsom [mailto:allenp@riversideandpickering.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 1:28 PM
To: Tuttle, Kim
Subject: RE: NHB Report 12-3784, Exeter Mills, Exeter, NH

Hi Kim,

I haven't heard back and thought I would touch base with you regarding your review of NHB Report 12-3784, Exeter Mills, Exeter, NH.

Thank you,



NEW HAMPSHIRE NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

DRED - DIVISION OF FORESTS & LANDS PO BOX 1856 -- 172 PEMBROKE ROAD, CONCORD, NH 03302-1856 (603) 271-2214

То:	Zachary Taylor, Riverside and Pickering Marine Contractors Allen Folsom, Riverside and Pickering Marine Contractors
From:	Melissa Coppola, NHB-Environmental Information Specialist
Date:	January 23, 2013
Subject:	NHB12-3784

This memo is a follow-up to NHB12-3784 which had indicated three state-listed plant species in the vicinity of the proposed project. The Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) requested further details about the project to: 1) determine the potential for impacts and 2) provide appropriate recommendations to avoid impacts if necessary.

NHB is recommending a survey for the spongy-leaved arrowhead (*Sagittaria montevidensis* ssp. *spongiosa*) during the growing season because this species has been documented within the brackish marsh at this location. NHB can conduct this survey in late-May or early June and then provide recommendations on how to avoid and minimize impacts to this state-endangered species. Any survey conducted outside of the growing season cannot truly confirm the presence or absence of this species on site. NHB is willing to work with the landowner and consultants to help the project continue to move forward, while reducing impacts to rare plant species.

Should you have any further questions, contact me at 603-271-2215 ext. 323 or at Melissa.Coppola@dred.state.nh.us.

Contact for all c Department of F Division of Fore (603) 271-2214	<sup>1</sup> Codes: "E' state list. Ar	Osprey ( <i>Pc</i> Pied-billed Sora ( <i>Porz</i>	Vertebrate species Common Moorhen	Spongy-lea montevider	little-heade	<b>Plant species</b> climbing hem	Comments:	As requeste	From: Date: Re: cc:	То:	Memo
Contact for all animal reviews: Kim Tuttle, NH F&G, (603) 271-6544 Department of Resources and Economic Development Division of Forests and Lands (603) 271-2214 fax: 271-6488	<sup>1</sup> Codes: "E" = Endangered, "T" = Threatened, "" = an exemplary natural community, or a rare species track state list. An asterisk ( $^*$ ) indicates that the most recent report for that occurrence was more than 20 years ago	Osprey (Pandion haliaetus) Pied-billed Grebe (Podilymbus podiceps) Sora (Porzana carolina)	Vertebrate species Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus)	Spongy-leaved Arrowhead (Sagittaria montevidensis ssp. spongiosa)	little-headed spikesedge (Eleocharis parvula)	Plant species climbing hempvine (Mikania scandens)*		d, I have searched our database for record	Melissa Coppola, NH Natural Heritage Bureau 12/19/2012 (valid for one year from this date) Review by NH Natural Heritage Bureau NHB File ID: NHB12-3784 To Description: Construct a 6'x20' fixed pier v Kim Tuttle	Zachary Taylor P.O. Box 368 Eliot, ME 03903	
, (603) 271-65 It	emplary natural rt for that occur	T SC	(5)		F	State <sup>1</sup> Fe E		s of rare speci	ureau date) Town: E: pier with a 4'		
544. DRED/NHB PO Box 1856 Concord NH 03302-1856	<sup>1</sup> Codes: "E" = Endangered, "T" = Threatened, "" = an exemplary natural community, or a rare species tracked by NH Natural Heritage that has not yet been added to the official state list. An asterisk (*) indicates that the most recent report for that occurrence was more than 20 years ago.	<ul> <li>Contact the NH Fish &amp; Game Dept (see below).</li> <li>Contact the NH Fish &amp; Game Dept (see below).</li> <li>Contact the NH Fish &amp; Game Dept (see below).</li> </ul>	Contact the NH Fish & Game Dept (see below).		Threats are primarily alterations to the hydrology of the wetland, such as ditching or tidal restrictions that might affect the sheet flow of tidal waters across the intertidal flat, activities that eliminate plants, and increased input of nutrients and pollutants in storm runoff.	Federal Notes - Threats include changes to the hydrology (e.g., water levels) of its habitat and increased sedimentation or nutrients and pollutants in stormwater runoff.		As requested, I have searched our database for records of rare species and exemplary natural communities, with the following results.	<ul> <li>h, NH Natural Heritage Bureau</li> <li>d for one year from this date)</li> <li>Natural Heritage Bureau</li> <li>NHB12-3784</li> <li>Town: Exeter</li> <li>Construct a 6'x20' fixed pier with a 4'x30' aluminum gangway landing on a 10'x20' float system located on moorings</li> </ul>		NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU NHB DATACHECK RESULTS LETTER

