

TOWN OF EXETER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

10 FRONT STREET • EXETER, NH • 03833-3792 • (603) 778-0591 •FAX 772-4709 www.exeternh.gov

PUBLIC NOTICE EXETER CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Monthly Meeting

The Exeter Conservation Commission will meet in the Wheelwright Room of the Town Office Building, Exeter on **Tuesday**, **September 20th**, **2016 at 7:00 P.M.**

Call to Order:

- 1. Introduction of Members Present
- 2. Public Comment

Action Items

- 1. Inter-board Communication Memo & New NHDES Letter Format (*Dave Sharples, Town Planner*)
- 2. Southern District YMCA Proposals for Use of Morrissette Property Tax Map 82/15 for outdoor education and a fitness trail/parcourse (*Rob McGregor, President Southern District YMCA*).
- 3. Conditional Use Permit for the proposed construction of a 30,000 square foot addition to an existing building and associated site improvements at 22 Industrial Drive. Tax Map Parcel #48-5. (*Luke Hurley*, *GES*, *Inc*.).
- 4. Riverwoods Pinkham Village Conditional Use Permit for drainage improvements at 7 Riverwoods Drive. Tax Map Parcel # 97-23.
- 5. Committee Reports
 - a. Property Management: Timber Harvest Update, Raynes Farm Long Term Planning
 - b. Trails: Trail Committee Meeting scheduled 9/22/16, 7:00pm, Exeter Library
 - c. Outreach
- 6. Approval of Minutes: August 9, 2016
- 7. Correspondence
- 8. Other Business
- 9. Non-public session to report on the status of individual land protection efforts/transactions per RSA 91-A:3, II(d)
- 10. Next Meeting: Date (10/11/16), Submission Deadline (9/30/16)

Carlos Guindon, Chair Exeter Conservation Commission August 16th, 2016 Exeter Town Office, Exeter Public Library, and Town Departments.



TOWN OF EXETER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

10 FRONT STREET • EXETER, NH • 03833-3792 • (603) 778-0591 •FAX 772-4709 www.town.exeter.nh.us

TO: NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES WETLANDS BUREAU 29 HAZEN DRIVE PO BOX 95 CONCORD, NH 03302-0095

RE: Report and recommendation regarding the Wetlands Permit Application for:

 _ (Location of Project)
(Name of Property Owner)

_____ (Mailing Address)

Date Application Reviewed by Conservation Commission

_____ We have investigated this application and have no objection to the issuance of this permit.

- We wish to intervene on this application and investigate further, pursuant to RSA 483-A 4-aIV. We will respond within forty (40) days.
 - We have investigated this application and recommend that this permit be issued/denied as noted below.

Carlos Guindon, Chair Exeter Conservation Commission

cc: Eben Lewis, NHDES Portsmouth Office

TOWN OF EXETER CONSERVATION COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

-

Date: To: From: Subject:	Planning Board Conservation Commissi Report and recommenda	 on tion regarding the conditional use permit of:
		(Name of Applicant)
		(Property Location)
Date Appli	cation Filed	Application Number
Per We	mit.	cation and have no objection to the issuance of a Conditional Use cation and recommend that the Conditional Use Application be
	ndon, Chair servation Commission	
cc:		



September 1, 2016

Carlos Guindon Chairperson Exeter Conservation Commission

Dear Mr. Guindon:

The Southern District YMCA has been operating for 90 years and Exeter Area branch has been open for nearly a year. During this time, members have benefitted from the indoor and outdoor space surrounding the 56 Linden St. facility. 4,500 members currently belong to the YMCA and 500 participants utilize the facility daily.

The Y is interested in "Connecting Youth, Adults and Families Through Education, Recreation and Fitness" and the attached document outlines possible ways to utilize the Morrissette property that abuts the Y's facility.

The submission of this letter and the accompanying information is a request for the Y to have an informal but targeted discussion with the Conservation Commission to develop possible ways to utilize Exeter open space.

We look forward to a frank and open discussion as the Conservation Commission and the YMCA both exist to serve our community.

Sincerely:

Rob Roy McGregor President/CEO SOUTHERN DISTRICT YMCA

FOR YOUTH DEVELOPMENT® FOR HEALTHY LIVING FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

SOUTHERN DISTRICT YMCA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

56 Linden Street Exeter, NH 03833 sdymca.org

EXETER AREA YMCA

56 Linden Street Exeter, NH 03833 ExeterYMCA.org

YMCA CAMP LINCOLN

67 Ball Road | P.O. Box 729 Kingston, NH 03848 YMCACampLincoln.org

YMCA SCHOOL AGE CHILD CARE

56 Linden Street, Annex Exeter, NH 03833 sdymca.org

CONTACT US

P 603 642 3361 F 603 642 4340 E info@sdymca.org

Connecting Youth, Adults and Families to the Outdoors through Education, Recreation and Fitness

Utilizing Exeter Open Space

There is a growing disparity between the time kids spend indoors wired to technology and the time they spend outside enjoying nature. The vast majority of today's kids use a computer, watch TV, or play video games on a daily basis, but only about 10 percent say they are spending time outdoors every day, according to a new nationwide poll from The Nature Conservancy.

The data suggest that if American youth are given more opportunities to have a meaningful experience outdoors, they will be more likely to value nature, engage with it, and feel empowered to do something about it. The survey shows that 66% of youth say that they "have had a personal experience in nature" that made them appreciate it more. That subset of American youth is markedly different from those who have not had such experiences. Roughly 76 percent of youth today strongly believe issues like climate change can be solved if action is taken now. They also think safeguarding important lands and waters should be a priority regardless of any ancillary benefits and the struggling economy.

Physical activity, along with proper nutrition, is beneficial to people of all ages, backgrounds, and abilities. And it is important that everyone gets active: over the last 20 years, there's been a significant increase in obesity in the United States. About one-third of U.S. adults (33.8%) are obese and approximately 17% (or 12.5 million) of children and adolescents (aged 2-19 years) are obese. The health implications of obesity in America are startling:

- If things remain as they are today, one-third of all children born in the year 2000 or later may suffer from diabetes at some point in their lives, while many others are likely to face chronic health problems such as heart disease, high blood pressure, cancer, diabetes, and asthma.
- Studies indicate that up to 70% of obese teens may become obese adults.
- Those who are obese have medical costs that are \$1,429 more than those of normal weight on average (roughly 42% higher). And annual direct costs of childhood obesity are \$14.3 billion. (President's Council on Fitness)

The Southern District YMCA is a leader in outdoor education/ recreation, and youth, adult and family fitness/wellness.

Camp Lincoln (Established 1926)	Exeter Area YMCA (Established 2015)
1,500 campers per summer (137 from Exeter)	4,500 members (3,000 from Exeter)
	789 members under-12
	885 members ages 13-19
6,000 students participate in outdoor education	500 members utilize the facility daily
annually (throughout the school year)	
250 days/year that the community utilizes camp	360 days a year that the Y is open,
annually	200 days/year that community groups use the Y
Top activities include: boating, swimming, ropes	60 group fitness classes offered per week (1,200
course, outdoor education, recreation, trail	participants weekly), wellness center, gym, open
blazing, water ecology	community room, teen center, and babysitting

Southern District YMCA

The current Exeter Master Plan states: "Using the community's forests and other natural environments as outdoor classrooms allows people of all ages to learn about the natural world" it then states, "The importance of outdoor recreation opportunities to a community is widely accepted. Open space recreation offers great opportunity to understand and appreciate the natural environment and to contribute to overall quality of life in the community." (CP 42-43)

The YMCA is interested in partnering with the town, the conservation commission and other interested user groups to further utilize the Morrissette property for education, recreation and fitness for all Exeter residents and our greater community. The deed has the following restrictions:

"The property shall be managed in perpetuity by the Town of Exeter Conservation Commission and/or its successor for the following purposes:

- 1. The conservation and preservation of open spaces, and/or public education of these values particularly the protection of the Little River and its associated wetlands. And the diverse wildlife habitats on the property.
- 2. To retain public access for passive recreation al activities.
- 3. There shall be no hunting, camping, or fires.
- 4. There shall be no use of wheeled vehicles except those necessary for management consistent with conservation purposes.

Given the above restrictions the YMCA would like to engage the conservation commission in a discussion about the following educational and recreation opportunities:

 Outdoor Education - Organized outdoor summer opportunities help stem the learning gap that many children experience especially disproportionately those from lower income areas. Our region does not have adequate summer or year-round after school programs to meet the needs of our youth to create a greater appreciation for the outdoors develop a place of belonging, improve outdoor skills and connect with role models and peers.

Successful outdoor programming combines well-trained young adult leaders with elementary, middle school and teenage youth for an extended number of days to develop positive relationships and a sense of belonging and opportunities to build and strengthen positive character values and appreciate the differences in others.

2. Fitness Trail - A fitness trail or "parcourse" consists of a path or trail equipped with obstacles or stations distributed along its length for exercising the human body to promote good health. The course is designed to promote physical fitness training and can be natural or man-made and is most often located in open space and parks. Equipment is designed to provide specific forms of physiological exercise, and can consist of natural features including climbable rocks, trees, and river embankments, or manufactured products (stepping posts, chin-up and climbing bars) designed to provide similar physical challenges. The degree of difficulty of a course is determined by terrain slope, trail surface (dirt, grass, gravel, etc.), obstacle height (walls) or length (crawls) and other features.

Point of contact: Rob Roy McGregor President/CEO Southern District YMCA - rob@sdymca.org - (603) 957-1927



















Town of Exeter



Planning Board Application for <u>Conditional Use Permit</u>: Wetlands Conservation Overlay District

July 2015



Conditional Use Permit: Wetland Conservation Overlay District

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Fifteen (15) copies of the Application
- 2. Fifteen (15) 11"x17" and three (3) full sized copies of the plan which must include:
- Existing Conditions
 - a. Property Boundaries
 - b. Edge of Wetland and associated Buffer (Wetlands Conservation Overlay District WCOD)
 - --Prime wetland: 100'
- --Very Poorly Drained: 50'
- --Vernal Pool (>200 SF): 75'
- --Poorly Drained: 40'
- --Exemplary Wetland: 50'
- --Inland Stream: 25'
- c. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements

Proposed Conditions

- a. Edge of Wetlands and Wetland Buffers and distances to the following:
 - i. Edge of Disturbance
 - ii. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements
- b. Name and phone number of all individuals whose professional seal appears on the plan
- 3. If applicant and/or agent is not the owner, a letter of authorization must accompany this application
- 4. Supporting documents i.e. Letters from the Department of Environmental Services, Standard Dredge and Fill Application and Photos of the property
- 5. A Town of Exeter Assessors list of names and mailing addresses of all abutters

Required Fees:		
Planning Board Fee: \$50. 00	Abutter Fee: \$10. 00	Recording Fee (if applicable): \$25. 00

The Planning Office must receive the completed application, plans and fees on the day indicated on the Planning Board Schedule of Deadlines and Public Hearings.

APPLICANT	Name: ECV Enterprises	
	Address: 295 Littleton Road, Chelmsford, MA 01824	
	Email Address: tboschetto@msn.com	
	Phone: (978) 846-0268	
PROPOSAL	Address: 22 Industrial Drive	
	Tax Map #48 Lot#5 Zoning District:	
	Owner of Record:	
Person/Business	Name: Beals Associates, PLLC	
performing work	Address: 70 Portsmouth Avenue, Stratham, NH 03885	
outlined in proposal	Phone: (603) 583-4860)	
Professional that	Name: Gove Environmental Services, Inc	
delineated wetlands	Address: 8 Continental Drive, Bld 2, Unit H, Exeter, NH 03833	
	Phone: (603) 778-0644	

Town of Exeter Planning Board Application Conditional Use Permit: Wetland Conservation Overlay District

Detailed Proposal including intent, project description, and use of property: (Use additional sheet as needed) The proposal is to create a 100'x250' building addition (with a 6,000 s.f. separate building in a second Phase), expand parking and upgrade drainage collection and treatment associated with the existing 58,500 s.f. building.

Wetland Conservation Overlay District Impact (in square footage):				
Temporary Impact	Wetland:	(SQ FT.)	Buffer:	(SQ FT.)
	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)		□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	U VPD		🗆 VPD	
	D PD		D PD	
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	
Permanent Impact	Wetland:		Buffer:	
	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)		□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	U VPD		UPD VPD	
	D PD		PD PD	8,225.0
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	
List any variances/specia	l exceptions granted by Zoning	g Board of	Adjustment including dates	:
N/A				
Describe how your proposal meets the conditions of Article 9.1.6.B of the Town of Exeter Zoning Ordinance:				
See attached.				

ABUTTERS: PLEASE LIST ALL PERSONS WHOSE PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE AND ADJOINS OR IS DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET OR STREAM FROM THE LAND UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD. THIS LIST SHALL BE COMPILED FROM THE EXETER TAX ASSESSOR'S RECORDS.

TAX MAP	TAXMAP	
NAME	NAME	
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	
ΤΑΥΜΑΡ		
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME		
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAXMAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME		
ADDRESS	NAME ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS		
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME	NAME	
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	

Please attach additional sheets if needed

Conditional Use Permit Criteria

Wetlands Conservation Overlay District

9.1.6 Conditional Uses:

A. Conditional Use Permit: Under the enabling authority granted by NH RSA §674:21 II, the following uses are only permitted in the Wetlands Conservation Overlay District pursuant to the issuance of a conditional use permit by the Planning Board provided that all of the conditions listed in article 9.1.6.B below are met.

1. Construction of roads and other access ways, parking areas, utilities, structures, drainage systems, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements that impact the Wetlands Conservation Overlay District, provided that the proposed construction is essential to the productive use of land not within the Wetlands Conservation Overlay District.

2. If prime wetlands are involved then the following: Agriculture activities including grazing, hay production, truck gardening and silage production provided that such use is shown not to cause increases in surface or groundwater contamination by pesticides or other toxic or hazardous substance and that such use will not cause or contribute to soil erosion.

3. Within the 100 ft. buffer around prime wetlands, forestry and tree farming consistent with the best management practices as published by the NH Department of Resources and Economic Development and NH Cooperative Extension. As specified, in Logging Operations (Env-Wt 304.05), all skid trails, truck roads and log landings shall be located 50 feet from streams or ponds and designed using appropriate erosion control devices. Stream and Exeter Zoning Ordinance – Amended March 2014 9-7 wetlands crossings shall be kept to a minimum in size and number.

B. Conditions:

1. That the proposed use is permitted in the underlying zoning district;

2. That the use for which the permit is sought cannot feasibly be carried out on a portion or portions of the lot which are outside the Wetlands Conservation Overlay District;

3. That the design, construction and maintenance of the proposed use will, to the extent feasible, minimize detrimental impact on the wetland or wetland buffer and that no alternative design which does not impact a wetland or wetland buffer or which has less detrimental impact on the wetland or wetland buffer is feasible; 4. In cases where the proposed use is temporary or where construction activity disturbs areas adjacent to the immediate use, that the landowner agrees to restore the site as nearly as possible to its original grade and condition following construction;

5. That the proposed use will not create a hazard to individual or public health, safety and welfare due to the loss of wetland, the contamination of groundwater, or other reasons;

6. That all required permits shall be obtained from the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Water Supply and Pollution Control Division under NH RSA §485-A: 17, the New Hampshire Wetlands Board under NH RSA §483-A, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Town of Exeter



Planning Board Application for <u>Conditional Use Permit</u>: Shoreland Protection District

July 2015



Conditional Use Permit: Shoreland Protection District

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Fifteen (15) copies of the Application
- 2. Fifteen (15) 11"x17" and three (3) full sized copies of the plan which must include:
- Existing Conditions
 - a. Property Boundaries
 - b. Edge of Wetland and associated Buffer (Wetlands Conservation Overlay District WCOD)
 - --Prime wetland: 100'
- --Very Poorly Drained: 50'
- --Vernal Pool (>200 SF): 75'
- --Poorly Drained: 40'
- --Exemplary Wetland: 50'
- --Inland Stream: 25'
- c. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements

Proposed Conditions

- a. Edge of Wetlands and Wetland Buffers and distances to the following:
 - i. Edge of Disturbance
 - ii. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements
- b. Name and phone number of all individuals whose professional seal appears on the plan
- 3. If applicant and/or agent is not the owner, a letter of authorization must accompany this application
- 4. Supporting documents i.e. Letters from the Department of Environmental Services, Standard Dredge and Fill Application and Photos of the property
- 5. A Town of Exeter Assessors list of names and mailing addresses of all abutters

Required Fees:		
Planning Board Fee: \$50. 00	Abutter Fee: \$10. 00	Recording Fee (if applicable): \$25. 00

The Planning Office must receive the completed application, plans and fees on the day indicated on the Planning Board Schedule of Deadlines and Public Hearings.

APPLICANT	Name: ECV Enterprises		
	Address: 295 Littleton Road, Chelmsford, MA 01824		
	Email Address: tboschetto@msn.com		
	Phone: (978) 846-0268		
PROPOSAL	Address: 22 Industrial Drive		
	Tax Map #_48 Lot#_5 Zoning District: I		
	Owner of Record: Same as Applicant		
Person/Business	Name: Beals Associates, PLLC		
performing work	Address: 70 Portsmouth Avenue, Stratham, NH 03885		
outlined in proposal	Phone: (603) 583-4860		
Professional that	Name: Gove Environmental Services, Inc		
delineated wetlands	Address:		
	Phone:		

Town of Exeter Planning Board Application Conditional Use Permit: Shoreland Protection District

Detailed Proposal including intent, project description, and use of property: (Use additional sheet as needed)

The proposal is to create a 100' x 250' addition, expand parking and upgrade drainage to the existing 58,500 s.f. comercial building

Shoreland Protection District Impact (in square footage):				
Temporary Impact	Wetland:	(SQ FT.)	Buffer:	(SQ FT.)
	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)		□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	U VPD		U VPD	
	D PD		D PD	
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	
Permanent Impact	Wetland:		Buffer:	
	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	Vernal Pools (>200SF)		□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	UPD VPD		UPD VPD	
	D PD		PD PD	1,625
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	14,898
List any variances/special exceptions granted by Zoning Board of Adjustment including dates:				
N/A				

Describe how your proposal meets the conditions of Article 9.3.4.G.2 of the Town of Exeter Zoning Ordinance: See attached doc. detailing points cited in the section.

ABUTTERS: PLEASE LIST ALL PERSONS WHOSE PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE AND ADJOINS OR IS DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET OR STREAM FROM THE LAND UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD. THIS LIST SHALL BE COMPILED FROM THE EXETER TAX ASSESSOR'S RECORDS.

TAX MAP	TAXMAP	
NAME	NAME	
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME		
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAXMAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME	IAA MAF	
ADDRESS	NAME ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS		
	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
NAME	NAME	
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	

Please attach additional sheets if needed

Conditional Use Permit Criteria

Shoreland Protection District

9.3.4 G Conditional Uses:

1. The following uses, if allowed in the underlying zoning district, are permitted only after a Conditional Use Permit is granted by the Planning Board.

a. Industrial and commercial uses not otherwise prohibited in Article 9.3.4.F Exeter Shoreland Protection District Ordinance – Use Regulations of these regulations.

b. Multi-family residential development .

c. Transmission lines, access ways, including driveways and parking lots or roadways, paved or unpaved, within 150 feet of the Exeter River, Squamscott River or their major tributaries, or within 100 feet of perennial brooks, streams and creeks located within the Exeter Shoreland Protection District.

d. Detention ponds, treatment swales, and other drainage structures as required by State or local regulations.

2. The Planning Board may grant a Conditional Use Permit for those uses listed above only after written findings of fact are made which have been reviewed by technical experts from the Rockingham Conservation District, if required by the Planning Board, at the cost of the developer, provided that all of the following are true:

a. The proposed use will not detrimentally affect the surface water quality of the adjacent river or tributary, or otherwise result in unhealthful conditions.

b. The proposed use will discharge no waste water on site other than that normally discharged by domestic waste water disposal systems and will not involve on-site storage or disposal of hazardous or toxic wastes as herein defined.

c. The proposed use will nor result in undue damage to spawning grounds and other wildlife habitat.

d. The proposed use complies with the use regulations identified in Article 9.3.4 Exeter Shoreland Protection District Ordinance – Use Regulations and all other applicable sections of this article.

e. The design and construction of the proposed use will be consistent with the intent of the purposes set forth in Article 9.3.1 Exeter Shoreland Protection District Ordinance – Authority and Purpose.

BEALS · ASSOCIATES PLLC

Seventy Portsmouth Avenue Stratham, New Hampshire 03885 603 – 583 - 4860 Fax: **582-GENVED**

July 12, 2016

Town of Exeter Planning Board 10 Front Street Exeter, NH 03833 JUL 1 2 2016

EXETER PLANNING OFFICE

RE: Letter of Explanation - Proposed Site Plan Expansion - 22 Industrial Drive

Dear Members of the Board:

ECV Enterprises, Inc. is proposing a 30,000 s.f. warehousing/office building expansion on approximately 10.2-acres of land located at 11 Industrial Drive in Exeter, NH. The existing property is located on a parcel (Tax Map 48, Lot 5) consisting of an existing light manufacturing facility (formerly "SeaTech"), forested wetlands, a section of gas main easement and wetlands connected to the head waters of Norris brook above where the local Shoreland Protection Ordinance would apply. The proposal includes extension of existing utilities that include, water and sewer service, gas service and underground electric & cable. All lighting proposed is dark sky compliant with down cast LED luminaires. The project includes paved drive aisles & parking for the tenants, and a closed drainage system. The design includes LID stormwater management and treatment including a large bioretention ponds and 2-constructed gravel wetland pond systems. Proper erosion controls are proposed where construction could result in sediment transport for the development. The project will result in no wetland impact, 16,509 s.f. of WCD impact (40'-100' PD wetland buffer). Finally, the design incorporates significant native vegetative plantings proposed to in-fill buffer areas proposed to be disturbed. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours, BEALS ASSOCIATES, PLLC

Christian O. Smith

Christian O. Smith, P.E. Principal

RECEIVED

JUL 1 2 2016

PH 8/11/16

Town of Exeter Site Review Plan Application

EXETER PLANNING OFFICE

THIS IS AN APPLICATION FOR:

() COMMERCIAL SITE PLAN REVIEW
() INDUSTRIAL SITE PLAN REVIEW
() MULTI-FAMILY SITE PLAN REVIEW
() MINOR SITE PLAN REVIEW

() INSTITUTIONAL/NON-PROFIT SPR

OFFICE USE ONLY

21612	APPLICATION #
7/12/16	DATE RECEIVED
	APPLICATION FEE
	PLAN REVIEW FEE
	ABUTTERS FEE
	LEGAL NOTICE FEE
	TOTAL FEES

INSPECTION FEE
INSPECTION COST
REFUND (IF ANY)

1. NAME OF LEGAL OWNER OF RECORD:	East Coast Ventures, Inc.
-----------------------------------	---------------------------

TELEPHONE: ()

ADDRESS: 295 Littleton Road, Chelmsford, MA 01824

2. NAME OF APPLICANT: _____ Ted Boschetto

ADDRESS: 206 Coggeshall Ave. Newport RI 02840

_____ TELEPHONE: (978)_846-0268

3. RELATIONSHIP OF APPLICANT TO PROPERTY IF OTHER THAN OWNER:

(Written permission from Owner is required, please attach.)

4. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: Existing light industrial facility

ADDRESS: 22 Industrial Drive

 TAX MAP:
 48
 PARCEL #:
 5
 ZONING DISTRICT:
 I

AREA OF ENTIRE TRACT: <u>10.2 ac</u> PORTION BEING DEVELOPED: <u>3.5 +/-</u>

-

5. ESTIMATED TOTAL SITE DEVELOPMENT COST \$ \$800,000 +/-

6. EXPLANATION OF PROPOSAL: ______ This proposal is to add a 100' x 250' addition, parking,

and upgrading drainage to the existing 58,500 s.f. comercial building

7. ARE MUNICIPAL SERVICES AVAILABLE? (YES/NO) Yes

IF YES, WATER AND SEWER SUPERINTENDENT MUST GRANT WRITTEN APPROVAL FOR CONNECTION. IF NO, SEPTIC SYSTEM MUST COMPLY WITH W.S.P.C.C. REQUIREMENTS.

8. LIST ALL MAPS, PLANS AND OTHER ACCOMPANYING MATERIAL SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION:

	ITEM:	NUMBER OF COPIES
A	see transmittel	
В		
··		
		D COVENANTS THAT APPLY OR ARE CONTEMPLATED _ IF YES, ATTACH COPY.
10. NAME A	ND PROFESSION OF PE	CRSON DESIGNING PLAN:
NAME: _	Christian O. Smith, P	P.E. Beals Associates, PLLC.
ADDRES	S:70 Portsmouth Ave	e. Stratham, NH 03885
PROFES	SION: <u>Professional En</u>	ngineerTELEPHONE: (603_) 583-4860
		D UTILITIES TO BE INSTALLED:
Imp	rovements to parking, d	Irainage are proposed w/Building expansion
·	1 N <u>1. N 1 1</u> 1 1 1 1 1	
· · ·		
<u></u>		

. •

12. HAVE ANY SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS OR VARIANCES BEEN GRANTED BY THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT TO THIS PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY?