•

4



Department of Resources and Economic Development Division of Forests and Lands (603) 271-2214 fax: 271-6488



NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU NHB DATACHECK RESULTS LETTER

Memo







NHB12-3784

R

NH NATURAL HERITAGE BUREAU

Known locations of rare species and exemplary natural communities

1:24000













### NOTES

1) THE FLOAT WILL BE DRY AT LOW TIDE, FLOAT WILL BE SUPPORTED BY SKIDS

- 2) ALL PILINGS AND STRUCTURAL TIMBER WILL BE PRESSURE TREATED SYP.
- 3) GANGWAY WILL BE MADE OF ALUMINUM WITH RUBBER NON-SKID DECKING.
- 4) THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WILL BE BUILT TO INDUSTRY STANDARDS WITH A 1 FOOT TO 1 FOOT RATIO OF PIER WIDTH TO DISTANCE FROM THE BOTTOM OF THE LONGITUDINAL SUPPORTS ABOVE THE SUBSTRATE INHABITING WETLANDS VEGETATION. DECKING WILL HAVE A 3/4" GAP BETWEEN TH E DECK BOARDS AS WELL. BOTH OF THESE MEASURES WILL HELP PROMOTE THE AMBIENT LIGHT LEVELS UNDER THE FIXED PIER.





					R NITH 4'x15' TYP ACCESS RAMP UM GANGWAY AND LOCATED BY MOORINGS ACCESS RAMP (TYP)	
She	File	REVISIONS			Date:	1/30/2013
et	# Date	Description	Approved		LALILIN IVIILLU, LLU. Drawn By:	APF
3	623			100 C 100	PROPOSED PIER AND FLOAT Checked By:	ZCT
of	-12			MARINE CONTRACTORS	Address: 10 CHESTNUT STREET City: EXETER, NH Approved:D	Date:
3					Map & Lot #: MAP 64 LOT 51 Drawing Scale: 1"=10" Title:Job Cl	Job Class:
	_		_			P 

Town Sewer Line Cleaning – Morrissette Property:

The upstream and downstream sewer lines between the start of the Linden St. gravity sewer to the Court St. sewage pumping station have already been cleaned (late fall, 2012). Per the Town's EPA administrative order, we were to determine what has caused so many Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) historically on Linden Street. The apparent causes are the thousands of feet of sewer partially filled with sand and gravel *plus* the lack of back-up power at the manufactured home park's sewage lift stations. Approximately 200 feet of structurally failing sewer (allowing soil to fall in) was rehabilitated about two years ago.

The sand and gravel is approximately 3" of decades old accumulation on the invert (interior bottom) of the 8" asbestos-cement sewer. The jet truck will park downstream of the 190' of sewer to be cleaned, pull the sediment to it by high pressure water jet, then aspirate it in to the truck for proper disposal.

At the location marked for the jet truck (sewer manhole # 465) I would like to install just enough *washed* one and one half inch size crushed stone to stabilize the soil under the truck.

Also, I would propose to replace the failing culvert (marked on the map) with the same size, same length new culvert, thus not requiring a wetlands permit. No other site/land work to be done.

However, this starts the initiative to gain proper access to *all* the Town's cross-country sewer right-ofways (ROWs) before EPA mandates it, or, a preemptive action before the Town experiences a difficult to reach sewer problem. As you and Pete may recall (from our eastern sewer ROW walk last fall), this is an issue to be addressed.