No				1975		
16						
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			
بر و و موافد و و واو و وی کر						
OTICE. I CERTIEV 1						
OTICE: I CERTIFY 7 SUPPORTIN	HAT THIS APPLICAT	TION AND TH	E ACCOMPANY	YING PLANS AN	ND	
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A	GINFORMATION HAP	VE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCI	EPARED IN CO LUDING BUT N	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TO		
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A THE "SITE F	BINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL AN REVIEW AND S	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCI SUBDIVISION	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE		
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A THE "SITE F ZONING OR	GINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL AN REVIEW AND S DINANCE. FURTHEI	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCI SUBDIVISION RMORE, IN AC	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS CCORDANCE W	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE /ITH THE		
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A THE "SITE F ZONING OR REQUIREMI	GINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL AN REVIEW AND S DINANCE. FURTHEI NTS OF SECTION 15	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCI SUBDIVISION RMORE, IN AC 5.2 OF THE "SI	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS CCORDANCE W TE PLAN REVIJ	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE /ITH THE EW AND SUB-	D	
WITH ALL A THE "SITE F ZONING OR REQUIREMI DIVISION R	GINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL LAN REVIEW AND S DINANCE. FURTHEI NTS OF SECTION 15 GULATIONS", I AGI	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCL SUBDIVISION RMORE, IN AC 5.2 OF THE "SI REE TO PAY A	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS CCORDANCE W TE PLAN REVIJ	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE /ITH THE EW AND SUB-	D	
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A THE "SITE F ZONING OR REQUIREM DIVISION R	GINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL AN REVIEW AND S DINANCE. FURTHEI NTS OF SECTION 15	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCL SUBDIVISION RMORE, IN AC 5.2 OF THE "SI REE TO PAY A	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS CCORDANCE W TE PLAN REVIJ	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE /ITH THE EW AND SUB-	D	
SUPPORTIN WITH ALL A THE "SITE F ZONING OR REQUIREM DIVISION R	GINFORMATION HA PPLICABLE REGUL LAN REVIEW AND S DINANCE. FURTHEI NTS OF SECTION 15 GULATIONS", I AGI	AVE BEEN PRI ATIONS; INCL SUBDIVISION RMORE, IN AC 5.2 OF THE "SI REE TO PAY A	EPARED IN COL LUDING BUT NO REGULATIONS CCORDANCE W TE PLAN REVIJ	NFORMANCE OT LIMITED TC S" AND THE /ITH THE EW AND SUB-	D	

ACCORDING TO RSA 676.4.I (c), THE PLANNING BOARD MUST DETERMINE WHETHER THE APPLICATION IS COMPLETE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF SUBMISSION. THE PLANNING BOARD MUST ACT TO EITHER APPROVE, CONDITIONALLY APPROVE, OR DENY AN APPLICATION WITHIN SIXTY FIVE (65) DAYS OF ITS ACCEPTANCE BY THE BOARD AS A COMPLETE APPLICATION. A SEPARATE FORM ALLOWING AN EXTENSION OR WAIVER TO THIS REQUIREMENT MAY BE SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT.

Submission of this plan will not be applicable in all cases. The applicability of such a plan will be considered by the TRC during its review process as outlined in <u>Section 6.5 Technical</u> <u>Review Committee (TRC)</u> of these regulations. The purpose of this plan is to provide general information on the site, its existing conditions, and to provide the base data from which the site plan or subdivision will be designed. The plan shall show the following:

	7.4.1. Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the owner,
	applicant, and person(s) or firm(s) preparing the plan.
\checkmark	7.4.2. Location of the site under consideration, together with the current names and addresses of owners of record, of abutting properties and their existing land use.
X	7.4.3. Title, date, north arrow, scale, and Planning Board Case Number.
X	7.4.4. Tax map reference for the site under consideration, together with those of abutting properties.
$\left \right\rangle$	7.4.5. Zoning (including overlay) district references.
X	7.4.6. A vicinity sketch or aerial photo showing the location of the land/site in relation to the surrounding public street system and other pertinent location features within a distance of 2,000-feet, or larger area if deemed necessary by the Town Planner.
X	7.4.7. Natural features including watercourses and water bodies, tree lines, significant trees (16-inches diameter (caliber) or greater measured 12-inches above ground), and other significant vegetative cover, topographic features, and any other environmental features that are important to the site design process.
X	7.4.8. Man-made features such as, but not limited to, existing roads, structures, and stonewalls. The plan shall also indicate which features are to be retained and which are to be removed or altered.
X	7.4.9. Existing contours at intervals not to exceed 2-feet with spot elevations provided when the grade is less than 5%. All datum provided shall reference the latest applicable US Coast and Geodetic Survey datum and should be noted on the plan.
K	7.4.10. A High Intensity Soil Survey (HISS) of the entire site, or appropriate portion thereof. Such soil surveys shall be prepared by a certified soil scientist in accordance with the standards established by the Rockingham County Conservation District. Any cover letters or explanatory data provided by the certified soil scientist shall also be submitted.

-

	The second se	
(X)		7.4.11. State and Federally designated wetlands, setback information, total wetlands proposed to be filled, other pertinent information and the following wetlands note: "The landowner is responsible for complying with all applicable local, state, and federal wetlands regulations, including any permitting and setback requirements required under these regulations."
X		7.4.12. Surveyed property lines including angles and bearings, distances, monument locations, and size of the entire parcel. A professional land surveyor licensed in New Hampshire must attest to said plan.
X		7.4.13. The lines of existing abutting streets and driveway locations within 200-feet of the site.
X		7.4.14. The location, elevation, and layout of existing catch basins and other surface drainage features.
${}$		7.4.15. The shape, size, height, location, and use of all existing structures on the site and approximate location of structures within 200-feet of the site.
X		7.4.16. The size and location of all existing public and private utilities, including off-site utilities to which connection is planned.
X		7.4.17. The location of all existing easements, rights-of-way, and other encumbrances.
X		7.4.18. All floodplain information, including the contours of the 100- year flood elevation, based upon the Flood Insurance Rate Map for Exeter, as prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated May 17, 1982.
(X)		7.4.19. All other features which would fully explain the existing conditions of the site.
		7.4.20. Name of the site plan or subdivision.

-

The purpose of this plan is to illustrate and fully explain the proposed changes taking place within the site. The proposed site conditions plan shall depict the following:

X	7.5.1. Proposed grades and topographic contours at intervals not to exceed 2-feet with spot elevations where grade is less than 5%. All datum provided shall reference the latest applicable US Coast and Geodetic Survey datum and should be noted on the plan.
	7.5.2. The location and layout of proposed drainage systems and structures including elevations for catch basins.
X	7.5.3. The shape, size, height, and location of all proposed structures, including expansion of existing structures on the site and first floor elevation(s). Building elevation(s) and a rendering of the proposed structure(s).
X	7.5.4. High Intensity Soil Survey (HISS) information for the site, including the total area of wetlands proposed to be filled.
X	7.5.5. State and Federally designated wetlands, setback information, total wetlands proposed to be filled, other pertinent information and the following wetlands note: "The landowner is responsible for complying with all applicable local, state, and federal wetlands regulations, including any permitting and setback requirements required under these regulations."
\propto	7.5.6. Location and timing patterns of proposed traffic control devices.
$\left \right\rangle$	7.5.7. The location, width, curbing and paving of all existing and proposed streets, street rights-of-way, easements, alleys, driveways, sidewalks and other public ways. The plan shall indicate the direction of travel for one-way streets. See <u>Section 9.14 – Roadways, Access Points, and Fire Lanes</u> for further guidance.
	7.5.8. The location, size and layout of off-street parking, including loading zones. The plan shall indicate the calculations used to determine the number of parking spaces required and provided. See <u>Section</u> <u>9.13 – Parking Areas</u> for further guidance.

	 7.5.9. The size and location of all proposed public
X	and private utilities, including but not limited to: water lines, sewage disposal facilities, gas lines, power lines, telephone lines, cable lines, fire alarm connection, and other utilities.
×.	7.5.10. The location, type, and size of all proposed landscaping, screening, green space, and open space areas.
K	7.5.11. The location and type of all site lighting, including the cone(s) of illumination to a measurement of 0.5-foot-candle.
X	7.5.12. The location, size, and exterior design of all proposed signs to be located on the site.
K	7.5.13. The type and location of all solid waste disposal facilities and accompanying screening.
$\left(\star \right)$	7.5.14. Location of proposed on-site snow storage.
×	7.5.15. Location and description of all existing and proposed easement(s) and/or right-of-way.
7	7.5.16. A note indicating that: "All water, sewer, road (including parking lot), and drainage work shall be constructed in accordance with Section 9.5 Grading, Drainage, and Erosion & Sediment Control and the <u>Standard Specifications for Construction of Public</u> <u>Utilities in Exeter, New Hampshire</u> ". See <u>Section 9.14</u> <u>Roadways, Access Points, and Fire Lanes</u> and <u>Section</u> <u>9.13 Parking Areas</u> for exceptions.
\square	7.5.17. Signature block for Board approval

- S 7.7 Construction plan
- 9 7.9 Grading, drainage and erosion & sediment control plan
- 9 7.10 Landscape plan
- 2.11 Drainage Improvements and Storm Water Management Plan
- \heartsuit 7.12 Natural Resources Plan (ε)
- □ 7.13 Yield Plan

NA

SITE PLAN REVIEW APPLICATION CHECKLIST

A COMPLETED APPLICATION FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW MUST CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING

1.	Application for Hearing	(×)
2.	Abutter's List Keyed to Tax Map (including the name and business address of every engineer, architect, land surveyor, or soils scientist whose professional seal appears on any plan submitted to the Board)	(x)
3.	Completed- "Checklist for Site Plan Review"	(x)
4.	Letter of Explanation	(x)
5.	Written Request for Waiver (s) from "Site Plan Review and Subdivision Regulations" (if applicable)	() _{N/A}
6.	Completed "Preliminary Application to Connect and /or Discharge to Town of Exeter- Sewer, Water or Storm Water Drainage System(s)"(if applicable)	(x)
7.	Request for Review by the Rockingham County Conservation District (RCCD), (if applicable)	() n/a
8.	Planning Board Fees	(x)
9.	Seven (7) copies of Site Plan	(x)
10.	Fifteen (15) 11"x17" copies of the final plan to be submitted <u>TEN DAYS</u> <u>PRIOR</u> to the public hearing date.	(x)
11.	Three (3) pre-printed 1"x 2 $5/8$ " labels for each abutter, the applicant and all consultants.	(_x)

<u>NOTES</u>: All required submittals must be presented to the Planning Department office for distribution to other Town departments. Any material submitted directly to other departments will not be considered.

ABUTTERS LIST FOR NH-696.01 – EXETER, NH JULY 7, 2016

SUBJECT PARCEL

TAX MAP/LOT

048-005

OWNER OF RECORD

ECV ENTERPRISES 22 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH 03833

ABUTTERS

TAX MAP/LOT 047-012 OWNER OF RECORD

SIDNEY TRUST C/O WALL INDUSTRIES 37 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH 03833

055-068-0200

--- ---

055-068

049-008

048-004

INDUSTRIAL DRIVE CONDO ASSOC. INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH 03833

CKT ASSOCIATES INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH 03833

TOWN OF EXETER HENDERSON SWASEY FOREST 0 ROUTE 101 EXETER, NH 03833

ST. PETERSBURG DEVELOPING LLC 4 COMMERCE WAY EXETER, NH 03833

....

ABUTTERS LIST FOR NH-696.01 – EXETER, NH JULY 7, 2016

TAX MAP/LOT	OWNER OF RECORD
054-001	SIG SAUER INC 18 INDUSTRIAL DR EXETER, NH 03833
054-004	GREAT NORTH PROPERTY MGMT. 3 HOLLAND WAY SUITE 201 EXETER, NH 03833
054-006	EXETER ROSE FARM C/O ANTHONY & FRANK DAGOSTINO 24-46 OAK STREET EXETER, NH 03833

PROFESSIONALS

ENGINEERING FIRM

BEALS ASSOCIATES, PLLC. 70 PORTSMOUTH AVE. 3RD FLOOR STRATHAM, NH 03885

SOIL SCIENTIST

GOVE ENVIRONMENTAL 8 CONTINENTAL DR. BLDG. 2 UNIT H EXETER, NH 03833

CIVIL ENGINEERS:





WETLAND / SOIL CONSULTANT:



GOVE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC. 8 CONTINENTAL DRIVE, BLDG 2 UNIT H EXETER, NH 03833 1-603-778-0644



AMENDED SITE PLAN 22 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH

LOCATION MAP



PLAN SET LEGEND

- ''					IAA MA
5/8" REBAR	•	PROPOSED LIGHT POLE	•0	• •	1.4.
DRILL HOLE		PROPOSED WALL LIGHT	L		
CONC. BOUND	۵	PROPOSED PARKING COUNT	· (3)		
UTILITY POLE	5 C				· · · ·
DRAIN MANHOLE	\mathbf{D}	OVERHEAD ELEC. LINE			
SEWER MANHOLE	S	FENCING	X		
EXISTING LIGHT POLE	\$	DRAINAGE LINE	D		
EXISTING CATCH BASIN		SEWER LINE	S		
PROPOSED CATCH BASIN	E	GAS LINE	G		
WATER GATE	**	WATER LINE	WW		
WATER SHUT OFF	**	STONE WALL			
HYDRANT	ж,	TREE LINE			
PINES, ETC.	*	ABUT. PROPERTY LINES		:	
MAPLES, ETC.		EXIST. PROPERTY LINES			
EXIST. SPOT GRADE	96×69	BUILDING SETBACK LINES			
PROP. SPOT GRADE	96×69	EXIST. CONTOUR	100		
DOUBLE POST SIGN		PROP. CONTOUR		-, I	
SINGLE POST SIGN	-0-	SOIL LINES			PB CASE #
				•	·
					CHAIRMAN SIGNA

<u>INDEX</u>

2

6

TITLE SHEET

EXISTING/DEMOLITION PLAN PARKING & PAVEMENT PLAN GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN UTILITY PLAN

DRAINAGE POND PLAN CONSTRUCTION DETAILS SHEET EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

RECORD OWNER/APPLICANT

ECV ENTERPRISES 22 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE EXETER, NH 03833 TAX MAP 48 LOT 5

				REVISIONS:	DATE:
		ſ	1		
	: 	ſ	-		
· · · ·		ſ			
		ſ			
URE:		. [



.



____ ___ ___ ___ ___ ___

;





.

BUILDING SETBACK (TYP.) mmmmmmmm EXISTING 58,500 SF BUILDING Finitia FLOOR ELEV. 104.9+/-RELOCATE ELECTIC CABINET AND METER RE-USE THE REMOVED LIGHT POLES AND FIXTURES OR MATCH EXISTING, SEE DETAIL FELEC METER 111111 SHT FOR BASE DETAIL GAS METER-144 ulth SPRINKLER CONNECTION ----RELOCATE GAS METER -----RELOCATED FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION TO SPRINKLER SYSTEM E-ONB 100 Kummun 100x7 0 LOADING -----PROPOSED 100'x250' BUILDING ADDITION 创 13% FLOOR ELEV. 104.9+/--3% BUILDING SETBACK (TY -----





الاستان المراجع بين المراجع ال المراجع




CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. THE SUB GRADE FOR THE FILTER MATERIAL, GEOTEXTILE FABRIC, AND RIP RAP SHALL BE

PREPARED TO THE LINES AND GRADES SHOWN ON THE PLANS. 2. THE ROCK OR GRAVEL USED FOR FILTER OF RIP RAP SHALL CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED GRADATION. 3. GEOTEXTILE FABRICS SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM PUNCTURE OR TEARING DURING THE PLACEMENT OF THE ROCK RIP RAP. DAMAGED AREAS IN THE FABRIC SHALL BE REPAIRED BY PLACING A PIECE OF FABRIC OVER THE DAMAGED AREA OR BY COMPLETE REPLACEMENT OF THE FABRIC. ALL OVERLAPS REQUIRED FOR REPAIRS OR JOINING TWO PIECES OF FABRIC SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 12 INCHES.

4. STONE FOR THE RIP RAP MAY BE PLACED BY EQUIPMENT AND SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO THE FULL LAYER THICKNESS IN ONE OPERATION AND IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO PREVENT SEGREGATION OF THE STONE SIZES.

5. STONE FOR RIRAP SHALL BE ANGULAR OR SUBANGULAR. THE STONES SHOULD BE SHAPED SO THAT THE LEAST DIMENSION OF THE STONE FRAGMENT SHALL BE NOT LESS THAN ONE-THIRD OF THE GREATEST DIMENSION OF THE FRAGMENT.

6. FLAT ROCKS SHALL NOT USED FOR RIP RAP. VOIDS IN THE ROCK RIPRAP SHOULD BE FILLED WITH SPALLS AND SMALLER ROCKS. MAINTENANCE

1. THE OUTLET PROTECTION SHOULD BE CHECKED AT LEAST ANNUALLY AND AFTER EVERY MAJOR STORM. IF THE RIP RAP HAS BEEN DISPLACED, UNDERMINED OR DAMAGED, IT SHOULD BE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY. THE CHANNEL IMMEDIATELY BELOW THE OUTLET SHOULD BE CHECKED TO SEE THAT EROSION IS NOT OCCURRING. THE DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL SHOULD BE KEPT CLEAR OF OBSTRUCTIONS SUCH AS FALLEN TREES, DEBRIS, AND SEDIMENT THAT COULD CHANGE FLOW PATTERNS AND/OR TAILWATER DEPTHS ON THE PIPES. REPAIRS MUST BE CARRIED OUT IMMEDIATELY TO AVOID ADDITIONAL DAMAGE TO OUTLET PROTECTION.



CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

1. CUT AND REMOVE TREES IN CONSTRUCTION AREAS AS REQUIRED OR DIRECTED. 2. CONSTRUCT AND/OR INSTALL TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT SEDIMENT EROSION AND DETENTION CONTROL FACILITIES AS REQUIRED. EROSION, SEDIMENT AND DETENTION CONTROL FACILITIES SHALL BE

INSTALLED AND STABILIZED PRIOR TO ANY EARTH MOVING OPERATION AND PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM. 3. CLEAR, CUT, GRUB AND DISPOSE OF DEBRIS IN APPROVED FACILITIES. STUMPS AND DEBRIS ARE TO

BE REMOVED FROM SITE AND DISPOSED OF PER STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS. 4. EXCAVATE AND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL /LOAM. ALL AREAS SHALL BE STABILIZED IMMEDIATELY AFTER GRADING. 5. CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY CULVERTS AS REQUIRED OR DIRECTED.

6. CONSTRUCT THE ROADWAY/DRIVEWAYS AND ITS ASSOCIATED DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. ALL ROADWAYS, PARKING AREAS, AND CUT/FILL SLOPES SHALL BE STABILIZED AND/OR LOAMED AND SEEDED WITHIN 72-HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISH GRADE AS APPLICABLE. 7. INSTALL PIPE AND CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATED APPURTENANCES AS REQUIRED OR DIRECTED. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL STABILIZED IMMEDIATELY AFTER GRADING. 8. BEGIN PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING. ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES AND

DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE SEEDED OR MULCHED AS REQUIRED, OR DIRECTED. 9. DAILY OR AS REQUIRED. CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY BERMS, DRAINAGE CHECK DAMS, DITCHES, SEDIMENT TRAPS, ETC. TO PREVENT EROSION ON THE SITE AND PREVENT ANY SILTATION OF ABUTTING WATERS OR PROPERTY. 10. INSPECT AND MAINTAIN ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES DURING CONSTRUCTION

11. COMPLETE PERMANENT SEEDING AND LANDSCAPING 12. REMOVE TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AFTER SEEDING AREAS HAVE ESTABLISHED THEMSELVES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS ARE COMPLETE. SMOOTH AND REVEGETATE ALL DISTURBED AREAS

13. ALL SWALES AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURES WILL BE CONSTRUCTED AND STABILIZED PRIOR TO HAVING RUNOFF DIRECTED TO THEM. 14. FINISH PAVING ALL ROADWAYS/DRIVEWAYS.

15. LOT DISTURBANCE OTHER THAN THAT SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS SHALL NOT COMMENCE UNTIL THE ROADWAY HAS THE BASE COURSE TO DESIGN ELEVATION AND THE ASSOCIATED DRAINAGE IS COMPLETE AND STABLE.

PROMPTLY.

1. ALL DISTURBED AREAS THAT DO NOT HAVE AT LEAST 85% VEGETATIVE COVERAGE PRIOR TO OCTOBER 15TH, SHALL BE STABILIZED BY APPLYING MULCH AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. ALL SIDE SLOPES, STEEPER THAN 4:1, THAT ARE NOT DIRECTED TO SWALES OR DETENTION BASINS, SHALL BE LINED WITH BIODEGRADABLE/PHOTODEGRADABLE "JUTE MATTING" (EXCELSIOR'S CURLEX II OR EQUAL). ALL OTHER SLOPES SHALL BE MULCHED AND TACKED AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. THE APPLICATION OF MULCH AND/OR JUTE MATTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER EXISTING SNOW COVER. IF THE SITE IS ACTIVE AFTER NOVEMBER 15TH, ANY SNOW THAT ACCUMULATES ON DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE REMOVED. PRIOR TO SPRING THAW ALL AREAS WILL BE STABILIZED, AS DIRECTED ABOVE.

GRADED AND SHAPED.

3. PRIOR TO NOV. 15TH ALL ROADWAY AND PARKING AREAS SHALL BE BROUGHT UP TO AND THROUGH THE BANK RUN GRAVEL APPLICATION. IF THESE AREAS' ELEVATIONS ARE PROPOSED TO REMAIN BELOW THE PROPOSED SUBGRADE ELEVATION, THE SUBGRADE MATERIAL SHALL BE ROUGHLY CROWNED AND A 3" LAYER OF CRUSHED GRAVEL SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED. THIS WILL ALLOW THE SUBGRADE TO SHED RUNOFF AND WILL REDUCE ROADWAY EROSION. THIS CRUSHED GRAVEL DOES NOT HAVE TO CONFORM TO NH DOT 304.3, BUT SHALL HAVE BETWEEN 15-25% PASSING THE #200 SIEVE AND THE LARGEST STONE SIZE SHALL BE 2". IF THE SITE IS ACTIVE AFTER NOVEMBER 15TH, ANY ACCUMULATED SNOW SHALL BE REMOVED FROM ALL ROADWAY AND PARKING AREAS.

4. AFTER OCTOBER 15TH. THE END OF NEW HAMPSHIRE'S AVERAGE GROWING SEASON, NO ADDITIONAL LOAM SHALL BE SPREAD ON SIDE SLOPES AND SWALES. THE STOCKPILES THAT WILL BE LEFT UNDISTURBED UNTIL SPRING SHALL BE SEEDED BY THIS DATE. AFTER OCTOBER 15TH. ANY NEW OR DISTURBED PILES SHALL BE MULCHED AT A RATE OF 3-4 TONS PER ACRE. ALL STOCKPILES THAT WILL REMAIN THROUGHOUT THE WINTER SHALL BE SURROUNDED WITH SILT FENCING.

SEEDING SPECIFICATIONS 1. GRADING AND SHAPING

A. SLOPES SHALL NOT BE STEEPER THAN 2:1:3:1 SLOPES OR FLATTER ARE PREFERRED. WHERE MOWING WILL BE DONE. 3:1 SLOPES OR FLATTER ARE RECOMMENDED. 2. SEEDBED PREPARATION

A. SURFACE AND SEEPAGE WATER SHOULD BE DRAINED OR DIVERTED FROM THE SITE TO PREVENT DROWNING OR WINTER KILLING OF THE PLANTS. B. STONES LARGER THAN 4 INCHES AND TRASH SHOULD BE REMOVED BECAUSE THEY INTERFERE WITH SEEDING AND FUTURE MAINTENANCE OF THE AREA. WHERE FEASIBLE. THE SOIL SHOULD BE TILLED TO A DEPTH OF ABOUT 4 INCHES TO PREPARE A SEEDBED AND MIX FERTILIZER AND LIME INTO THE SOIL. THE SEEDBED SHOULD BE LEFT IN REASONABLY FIRM AND SMOOTH CONDITION. THE LAST TILLAGE OPERATION SHOULD BE PERFORMED ACROSS THE SLOPE WHEREVER PRACTICAL. 3. ESTABLISHING A STAND

A. LIME AND FERTILIZER SHOULD BE APPLIED PRIOR TO OR AT THE TIME OF SEEDING AND INCORPORATED INTO THE SOIL KINDS AND AMOUNTS OF LIME AND FERTILIZER SHOULD BE BASED ON AN EVALUATION OF SOIL TESTS. WHEN A SOIL TEST IS NOT AVAILABLE, THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM AMOUNTS SHOULD BE APPLIED: AGRICULTURAL LIMESTONE, 2 TONS PER ACRE OR 100 LBS PER 1,000 SQ. FT..

PHOSPHATE(P205), 100 LBS PER ACRE OR 2. 2 LBS PER 1,000 SQ.FT. POTASH(K2O), 100 LBS PER ACRE OR 2. 2 LBS PER 1,000 SQ.FT.

(NOTE: THIS IS THE EQUIVALENT OF 500 LBS PER ACRE OF 10-20-20 FERTILIZER OR 1,000 LBS PER ACRE OF 5 - 10 - 10.

B. SEED SHOULD BE SPREAD UNIFORMLY BY THE METHOD MOST APPROPRIATE FOR THE SITE. METHODS INCLUDE BROADCASTING, DRILLING AND HYDROSEEDING. WHERE BROADCASTING IS USED, COVER SEED WITH .25 INCH OF SOIL OR LESS, BY CULTIPACKING OR RAKING.

C. REFER TO TABLE(G-E1 THIS SHEET) FOR APPROPRIATE SEED MIXTURES AND TABLE(H-E1 THIS SHEET) FOR RATES OF SEEDING. ALL LEGUMES (CROWN VETCH, BIRDS FOOT TREFOIL, AND FLAT PEA) MUST BE INOCULATED WITH THEIR SPECIFIC INOCULANT.

D. WHEN SEEDED AREAS ARE MULCHED, PLANTINGS MAY BE MADE FROM EARLY SPRING TO EARLY OCTOBER. WHEN SEEDED AREAS ARE NOT MULCHED. PLANTINGS SHOULD BE MADE FROM EARLY SPRING TO MAY 20 OR FROM AUGUST 10 TO SEPTEMBER 1.

4. MULCH

A. HAY, STRAW, OR OTHER MULCH, WHEN NEEDED, SHOULD BE APPLIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEDING. B. MULCH WILL BE HELD IN PLACE USING APPROPRIATE TECHNIQUES FROM THE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE FOR MULCHING. HAY OR STRAW MULCH SHALL BE PLACED AT A RATE OF 90 LBS PER 1000 SQ. FT.

5. MAINTENANCE TO ESTABLISH A STAND

- BECOME ESTABLISHED.



1. STONE FOR A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE 1 TO 2 INCH STONE, RECLAIMED STONE, OR RECYCLED CONCRETE EQUIVALENT.

2. THE LENGTH OF THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 50 FEET, EXCEPT FOR A SINGLE RESIDENTIAL LOT WHERE A 30 FOOT MINIMUM LENGTH WOULD APPLY 3. THE THICKNESS OF THE STONE FOR THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 6 INCHES. 4. THE WIDTH OF THE ENTRANCE SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THE FULL WIDTH OF THE ENTRANCE WHERE INGRESS OR EGRESS OCCURS OR 10 FEET, WHICH EVER IS GREATER. 5. GEOTEXTILE FILTER CLOTH SHALL BE PLACED OVER THE ENTIRE AREA PRIOR TO PLACING THE STONE. FILTER CLOTH IS NOT REQUIRED FOR A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE LOT.