# **Morrisette Conservation Sewer ROW**





From:	Valliere, Loren
To:	kmurphy@town.exeter.nh.us
Subject:	Request Permission: NHFG Blanding"s turtle surveys - Exeter
Date:	Thursday, February 14, 2013 10:41:41 AM
Attachments:	NHFG Landowner Permission Letter Turtle Survey 2013.pdf

Dear Exeter Conservation Commission,

I am writing to seek permission to access Town of Exeter lands for the purpose of Blanding's turtle surveys. Please see the attached letter.

We know from previous records that Blanding's turtles occur in Exeter. We are particularly interested in surveying some of the properties in and around Oakland Forest, but if there are other areas under town ownership where permission could also be granted, we would appreciate it.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns. If you'd like to learn more about Blanding's turtles, or about the Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program at New Hampshire Fish and Game, please visit our website: <u>http://wildnh.com/Wildlife/nongame\_and\_endangered\_wildlife.htm</u>

Thanks, and hope to hear from you soon! Loren

#### Loren Valliere

Biologist, Nongame & Endangered Wildlife Program New Hampshire Fish and Game 11 Hazen Drive, Concord NH 603-271-0467



# New Hampshire Fish and Game Department

11 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03301-6500 Headquarters: (603) 271-3421 Web site: www.WildNH.com TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964 FAX (603) 271-1438 E-mail: info@wildlife.nh.gov

Glenn Normandeau Executive Director

# **BLANDING'S TURTLE SURVEYS**

February 2013

Dear Landowner,

The NH Fish & Game Department is seeking permission to access properties for the purpose of monitoring Blanding's turtle populations in NH. This monitoring effort will occur from April to September 2013 as part of a regional project involving NH, ME, MA, NY, and PA. We are in the process of identifying survey sites and seeking landowner permission.

# What is the purpose of the monitoring?

In some cases, we will be surveying areas where we know that Blanding's turtles occur or are highly likely to occur based on habitat and landscape conditions. In other cases, sites have been



randomly selected from a computer model and are not necessarily occupied by Blanding's turtles. Our monitoring results, when combined across the 5 states in the Northeast, will give us a picture of the status of the species overall and allow us to monitor changes in status over time.

# What species will be captured?

Our target will be to capture Blanding's turtles, if present on the site. Other types of turtles may be captured in traps during sampling as well. All species will be released unharmed.

# What will monitoring involve?

Monitoring will involve the use of live capture turtle traps. These traps look like large minnow traps and are placed at the edges of wetlands. Because we are trying to maximize survey sites in NH, we will only trap a given site for one week (5 days). Traps will be placed at wetland edges and will not interfere with recreation, forestry, or other activities on properties. A portion of the trap will be visible above water to allow turtles to breathe. All traps will be labeled with a NHFG tag with contact information. Turtles will be removed from traps and released after biological data are collected including sex, weight, and shell measurements.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated. Please reply to this notice via email at <u>Michael.Marchand@wildlife.nh.gov</u> and/or <u>Loren.Valliere@wildlife.nh.gov</u> with any questions or concerns or to confirm access to your property for the Blanding's turtle surveys.

Sincerely,

h. I

Michael Marchand Wildlife Biologist

# DRAFT

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Partridge and Mr. & Mrs. Sorber,

As you know there is a 15 foot wide pedestrian access easement across your properties (Town lots 57-08 and 57-07) connecting to the Dollof Conservation Easement which abuts your property. The Conservation Commission plans, finally this spring, to place 2-4x4 posts on the easement to make clear to the public where they should walk. Our suggested locations are indicated on the attached plan (2 & 3). The posts would include permanent markings and occasional notices ie Trail closed because of soft ground or perhaps fire danger.

Soon after the ground has dried a bit, we will place a couple of 1x1 stakes so you can see where the posts will be. Should you have any question please call Kristen Murphy, Natural Resources Planner at 778-0591 ext 524 between 8:30 and 2:00 weekdays.

Sincerely,

Chairman, Exeter CC



# **Draft Minutes**

# **Exeter Conservation Commission**

# February 12, 2013

# Call to Order

Chairman Russell Kaphan called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Nowak Room in the Exeter Town Office building. Members present were: Peter Richardson, Mike Field, Cynthia Field, Virginia Raub, Don Clement, BOS Representative and Kristen Murphy, Town Natural Resource Planner. Alyson Eberhardt arrived at 7:35 p.m. Carlos Guindon arrived at 7:30 p.m.