6. ALL SURFACE WATER THAT IS FLOWING TO OR DIVERTED TOWARD THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE PIPED BENEATH THE ENTRANCE. IF PIPING IS IMPRACTICAL, A BERM WITH 5:1 SLOPES THAT CAN BE CROSSED BY VEHICLES MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR THE PIPE.

7. THE ENTRANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A CONDITION THAT WILL PREVENT TRACKING OR FLOWING OF SEDIMENT ONTO PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY. THIS MAY REQUIRE PERIODIC TOP DRESSING WITH ADDITIONAL STONE AS CONDITIONS DEMAND AND REPAIR AND/OR CLEAN OUT OF ANY MEASURES USED TO TRAP SEDIMENT. ALL SEDIMENT SPILLED, WASHED, OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY MUST BE REMOVED

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

WINTER MAINTENANCE

2. ALL SWALES THAT DO NOT HAVE FULLY ESTABLISHED VEGETATION SHALL BE EITHER LINED WITH TEMPORARY JUTE MATTING OR TEMPORARY STONE CHECK DAMS (APPROPRIATELY SPACED). STONE CHECK DAMS WILL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE WINTER MONTHS. IF THE SWALES ARE TO BE MATTED WITH PERMANENT LINERS OR RIPRAP WITH ENGINEERING FABRIC, THIS SHALL BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO WINTER SHUTDOWN OR AS SOON AS THEY ARE PROPERLY

NITROGEN(N), 50 LBS PER ACRE OR 1. 1 LBS PER 1,000 SQ.FT.

A. PLANTED AREA SHOULD BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE BY FIRE, GRAZING, TRAFFIC, AND DENSE WEED GROWTH. B. FERTILIZATION NEEDS SHOULD BE DETERMINED BY ONSITE INSPECTIONS. SUPPLEMENTAL FERTILIZER IS USUALLY THE KEY TO FULLY COMPLETE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE STAND BECAUSE MOST PERENNIAL STAKE 2 TO 3 YEARS TO

C. IN WATERWAYS, CHANNELS, OR SWALES WHERE UNIFORM FLOW CONDITIONS ARE ANTICIPATED, OCCASIONAL MOWING MAY BE NECESSARY TO CONTROL GROWTH OF WOODY VEGETATION.





USE	SEEDING MIXTURE 1/	DROUGH
STEEP CUTS AND FILLS, BORROW AND DISPOSAL	A B C	FAIR POOR POOR
AREAS	D E	FAIR FAIR
WATERWAYS, EMERGENCY SPILLWAYS, AND OTHER	A C D	GOOD GOOD
CHANNELS WITH FLOWING WATER.	D	GOOD
 LIGHTLY USED PARKING LOTS, ODD AREAS, UNUSED LANDS, AND	A B C	GOOD GOOD GOOD
LOW INTENSITY USE RECREATION SITES.	D	FAIR
 PLAY AREAS AND ATHLETIC FIELDS. (TOPSOIL IS ESSENTIAL FOR GOOD TURF.)	F G	FAIR FAIR

NOTE: TEMPORARY SEED MIX FOR STABILIZATION OF TURF SHALL BE WINTER RYE OR DATS AT A RATE OF 2.5 LBS, PER 1000 S.F. AND SHALL BE PLACED PRIOR TO OCT. 15, IF PERMANENT SEEDING NOT YET COMPLETE.

	Section A - A SEEDING	RATES	
CREEPING RED TOTALFESCUE200.45RED TOTAL720.05NOTAL420.95B. TALL FESCUE150.35CREEPING 	MIXTURE		POUNDS PER 1.000 Sq. Ft.
CREEPINGREDFESCUE100.25CROWN VETCH150.35OR150.35OR100.75TOTAL400RFLATPEA300.75TOTAL400R55O.95OR1.35C. TALLFESCUE200.45BIRDSFOOTTREFOIL8TOTAL481.10D. TALLFESCUE200.45FLATFEA300.75TOTAL501.20E. CREEPINGREDFESCUE1/D. TALLFESCUE1/501.15KENTUCKYBLUEGRASS1/501.15TOTAL1002.30F.1.15F. TALLFESCUE11503.601// FORHEAVYUSEATHLETICFIELDSCONSULTNEWHAMPSHIRECOOPERATIVEEXTENSIONTURFNEWHAMPSHIRECOOPERATIVEEXTENSIONTURF	CREEPING RED FESCUE RED TOP	20	0.45
FLAT PEA TOTAL 30 40 OR 55 0.75 0.95 OR 1.35C. TALL FESCUE CREEPING RED FESCUE BIRDS FOOT TREFOIL TOTAL20 	CREEPING RED FESCUE CROWN VETCH	10	0.25
CREEPINGREDFESCUE20 0.45 BIRDSFOOTTREFOIL $\frac{8}{48}$ 0.20 TOTAL 48 1.10 D.TALLFESCUE 20 0.45 FLATPEA 30 0.75 TOTAL 50 1.20 E.CREEPINGREDFESCUE $1/$ 50 KENTUCKYBLUEGRASS $1/$ 50 1.15 TOTAL 100 2.30 F.TALLFESCUE 1 150 3.60 $1/$ FORHEAVYUSEATHLETICFIELDSCONSULTNEWHAMPSHIRECOOPERATIVEEXTENSIONTURFSPECIALIST	FLAT PEA		
FLAT PEA TOTAL 30 50 0.75 1.20 E. CREEPING RED FESCUE 1/ KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS 1/ TOTAL 50 1.15 50 1.15 100 1.15 2.30 F. TALL FESCUE 1 150 3.60 1/ FOR HEAVY USE ATHLETIC FIELDS CONSULT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COOPERATIVE EXTENSION TURF SPECIALIST FOR	CREEPING RED FESCUE BIRDS FOOT TREFOIL	20	0.45 0.20
KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS 1/ 50 1.15 TOTAL 100 2.30 F. TALL FESCUE 1 150 3.60 1/ FOR HEAVY USE ATHLETIC FIELDS CONSULT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COOPERATIVE EXTENSION TURF SPECIALIST FOR	FLAT PEA	20 <u>30</u> 50	
1/ FOR HEAVY USE ATHLETIC FIELDS CONSULT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COOPERATIVE EXTENSION TURF SPECIALIST FOR	KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS 1/	50	_1.15
NEW HAMPSHIRE COOPERATIVE EXTENSION TURF SPECIALIST FOR	F. TALL FESCUE 1	150	3.60
	NEW HAMPSHIRE COOPERATIVE EX	TENSION TURF S	

EROSION & SEDIMENTATION EXETER, NH **DATE: JULY 2016** SCALE: NTS

SHEET NO. 7 OF 7

PROJ. N0: NH-696



TOWN OF EXETER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

10 FRONT STREET • EXETER, NH • 03833-3792 • (603) 778-0591 •FAX 772-4709 www.exeternh.gov

September 9, 2016

Christian O. Smith, P.E. Beals Associates, PLLC 70 Portsmouth Avenue Stratham, New Hampshire 03885

Re: PB Case #21612 – Ted Boschetto (on behalf of East Coast Ventures, Inc.) N/R Site Plan Review for Proposed Addition 22 Industrial Drive, Exeter, N.H. Tax Map Parcel #48-5

Dear Mr. Smith:

Please be advised that at the meeting of September 8^{th} , 2016, the Exeter Planning Board voted to **TABLE** the above-captioned application for the proposed construction of a 30,000 square foot addition to an existing building and associated site improvements on the property located at 22 Industrial Drive, as presented, pending review by the Conservation Commission. The Applicant is scheduled to return to the Planning Board at the September 22^{nd} , 2016 meeting.

Please feel free to contact the Planning Department at 773-6114 with any questions.

Sincerely,

Pete Cameron *Chairman Protem* Exeter Planning Board

cc: Ted Boschetto, ECV, Inc. Douglas Eastman, Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer Jennifer Mates, Ass't. Town Engineer Janet Whitten, Assessing Office

PC:bsm

f:\docs\plan'g & build'g dept\pb cases\2016 cases\pb 21612 ecv, inc. 22 industrial dr. tabled-let.docx

TOWN OF EXETER CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION

FOR

Pinkham Village Improvements The Woods at RiverWoods

Exeter, New Hampshire

August 2016

Prepared For:

The RiverWoods Company at Exeter, N.H. 7 RiverWoods Drive Exeter, New Hampshire 03833

Prepared By:

ALTUS ENGINEERING, INC.

133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801-4413 Telephone: (603) 433-2335 Fax: (603) 433-4194



Civil Site Planning Environmental Engineering

133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801-4413

August 23, 2016

Dave Sharples, Town Planner Town of Exeter 10 Front Street Exeter, New Hampshire 03833

Re: *RiverWoods – Pinkham Village Improvements –* Case No. 21614 7 RiverWoods Drive Exeter, New Hampshire

Dear Mr. Sharples:

On behalf of the applicant, The RiverWoods Company, we are pleased to submit the attached Application for a Conditional Use Permit (Wetland Conservation Overlay District) to the Exeter Planning Board. The *Pinkham Village Improvements* project, located at "The Woods" campus, proposes a closed drainage system extending into the court yard area to address safety concerns due to runoff and ice melt crossing the walkways. A tree box filter is proposed to filter and cool the initial flush of roof runoff before discharging to adjacent wetlands. There will be no direct wetlands impact; however, 807 square feet of disturbance will occur within the 40-foot buffer of the jurisdictional wetland. With the exception of the level spreader (110 square feet), the majority of the buffer impact is located in previously developed land.

Enclosed are the following documents for the Conditional Use Permit Application:

- Application Form (15) copies
- Attachment A Project Description
- Tax Map from Town GIS
- Abutter's List
- Plan sets (15) 11" x 17" copies and (3) 22" x 34" copies
- Check for \$390 for application (\$50 application fee plus \$10 each for 29 abutters and 5 professionals)

Please call if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

flui

Jeffrey K. Clifford, P.E. Vice President

RMB/jkc/4716.001.DS.CUP.ltr.doc

Enclosures e-copy (w/ plans and application): Adam Gilsdorf and Kathleen Lafave, RiverWoods at Exeter, w/ encls. Robbi Woodburn, Woodburn & Associates



Conditional Use Permit: Wetland Conservation Overlay District

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Fifteen (15) copies of the Application
- 2. Fifteen (15) 11"x17" and three (3) full sized copies of the plan which must include:
- Existing Conditions
 - a. Property Boundaries
 - b. Edge of Wetland and associated Buffer (Wetlands Conservation Overlay District WCOD)
 - --Prime wetland: 100'
- --Very Poorly Drained: 50'
- --Vernal Pool (>200 SF): 75'
- --Poorly Drained: 40'
- --Exemplary Wetland: 50'
- --Inland Stream: 25'
- c. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements

Proposed Conditions

- a. Edge of Wetlands and Wetland Buffers and distances to the following:
 - i. Edge of Disturbance
 - ii. Structures, roads/access ways, parking, drainage systems, utilities, wells and wastewater disposal systems and other site improvements
- b. Name and phone number of all individuals whose professional seal appears on the plan
- 3. If applicant and/or agent is not the owner, a letter of authorization must accompany this application
- 4. Supporting documents i.e. Letters from the Department of Environmental Services, Standard Dredge and Fill Application and Photos of the property
- 5. A Town of Exeter Assessors list of names and mailing addresses of all abutters

Required Fees:		
Planning Board Fee: \$50. 00	Abutter Fee: \$10. 00	Recording Fee (if applicable): \$25. 00

The Planning Office must receive the completed application, plans and fees on the day indicated on the Planning Board Schedule of Deadlines and Public Hearings.

APPLICANT	Name: The RiverWoods Company at Exeter, NH
	Address: 7 RiverWoods Drive, Exeter, NH 03833
	Email Address: agilsdorf@rivewoodsrc.org
	Phone: 603-275-4127
PROPOSAL	Address: 7 RiverWoods Drive, Exeter, NH 03833
	Tax Map #7 Lot#23 Zoning District: R-1
	Owner of Record: The RiverWoods Company at Exeter, NH
Person/Business	Name: Altus Engineering, Inc.
performing work	Address: 133 Court Street, Portsmouth, NH 03801
outlined in proposal	Phone: 603-433-2335
Professional that	Name: West Environmental, Inc.
delineated wetlands	Address: 48 Stevens Hill Road, Nottingham, NH 03290
	Phone: 603-734-4298

Town of Exeter Planning Board Application Conditional Use Permit: Wetland Conservation Overlay District

Detailed Proposal including intent, project description, and use of property: (Use additional sheet as needed)

See Attachment A

Watland Conservation	Overlay District Impact	(in squaro	footage):	
Temporary Impact	Wetland:	(SQ FT.)	Buffer:	(SQ FT.)
r r s r	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)		☐ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	U VPD		UPD VPD	
	D PD		D PD	667 s.f.
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	
Permanent Impact	Wetland:		Buffer:	
	Prime Wetlands		Prime Wetlands	
	Exemplary Wetlands		Exemplary Wetlands	
	□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)		□ Vernal Pools (>200SF)	
	U VPD		UPD VPD	
	D PD		🔀 PD	<u>140 s.f</u> .
	Inland Stream		Inland Stream	
List any variances/special	exceptions granted by Zoni	ing Board of	Adjustment including dates	s:
See Attachment A				
Describe how your proposal meets the conditions of Article 9.1.6.B of the Town of Exeter Zoning Ordinance:				
See Attachment A				

ABUTTERS: PLEASE LIST ALL PERSONS WHOSE PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE AND ADJOINS OR IS DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET OR STREAM FROM THE LAND UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD. THIS LIST SHALL BE COMPILED FROM THE EXETER TAX ASSESSOR'S RECORDS

TAX MAP	51	EE ATTACHED LIST
NAME NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS NAME	TAX MAP	TAXMAP
ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP	NAME	
TAX MAP	ADDRESS	
TAX MAP		
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NA	ΤΑΥΜΑΡ	
ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAXMAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME	NAME	TAX MAP
ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX M	ADDRESS	
NAME TAXMAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP		ADDECC
NAME TAXMAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP	ΤΑΥΜΑΡ	
ADDRESS		
ADDRESS		
TAX MAP		ADDRESS
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP		
ADDRESS	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	TAX MAP
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	NAME
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP		ADDRESS
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP	ΤΑΧ ΜΑΡ	
ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP NAME MAME ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS TAX MAP ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP	NAME	TAX MAP
ADDRESS	ADDRESS	
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP		ADDRESS
NAME TAX MAP ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP	ΤΑΥΜΑΡ	
ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP NAME NAME ADDRESS NAME ADDRESS NAME TAX MAP TAX MAP MAME ADDRESS TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP TAX MAP		TAX MAP
		NAME
TAX MAP	ADDRESS	ADDRESS
NAME		
NAME	TAX MAP	
ADDRESS	NAME	TAX MAP
TAX MAP NAME TAX MAP	ADDRESS	NAME
NAME TAX MAP		ADDRESS
NAME TAX MAP	TAX MAP	
	NAME	TAX MAP
ADDRESS NAME	ADDRESS	
ADDRESS		ADDRESS
	TAX MAP	TAX MAP
NAME NAME	NAME	NAME
ADDRESS ADDRESS	ADDRESS	ADDRESS

Please attach additional sheets if needed

Attachment A

Conditional Use Permit Application for RiverWoods - Pinkham Village Improvements

Detailed Proposal including intent, project description, and use of property:

The RiverWoods Company is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit corporation that owns and operates three vibrant, self-contained, not-for-profit Continuous Care Retirement Communities (CCRC) in the Town of Exeter. The project consists of drainage and landscape improvements at "The Woods" facility located on the south side of Kingston Road (Rte. 111). The improvements will address roof runoff/water concerns along the building foundation. All proposed uses at the subject property are associated with congregate elderly care, an allowed use in the R-1 Zone by Special Exception.

A Conditional Use Permit is required for encroachment into the Town of Exeter Wetlands Conservation Overlay District. This includes the construction of a tree box filter and drain line outfall all of which is located within its wetlands buffer. The intent of the tree box filter is to cool roof runoff via subsurface stone filled layer and to also treat runoff via an 18-inch thick filter media above. The encroachments are shown on Sheet C-2, RiverWoods Pinkham Village Improvements and the areas listed as follows:

40-foot Buffer Impact 807 s.f.

List any variances/special exceptions granted by Zoning Board of Adjustment including dates:

<u>Special Exception granted</u> Case No. 957, February 1991 – Congregate Care and Building Height Case No. 969, June 1991 – Roadway access to the site Case No. 1092, August 1997 – Add one (1) residential unit

Describe how your proposal meets the conditions of Article 9.1.6.B of the Town of Exeter Zoning Ordinance:

1. That the proposed use is permitted in the underlying zoning district;

The propose use (Congregate Care Retirement Community) is permitted in the underlying zone (R-1) by Special Exception, which was received on February 1991. The proposed encroachment into the Wetlands Conservation Wetlands Overlay District is associated with the construction of a system to treat roof runoff and outfall pipe to daylight runoff.

2. That the use for which the permit is sought cannot feasibly be carried out on a portion or portions of the lot which are outside the Wetlands Conservation Overlay District;

The site constraint and minimal topography relief limit the ability to treat runoff prior to discharging to nearby wetlands buffer.

3. That the design, construction and maintenance of the proposed use will, to the extent feasible, minimize detrimental impact on the wetland or wetland buffer and that no alternative design which does not impact a wetland or wetland buffer or which has less detrimental impact on the wetland or wetland buffer is feasible;

The tree box filter will significantly improve the runoff by filtering and cooling stormwater runoff.

4. In cases where the proposed use is temporary or where construction activity disturbs areas adjacent to the immediate use, that the landowner agrees to restore the site as nearly as possible to its original grade and condition following construction;

The impact area involves re-grading the area to construct the tree box filter and outfall. All areas disturbed will be revegetated.

5. That the proposed use will not create a hazard to individual or public health, safety and welfare due to the loss of wetland, the contamination of groundwater, or other reasons;

The construction of the stormwater collection system will eliminate potential water issues at the building foundation and the tree box filter will improve water quality of the runoff.

6. That all required permits shall be obtained from the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Water Supply and Pollution Control Division under NH RSA §485-A: 17, the New Hampshire Wetlands Board under NH RSA §483-A, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

There will be no direct wetlands impacts, therefore no NHDES Wetlands permit is required.



Abutters List – CUP Application

Compiled August 9, 2016

RIVERWOODS CO. AT EXETER TAX MAP 97, LOT 23 Exeter, New Hampshire

Tax Map 96 Lot 17 Larry Zellner & Maureen Ryan 35 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 18 Julia & Andrew McPhee 33 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 19 David & Christine Soutter 31 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 20 Nathan & Diane Day 29 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 21 John & Judith Kelso 27 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 22 Sanjay & Ritu Rajan 25 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 96 Lot 23 Lawrence & Jacqueline Arlen 23 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 73 Lot 47 Boston and Maine Railroad Corp. 1700 Iron Horse Park North Billerica, MA 01862 Tax Map 97 Lot 8 Jeffrey & Angela Tougas 4 Riverwoods Drive Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 9 Richard & Diane Boynton 6 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 16 Kelsey & Terrance Cosgrove 21 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 17 Jean F. Fremont-Smith, Trustee 19 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 18 Mary Grillo 17 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lots 19 William & Kathleen Evans 15 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 20 James & Virginia Harnett 13 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 21 John & Carol Sideris Revoc. Trust 11 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Abutters List – CUP Application

Compiled August 9, 2016

RIVERWOODS CO. AT EXETER TAX MAP 97, LOT 23 Exeter, New Hampshire

Tax Map 97 Lot 22 Christopher & Courtney Benevides 9 Cullen Way Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97Lot 24Tax Map 102Lot 3Town of Exeter1010 Front StreetExeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 25 Trivikram & Stephanie Godse 5 Riverwoods Drive Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 26 Daniel & Susan Sarmiento 3 Riverwoods Drive Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 27 Portland Natural Gas c/o Spectra Energy Corp P.O. Box 1642 Houston, TX 77251

Tax Map 97 Lot 28 Grant & Carol Murray 74 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 29 Robert Lannon & Shiela Groonell 78 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 31 Frederick Bird Revoc. Trust 84 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833 Tax Map 97 Lot 32 Lauren Drinker 88 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 33 Todd & Bonnie Goudreau 90 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 34 Keely Rose McElwain 92 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 97 Lot 37 Sandra Bowers Revoc. Trust 83 Kingston Road Exeter, NH 03833

Tax Map 102 Lot 4 Schaefer Family Rev. Living Trust 24 Powder Mill Road Exeter, NH 03833

Abutters List – CUP Application

Compiled August 9, 2016

RIVERWOODS CO. AT EXETER TAX MAP 97, LOT 23 Exeter, New Hampshire

Owner/Applicant:

Riverwoods Co. at Exeter 7 Riverwoods Drive Exeter, NH 03833

Landscape Architect:

Woodburn & Company Robbi Woodburn 102 Kent Place Newmarket, NH 03857

Civil Engineer:

Altus Engineering, Inc. Jeffrey K. Clifford 133 Court Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Wetland Scientist:

West Environmental, Inc. Mark West 48 Stevens Hill Road Nottingham, NH 03290

Surveyor:

Doucet Survey, Inc. 102 Kent Place Newmarket, NH 03857

Prepared by Altus Engineering, Inc.

Rald m.B

Owner/Applicant:

RiverWoods Company at Exeter, N.H.

7 RiverWoods Drive Exeter, New Hampshire 03833 Tel. (603) 772-4700

Landscape Architect:





133 COURT STREET (603) 433-2335

PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 www.ALTUS-ENG.com

RIVERWOODS -PINKHAM VILLAGE IMPROVEMENTS TAX MAP 97 LOT 23 7 RIVERWOODS DRIVE EXETER, NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE PLANS

PLAN ISSUED DATE:

August 16, 2016

CUP Submission





Sheet Index Title	Sheet No.:	Rev.
Topographic Plan (Doucet Survey, Inc.)	1 of 1	_
Site Preparation Plan	C-1	0
Site Plan	C-2	0
Landscape Details	L—1	0
Detail Sheet	C-3	0
Detail Sheet	C-4	0







TREE PLANTING DETAIL

- VENEER CAP, MORTAR IN PLACE, OVERHANG 2"

- PROPOSED GRADE









PROJECT NAME AND LOCATION Applicant: LATITUDE: 042 58' 04" N C. TEMPORARY GRASS COVER RiverWoods at Exeter LONGITUDE: 070° 58' 57" W 1. Seedbed Preparation 7 RiverWoods Drive Exeter, NH 03820 DESCRIPTION 2. Seeding The site work for the project consists of building renovations and site improvements NAME OF RECEIVING WATER Closed drainage system draining to wetlands tributary of the Exeter River. TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND STABILIZATION PRACTICES 3. Maintenance All work shall be in accordance with state and local permits. Siltation barriers shall be installed prior to commencing any clearing or grading of the site. Structural controls shall be installed concurrently with the applicable activity. Once construction activity ceases permanently in an area, silt fences and hay bale barriers and any earth/dikes will be removed once D. FILTERS permanent measures are established. During construction, runoff will be diverted around the site with stabilized channels where possible. Sheet 1. Straw/Hay Bales runoff from the site shall be filtered through hay bale barriers, stone check dams, and silt fences. All storm drain inlets shall be provided with hay bale filters or stone check dams. Stone rip rap shall be a. Sheet Flow Applications provided at the outlets of drain pipes and culverts where shown on the drawings. Temporary and permanent vegetation and mulching is an integral component of the erosion and sedimentation control plan. All areas shall be inspected and maintained until vegetative cover is established. These control measures are essential to erosion prevention and also reduce costly rework of graded and 2. shaped areas. Temporary vegetation shall be maintained in these areas until permanent seeding is applied. Additionally, erosion sedimentation measures shall be maintained until permanent vegetation is established. 4 INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION PROCEDURES FOR TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES A. GENERAL These are the general inspection and maintenance practices that shall be used to implement the plan. 2. Silt Fence 1. The smallest practical portion of the site shall be denuded at one time. The amount of open area shall be determined by an approved "Construction Sequence Plan" which will be prepared by the contractor and а. submitted to the engineer at least 30 days prior to construction. 2. All control measures shall be inspected at least once each week and following any storm event of 0.5 inches or greater. 3. All measures shall be maintained in good working order; if a repair is necessary, it will be initiated within 24 hours. 4. Built up sediment shall be removed from silt fence or haybale barriers when it has reached one third the height of the fence or bale, or when "bulges" occur. 5. All diversion dikes shall be inspected and any breaches promptly repaired. 6. Temporary seeding and planting shall be inspected for bare spots, washouts, and unhealthy growth. 7. A maintenance inspection report shall be made after each inspection. 8. The Contractor's site superintendent shall be responsible for inspections, maintenance and repair activities, and filling out the inspection and maintenance report. The report will be provided to the owner's site b. representative within 24 hours. 9. The owner's authorized engineer shall inspect the site on a periodic basis to review compliance with the C. 10. An area shall be considered stable if one of the following has occurred: d. a. Base coarse gravels have been installed in areas to be paved; b. A minimum of 85% vegetated growth as been established; c. A minimum of 3 inches of non-erosive material such as stone of riprap has been installed or d. Erosion control blankets have been properly installed. MULCHING Timing 1. Mulching - mulch shall be used on highly erodible soils, on critically eroding areas, on areas where conservation of moisture will facilitate plant establishment, and where shown on the plans. permanently stabilized In order for mulch to be effective, it must be in place prior to major storm events. There are two (2) types of 3. Silt Sock or approved equal standards that shall be used to assure this. a. Apply mulch prior to any storm event. This is applicable when working within 100 feet of wetlands. It shall be necessary to closely monitor weather 4. Sequence of Installation predictions, usually by contacting the National Weather Service in Concord, to have adequate warning of significant storms. Required Mulching within a specified time period. b. 5. Maintenance The time period can range from 21 to 28 days of inactivity on a area, the length of time varying with site conditions. Professional judgment shall be used to evaluate the interaction of site conditions (soil erodibility, season of year, extent of disturbance, proximity to sensitive resources, etc.) and the potential impact of erosion on adjacent areas to choose an appropriate time restriction. 2. Mulch Application. Standard rate Winter rate <u>per 1,000 s.</u>f. <u>per 1,000 s.f.</u> <u>Use and Comments</u> Туре Hay or Straw 75–92 lbs. 150-185 lbs. Must be dry and free from mold. the barrier. May be used with plantings. Jute and Fibrous As per manufacturer As per manufacturer Used in scope areas. Matting Specifications Specifications water coursed and other areas. Crushed Stone Spread more than Spread more than Effective in controlling 1/4" to 1-1/2" dia. 1/2" thick 1/2" thick wind and water erosion 460 to 920 lbs. Used mostly with trees E. PERMANENT SEEDING Wood chips or and shrub plantings bark mulch Erosion Control Mix * The organic matter content is between 2" thick min. Per winter 80 and 100%, dry weight basis. season specification * Particle size by weight is 100% passing a 6" screen and a minimum of 70 %, maximum of 85%, passing a 0.75" screen. * The organic portion needs to be fibrous and elongated. * Large portions of silts, clays or fine sands are not acceptable in the mix. * Soluble salts content is less than 4.0 mmhos/cm. 3. Seed Mixture: * The pH should fall between 5.0 and 8.0. 4. 3. Maintenance

All mulches shall be inspected periodically, in particular after rainstorms, to check for rill erosion. If less than 90% of the soil surface is covered by the specified thickness of mulch, additional mulch shall be immediately applied.