There was no public comment.

# Action Items

**1. Minimum Impact Dredge & Fill Application** for construction of a commercial structure at 146 Portsmouth Ave (Tax Map 51 Lot 1) for Rollinsford Associates involving 616 square feet of wetland impact – Mike Donahue (Donahue, Tucker & Ciandella) Brendan Quigley (Gove Engineering Services)

Mike Donahue gave the historical background of the property. Brendan Quigley reviewed the plans for construction to impact the 616 square feet of wetlands. There was discussion of a gravel grading retention wall (gravel wetland) with plantings of naturally occurring vegetation to mitigate impact. There was also discussion of a proposed trail. Don Clement discussed that this is an example of a green infrastructure which has a lot of good attributes and may even enhance the area. There will be further work on the plan to reduce erosion of the proposed trail by improper drainage.

The group recommended that Kristen bring up the following items at the Technical Review Committee: 1) Use a more naturalized planting near the retaining wall because the chainlink fence identified for that area will prevent them from being able to mow that area, 2) some method for addressing the potential drainage from the abutter from causing erosion of the proposed trail, 3) obtaining more details on the design of the trail bed.

A motion was made by Don Clement and seconded by Ginny Raub to send a letter to DES Wetlands that the Conservation Commission is not opposed to this particular wetlands fill plan provided that the storm water is to be treated by the gravel wetland. Motion carried – all in favor.

# 2. Deliberative Session Update

Ginny Raub said things went well at the deliberative session on Article 27 – Raynes Property Barn Roof Replacement. Kristen Murphy and Ginny Raub have been working together on a press release to encourage the voters to pass this article.

# 3. Request for Trial Sign Funds

Kristen Murphy advised the members that Jay Carmody, an Eagle Scout candidate, has created a kiosk type 11" x 17" map for the McDonnell property, and would like to request that the Commission cover the cost of one of the signs in the amount of \$95. The sign was passed around for all to see.

A motion was made by Peter Richardson and seconded by Carlos Guindon to cover the cost of \$95 for one of the signs. Motion carried – all in favor.

# 4. Request for Monitoring Supplies

Kristen Murphy advised the Committee that there was essentially no flagging left, and was very low on aluminum nails.

A motion was made by Ginny Raub and seconded by Don Clement to authorize Kristen Murphy to spend up to \$100 on boundary marking materials. Motion carried – all in favor.

Kristen Murphy advised the Committee that there are trail maintenance matters that need to be dealt with:

- a. Plank replacement of \$50 on the BLT Trail
- b. Repairs on the Hot Dog Trail not to exceed \$300

Kirsten Murphy requested a fund of \$300 to be used for emergency/safety repairs that come up between meetings.

A motion was made by Peter Richardson and seconded by Ginny Raub to authorize Kristen Murphy to spend up to \$350 on current need repairs to the BLT and Hot Dog Trails, and for a \$300 emergency maintenance fund. Motion carried – all in favor.

# Regular Business

# 1. Treasurer's Report

Virginia Raub reported that the balance in the accounts is of \$37,969.61. She also reviewed the expenditures fro the 2012 fiscal year.

# 2. Approval of Minutes: January 8, 2013

A motion was made by Carlos Guindon and seconded by Mike Field to accept the minutes from the meeting of January 8, 2013 as presented. Motion carried – all in favor.

# 3. Natural Resources Planner's Reports and Correspondence

Kristen Murphy discussed Peter Waltz's seedling project for Arbor Day with the 4<sup>th</sup> graders in the Exeter schools. Mr. Walt is purchasing 3 year old saplings for the project.

A motion was made by Ginny Raub and seconded by Alyson Eberhardt cover the cost of the seedlings for a cost of \$217. Motion carried – all in favor.

# 4. Other Business

Peter Richardson complimented Kristen Murphy on her work done of the Morrissette Property.

# 5. Next Meeting - Tuesday, March 12, 2013 at 7:00 p.m.

A motion was made by Peter Richardson and seconded by Don Clement to adjourn the public meeting and go to a work session at 8:34 p.m. Motion carried – all in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Deirdre Greene Recording Secretary