Apply fertilizer at the rate of 600 pounds per acre of 10-10-10. Apply limestone (equivalent to 50 percent calcium plus magnesium oxide) at a rate of three (3) tons per acre.

a. Utilize annual rye grass at a rate of 40 lbs/acre.

- Where the soil has been compacted by construction operations, loosen soil to a depth of two (2) inches before applying fertilizer, lime and seed.
- Apply seed uniformly by hand, cyclone seeder, or hydroseeder (slurry including seed and fertilizer). Hydroseedings, which include mulch, may be left on soil surface. Seeding rates must be increased 10% when hydroseeding.

Temporary seedings shall be periodically inspected. At a minimum, 95% of the soil surface should be covered by vegetation. If any evidence of erosion or sedimentation is apparent, repairs shall be made and other temporary measures used in the interim (mulch, filter barriers, check dams, etc.).

- Bales shall be placed in a single row, lengthwise on the contour, with ends of adjacent bales tightly abutting one another.
- All bales shall be string-tied. Bales shall be installed so that bindings are oriented around the sides rather than along the tops and bottoms of the bales to prevent deterioration of the bindings.
- The barrier shall be entrenched and backfilled. A trench shall be excavated the width of a bale and the length of the proposed barrier to a minimum depth of four (4) inches. After the bales are staked and chinked the excavated soil shall be backfilled against the barrier. Backfill soil shall conform to the ground level on the downhill side and shall be built up to four (4) inches against the uphill side of the barrier. Ideally, bales should be placed ten (10) feet away from the toe of slope.
- Each bale shall be securely anchored by at least two (2) stakes driven through the bale. The first stake in each bale shall be driven toward the previously laid bale to force the bales together. Stakes shall be driven deep enough into the ground to securely anchor the bales.
- The gaps between bales shall be chinked (filled by wedging) with hay to prevent water from escaping between the bales.

Synthetic filter fabric shall be a pervious sheet of propylene, nylon, polyester or ethylene yarn and shall be certified by the manufacturer or supplier as conforming to the following requirements:

Physical Property	Test	Requirements
Filtering Efficiency	VTM-51	75% minimum
Tensile Strength at 20% Maximum Elongation*	VTM-52	Extra Strength 50 lb/lin in (min)
		Standard Strength 30 lb/lin in (min)
Flow Rate	VTM-51	0.3 gal/sf/min (min)
Requirements reduced by	, 50 percent after	six (6) months of installation.
~		

Synthetic filter fabric shall contain ultraviolet ray inhibitors and stabilizers to provide a minimum of six (6) months of expected usable construction life at a temperature range of 0 degrees F to 120° F.

Posts shall be spaced a maximum of ten (10) feet apart at the barrier location or as recommended by the manufacturer and driven securely into the ground (minimum of 16 inches).

A trench shall be excavated approximately six (6) inches wide and eight (8) inches deep along the line of posts and upslope from the barrier.

When standard strength filter fabric is used, a wire mesh support fence shall be fastened securely to the upslope side of the posts using heavy duty wire staples at least one (1) inch long, tie wires or hog rings. The wire shall extend no more than 36 inches above the original around surfaces

The "standard strength" filter fabric shall be stapled or wired to the fence, and eight (8) inches of the fabric shall be extended into the trench. The fabric shall not extend more than 36 inches above the original ground surface. Filter fabric shall not be stapled to existing trees.

When extra strength filter fabric and closer post spacing are used, the wire mesh support fence may be eliminated. In such a case, the filter fabric is stapled or wired directly to the posts with all other provisions of item (g) applying. The trench shall be backfilled and the soil compacted over the filter fabric.

Silt fences shall be removed when they have served their useful purpose but not before the upslope areas has been

Install and maintain per manufacturer's specifications

Sediment barriers shall be installed prior to any soil disturbance of the contributing upslope drainage area.

- Straw/hay bale barrier and silt fence barriers shall be inspected immediately after each rainfall and at least daily during prolonged rainfall. They shall be repaired if there are any signs of erosion or sedimentation below them. Any required repairs shall be made immediately. If there are signs of undercutting at the center or the edges, or impounding of large volumes of water, the sediment barriers shall be replaced with a temporary check dam.
- Should the fabric on a silt fence or filter barrier decompose or become ineffective prior to the end of the expected usable life and the barrier still is necessary, the fabric shall be replaced promptly.
- Sediment deposits shall be removed when deposits reach approximately one third (1/3) the height of
- Any sediment deposits remaining in place after the silt fence or haybale barrier is no longer
- required shall be removed. The area shall be prepared and seeded.
- Additional stone, if needed, shall be added to the construction entrance, stone lined swales, etc., periodically to maintain proper function of the erosion control structure.

Bedding – stones larger than 3/4", trash, roots, and other debris that will interfere with seeding and future maintenance of the area should be removed. Where feasible, the soil shall be tilled to a depth of 4" to prepare a seedbed and mix fertilizer into the soil. Furnish up to 4" depth of loam, where necessary, to establish the 4" deep seeed bed. Fertilizer - lime and fertilizer shall be applied evenly over the area prior to or at the time of seeding and incorporated into the soil. Kinds and amounts of lime and fertilizer

should be based on an evaluation of soil tests. When a soil test is not available, the following minimum amounts should be applied. Agricultural Limestone @ 100 lbs. per 1,000 s.f.

10-20-20 fertilizer @ 12 lbs. per 1,000 s.f.

(See Technical Specifications)

Sodding - sodding is done where it is desirable to rapidly establish cover on a disturbed area. Sodding an area may be substituted for permanent seeding procedures anywhere on site. Bed preparation, fertilizing, and placement of sod shall be performed according to supplier's specifications. Sodding is recommended for steep sloped areas, areas immediately adjacent to sensitive water coursed, easily erodible soils (fine sand/silt) etc.







Hello all: First, thanks for taking your time to read this; a bit long but brings out the relevant points in sufficient detail. We appreciate your time.

At this summer's Con Com work session regarding the Raynes Barn it was agreed that Kristen and I would discuss the LCHIP grant schedule and see how it might align with the Town's budget process. We did that on August 30th. The following is the essence of our discussion regarding the schedule as well as recommendations for obtaining funds to repair and renovate Raynes Barm.

Background:

The take away from the work session was that the Con Com should prepare an LCHIP Historic Structures grant proposal for either 2017 or 2018 and include all of the required repair/renovation item in the proposal. Let's call that the cost proposal. A general summary of what would be included was discussed in previous Con Com meetings but will need to be updated for scope and cost estimates. The total amount could approach \$200,000.

In that LCHIP requires a 50% match, the Town would need to appropriate by some means (either as a budget line item or by warrant-selectman's or citizens warrant) \$100,000. We also discussed the possibility of including the Town's portion in next year's (calendar year 2017) CIP. There was also consensus that the Con Com needs to continue building the base of support for Raynes Farm and barn through selective uses of the property.

Grant Timeline Coordination:

Attached is a link to the LCHIP site and the 2016 grant schedule. http://lchip.org/index.php/for-applicants/2016-grant-schedule

We assume that the 2017 or 2018 schedule may be similar. The grant round schedule begins in May of the applicable year, with applications due in June and the award selections in November of that year. The process would require that we have all of the applicable information (i.e. scope of work and cost estimates) ready by late May.

The Town's budget process is somewhat concurrent and begins in August, proceeds via various boards and committees and is well documented by January in preparation for the deliberative session in February and town meeting in March. That means that any budget submission info would be required by mid-summer.

Considering both schedules it appears that we can coordinate the schedules considering the following:

- Prepare all information of the cost proposal for the grant and Town's budget by early May of the submission year.
- Follow the grant cycle schedule and submit the grant in June., on a 50/50 share basis
- Include the town share of the grant proposal in the Town's budget cycle in August of that year
- Consider making the Town's budget proposal conditional upon receiving the LCHIP grant approval in Dec.
- And/or have a plan B to proceed with some portion of the repair funding if the grant is not approved.

Thus we will know by December of the application year if the grant is approved. If yes, we move forward with the Town's portion in the budget process. If not approved the Town's budget portion is withdrawn or moves forward (Plan B) with an amount to accomplish the most essential repair items.

Other Discussion Items:

Kristen and I then discussed the following items that were also reviewed in the work session.

• LCHIP grant proposal requirements state that historic structures such as the barn must have a Determination of Eligibility (DOE) or be on the NH Register of Historical Places. Based on the documentation prepared in 2002 (Historic Structures Report, Chase Wiggins Barn Baseline Documentation, and the NHDHR Inventory form) it appears that the barn is eligible for such registration. Because the documents are 14 years old and there have been renovation/repair improvements, the documents will need to be updated. Obtaining a DOE based on the farm and barn history as documented should not be a problem. However having the barn listed on the Register would surely assist in the proposal review with LCHIP.

• Kristen met with Paula Belmore from LCHIP earlier this year who was enthusiastic about the possibility of LCHIP support. Paula also said that there may be grant funds available for documentation preparation. Kristen agreed to contact Paula and discuss the proposal concept.

• That updating could be accomplished by volunteer effort or perhaps by engaging the services of the Preservation Company who prepared the original documents; or a combination of both. An inquiry may find that the services are reasonable and affordable. Here is a link: <u>http://preservationcompany.com/</u>

• At the work session there was a sense that registering the barn on the NH Register of Historical Places would certainly help in achieving success. Preparation of the application for registration could be accomplished by volunteers or by engaging a consultant such as the Preservation Company.

• Timing: Preparing the grant application, acquiring estimates for the repair and restoration work, reviewing proposals and preparing budget submissions will take time; considerable time. So we recommend that such work be accomplished in calendar year 2017 and the grant application and budget submission be in 2018. Even so there could be a submission in the 2017 CIP.

• Kristen and I then met with Kevin Smart, from Exeter's PWD, at the barn to discuss the repair and renovations and ask for his assistance in obtaining cost estimates. As a first priority, Kevin agreed to obtain a contractors quotation for the repairs to the foundation wall. Other estimates may be possible once we have more specific scope information. While we were there we discussed the LCHIP proposal concept with Kevin who has solid experience working with the town's budget committee. He is of the opinion that placing an annual amount in the town budget (perhaps \$10K to \$15K each year) and then addressing the required work step by step over a longer period of time may be more successful than asking for one large sum. Sharing this not to confuse but to be sure we take in all suggestions.

Recommendations:

- Prepare documentation in 2016/2017 for submission in 2018.
- Have a preliminary and advisory discussion with Paula Belmore.
 - Inquire of the Preservation Company as to a fee for preparing documentation. And then determine if there is LCHIP grant funding for documentation preparation. Update the 2003 documents and apply for the NHDR Register; either by a volunteers, a consultant of combination of both.
- Consider inserting a budget item in next year's (calendar year 2017) CIP for the Town's share (50%) of the grant proposal.
- Continue to develop a base of support for Raynes Farm and barn through use and events.
- Hold another working session when appropriate.

Thanks: Kristen and Don

DRAFT MINUTES CONSERVATION COMMISSION August 9, 2016

1. Call to Order:

The session was called to order by Bill Campbell, Vice Chair, at 7:02 pm.

a. Introduction of Members Present:

Members present were Alyson Eberhardt, Andrew Koff (Treasurer), Todd Piskovitz, Bill Campbell (Vice Chair/Acting Chair), Virginia Raub, (Clerk), and Anne Surman (BOS Representative). Also present were staff <u>members Kristen Murphy (Natural Resources Planner) and David</u> Pancoast (Recording Secretary). Don Clement, Selectman, was also in the audience as was Jennifer Perry (DPW Director). Members of the public were present as well.

b. Public Comment:

There was none.

2. Action Items:

a. Rockingham Planning Commission C-Rise Presentation (Julie LaBranche, RPC)

Julie LaBranche, Senior Planner of the Rockingham Planning Commission and Project Manager for the C-Rise Project (acronym for "<u>C</u>limate <u>R</u>isk in the <u>Se</u>acoast" area), funded through NOAA. It is a vulnerability assessment of all seacoast lands within tidal range and beyond affected by sea level rise and storm surge in the future. It involves a projection out to Year 2100 with a low of 1.7 feet, intermediate of 4 feet and high scenario of 6.3 feet. It looks at effects of sea level rise on the coastal flood plain, over time, as seawater levels rise. It looks at 3 categories of assets: transportation assets (roads, bridges, culverts and drainage infrastructure), critical facilities in affected communities, and natural resource assets in each community. It covers the geography of the 10 coastal communities and inland "head-oftide" communities, from Rochester/Rollinsford down to this area. She presented base maps and wanted to get the Commission's reaction to them and see what impacts may arise in Exeter from a natural resources perspective and critical issues that should be addressed. The target sea rise elevations are measured all along the coastal area from mean high water levels that exist as averaged over a 15 year period and the use of a high resolution topographic model with 2 foot contours as a basis of the flood scenarios at all three target rise elevations, to identify uplands not affected or subject to tidal action today that may be subjected to daily tidal action or storm surges in the future. They are meeting with local decision-makers in the communities and hope to form a work group. The next meeting is in September. The mapping is complete and they will have a customized report that outlines all of the impact data and assessment data for each town. They are also reaching out to stakeholders and others who have an interest in the impacts. She will try to get the maps online, which will be in high resolution PDFs.

Ms. Eberhardt asked how aquatic organisms are going to be evaluated. Ms. LaBranche said the work involved culvert issues and their evaluation and performance in precipitation increase scenarios (there is a 20% increase projected by the year 2100), and that passage of aquatic organisms through the culverts would be evaluated.

Mr. Campbell said the Squamscott River would be affected, down along Swasey Parkway and Exeter Road. Ms. LaBranche mentioned Wheelwright Creek passes under and along Portsmouth Ave and would be affected by storm surge as well. Also, two fingers of marsh that are along Rte 85, on the other side of Rte 101 and residential areas along there that are lowlying could be affected. Most inland tidal communities will have very little impact in their core 'downtown areas' as they were built as "hilltop" towns, outside of most low areas with little existing or surviving infrastructure that was built along the rivers. They did another assessment last year called 'Tides to Storms' which showed substantial impact to low-lying lands and tidal marsh as well as barrier beach systems like in Hampton and Seabrook. The Commission gathered around a separate table and spent time looking at the maps from 7:15 to 7:25 pm, during which there was explaining map color coding, the maps generally, and certain features in Exeter. Some risk areas in Town were pointed out on the maps. Sea level rise in 2050 would likely only be a maximum of 2 ft, hence the reason for the 2100 projection. Ms. LaBranche said she would leave copies of the maps with the Town (Kristen Murphy, NRP) for review and use.

Ms. Raub mentioned that the forthcoming report would be helpful for the current Master Plan development. There was discussion on the Master Plan integration with this information. Ms. LaBranche said there is a funding limit to outreach, so any way to get the word out to people would be very helpful. The Commission returned to the dais at 7:25 pm.

b. Proposed acquisition of proposed a 4.7 acre lot by the Department of Public Works (Jennifer Perry, DPW Director):

DPW Director Jennifer Perry said this was an opportunity for the Town to acquire an abutting property to the DPW property on Newfields Road. The owners have 2 lots, this being a separate back lot, east of the railroad tracks, next to the DPW parcel there. There is no access and it's a no build parcel for the owners under a restriction put on it when the lands around it were developed during the 1950's-60's. The ConCom apparently had no interest in it in late 2014, but parcel is only 4.7 acres so was not large enough to be of interest. DPW was approached and has worked with the Board of Selectmen on the purchase. A Purchase & Sales Agreement has been signed. She is here to get Commission support for the purchase, as required under RSA 41.14.c, which requires her to go to both the ConCom and the Planning Board for their support, then back to the BOS to hold 2 public hearings on the purchase. There was an appraisal and a reasonable value was hashed out with the owners. There is an aerial view in the Packets showing the boundaries overlain on the property. The DPW site is to the south and it has agreed to do a 25 ft setback. If they can purchase it, DPW would be back before the Planning Board later on to get a lot line adjustment. It makes no sense to have setbacks from the current DPW parcel so that would be hashed out at that time.

Mr. Campbell said there was a nursery project conducted nearby in conjunction with DPW, but the trees grew faster than the ConCom could move them out. Ms. Perry said DPW uses it as a staging area for young trees before planting them elsewhere in Town and to temporarily put trees there that have been removed. Mr. Campbell wanted to know if DPW had checked the land for contaminants. Ms. Perry said she spoke to older locals there who were familiar with the site and said there was no greenhouse there, it was just a tree plantation. Mr. Campbell asked about the appraisal being lower than the purchase price. Ms. Perry said it was done with comparable sales, in 2015 but still holds valid. Ms. Surman asked if testing for pesticides could be done to be sure there are no hazardous wastes there. Removal mitigation for such things is expensive. She just wanted the Town to check it to be safe. Ms. Perry said absence testing is expensive, several to many thousands of dollars. She didn't think it advisable to do such testing at this time, as the Town will not be using it for groundwater. There is a well onsite at the DPW facility with only average capacity, so DPW is looking to the Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") this year for a possible extension of the Town water up Newfields Road that would take care of that. The only real possible use is for an expansion of the existing DPW facility there, and another newer highway storage building. The Facilities Study of 2014 showed the DPW building there to be inadequate for roofing issues, so it needs to be replaced. This is prudent Town planning for the future. There was no other input.

Ms. Murphy said DPW is asking for an acquisition recommendation to the BOS. Ms. Raub moved that, Ms. Eberhardt seconded and it passed unanimously.

4

c. Standard Dredge and Fill and Conditional Use Permit applications by C3I for development at 8 Commerce Way, Tax Map 48-3 (Brendan Quigley)

Brendan Quigley of Gold Environmental Services said the project consists of construction of an 8,000 sq ft building in an upland area, with applications for impacts under both the Shoreland and Wetlands Protection Districts. The main wetland impact is an access crossing to be put in at the narrowest point, which wetlands are the headwaters of North Brook. The wetland crossing is over the stream head and a very small area of wetlands beside it. To provide access and road alignment with minimal impact is the reason for the crossing location. The total impact will be 2600 sq ft of wetlands and stream impact. They will install a 36 foot wide open bottom culvert that is 56 inches wide at the bottom, which is wider than the "bank full" width of the stream there. It exceeds stream width, which meets or exceeds the state standards for wetlands crossings. This is a 'Tier One' wetlands crossing, the lowest category, with 108 linear feet of perennial stream impacts, which is only due to the way the State requires it to be calculated, but it is only a 36 foot wide crossing. There is a buffer impact due to the roadway and grading. Stormwater management includes a bio-retention structure and a gravel wetlands, which impact the buffer zone. A small fingerlike wetland projection protruding into the site will be impacted for parking area grading. The building is outside all buffer areas, including the Shoreland District setback which is 100 ft. There will be a truck turnaround necessary in that area which is a buffer zone impact. Trucks will only be coming in on a limited basis, so they don't need a robust turnaround.

Mr. Quigley said another plan in the set shows the disturbed areas to be treated with a restoration seed mix for a no-maintenance ground cover. At the recent site visit the Commission learned there are areas to be avoided in the buffer to a higher value wetlands, also being avoided for aesthetics. Some upland is to be undeveloped as well. There is no other way onto the site with less impacts. Public interest is enhanced due to the lesser impacts and the trail access on this site. The total direct wetland impact is 5,180 sq ft. The total buffer zone impact is 28,559 sq ft of disturbance that includes both temporary and permanent. The temporary disturbance is for slope grading which is tobe restored. In the Wetlands Protection Overlay District there is some stream buffer associated with the crossing, on the other side, which totals 992 sq ft.

On a request for trail input, Mr. Quigley said there is a main access point to the town forest trail across this property. It has much use and is a good access location. The applicant is committed to maintaining the trail access to the town forest and providing 7 additional parking spaces by the gravel wetlands and it would be a simple matter to re-route it there for access to the main area and for an additional trail there that loops around. Access will be maintained for both trails.

Ms. Raub asked the applicant to explain the two fire retention ponds. He said it is to be a rain garden, not a wet pond, that will be planted with species tolerant of intermittent flooding and designed to hold water then infiltrate it or release it in a controlled way so that the gardens will stay more or less dry. Mr. Quigley said there is a forebay to take the first charge of stormwater for initial settling and most sediment maintenance will be done there. There is controlled flow from the forebay to the bio-retention area, with two cells.

Mr. Campbell asked if the drainage flows to North Brook? Mr. Quigley said the overland flow goes to wetlands and then into the Brook. There is to be a set of gravel wetlands onsite. There was discussion on the elevations and slopes on site. There was a concern that the rain gardens lose their value due to being silted in later on and not maintained. Mr. Quigley said that is the function of the forebay. Ms. Murphy said the Planning Board process would result in a maintenance plan for all structures. There was discussion on concerns about contours on the plan-the water feature contour appears higher than the land around it, so wouldn't flow off. Ms. Murphy explained that water will flow off the highest point (the road) into the rain garden, then, if not infiltrated, will slowly flow out to the wetlands. There was discussion and clarification of the elevations and contours on site. John Lorden with MSC engineers said that the ponds aren't deep, are not collecting much water, so they take what they get, store it, infiltrate it, and then if there's any overflow, it flows into a pipe that empties into a riprapped opening and will then flow overland to the brook, as it does now. They used hydro-cad and analyzed pre-and postrunoffs. They can't increase peak flow. Ms. Murphy said a drainage analysis was also being done within the Planning Board process.

Ms. Eberhardt said if they did away with the public parking spaces, they could scooch the impacts that way to possibly avoid or lessen the buffer impacts. Mr. Lorden said he believed that it possibly would. She did not think any additional parking was necessary. The trail access is up the access road if there's no new parking. Mr. Campbell said there were a lot of dogwalkers out there and it would be more accessible for dogwalkers to have the parking here then to have to walk along the new access road.

Mr. Lorden said they had a discussion with the Planning Board on Design Review, and then Dave Sharples, the Planner, had asked them to do something different with the treatment since trucks only come 2-3 times per year, so they made some changes on that. Mr Sharples also thought that something different could be done with parking. Mr. Lorden said the result was to square it off and install a grass-paved parking area that is over 3 inches thick and can be driven on and plowed of snow and stormwater infiltrates into it. They haven't reduced the parking yet in size but could. The buffer impact might then be less as the Commission would like.

Ms. Eberhardt said there were two hits on the natural heritage species impacts aspects of this. Mr. Quigley responded that there were two hits but

there was no habitat for species on this site and they have correspondence on that. They have worked with Kim Tuttle at Natural Heritage but he needs to update her. At the time of their initial discussion he was unsure of the crossing detail, so now he has to give her that updated information. Due to other projects he's had involving those species, he is confident they can work through her concerns. There was discussion on this aspect of the project. Ms. Murphy said the Commission could include it as a condition in its recommendations to the Planning Board. Mr. Koff said people parking at the cul-de-sac will cut the corner around the north side of the building, so the applicant might need to realize what folks might do and that they might create a new trail that is not intended. If the project gets rid of the parking lot, or it is put elsewhere, they could move the trail access. Mr. Quigley said the parking area formalizes the access, especially with signs. He would not advocate moving the parking area. There was discussion on the public walking through an industrial site. Mr. Quigley said the more formal the parking can be, the better.

Mr. Piskovitz asked about trail access during construction. Mr. Quigley said that would be a concern and they need to work it out, possibly the access could temporarily be run though the Town's logging site. Ms. Murphy said they need to inform the public that it is private land as it should be aware of that. There were no further comments.

Mr. Campbell said the Commission needs to recommend to the Planning Board to keep the conditional use permit in place and recommend the standard dredge and fill permit be approved. Ms. Murphy said this matter was not expedited, the Commission just needs to send a comments letter to the Planning Board favorable to the project with any recommendations it wants to make. Mr. Quigley asked the Commission to submit favorable comments to NH DES as well. Ms. Murphy reported that Mr. Quigley had offered at the site walk that it was more preferable to lose wetlands here than to further encroach into the beaver pond wetlands nearby. Mr. Quigley replied that there are no buffers at the state level other than tidal buffers, so the State suggested that the building and parking should be shoved up farther into the site to avoid any wetlands impact. That translated to 477 sq ft of the tip of that wetlands not being impacted. But there are good reasons the applicant had to not impact that uplands farther, including wetlands overlay district reasons, beaver pond wetlands reasons, wildlife habitat reasons, construction disturbances involved, and such. The Commission's letter of recommendation should mention the importance of the local reasons to avoid such other impacts and support the project with parking as proposed, to address concerns with the State.

Ms. Eberhardt wanted to add a condition on the Natural Heritage aspects. Ms. Surman said it's a nice project with a mix of economic development, low impact, trail maintenance, and had an overall benefit to the Town. If all that can be indicated to the State, that would be a very positive approach. Ms. Raub moved to send a positive letter of recommendation to DES with the notation to Fish & Game, with the stated conditions, additions and local reasons noted, and further stating that the Commission has no objections to the proposed work. Ms. Surman seconded and it was unanimously approved. There will be a similar support letter to the Planning Board. Ms. Eberhardt supported Mr. Koff's point that folks might walk around the wrong way. The Planning Board should be made aware so it can address it with the owner. Mr. Koff asked if there needed to be a legal document necessary to maintain the trail access. Ms. Murphy said that if it appears on an approved site plan with the Planning Board it is official and the approved trail access is required. There was discussion that any free use of private land protects that landowner from liability for injuries to the public using the land. Ms. Eberhardt was concerned about invasives coming in during construction? She wanted the Commission to ask the Planning Board to address it. Mr. Quigley said the seed mix should take care of it and after discussion ensued, Ms. Murphy said a note could be put on the plan that the disturbance areas must be kept free of invasive species until after the restoration area becomes established. The Commission decided to send that comment to the Planning Board. Ms. Surman made a motion to send

the recommendations letter as described to the Planning Board. Ms. Raub seconded and it was unanimously approved.

Ms. Raub commented on another aspect of projects like this, involving a recommendation on a conditional use permit that needs to come from the ConCom, the Commission should be asked to attend the first hearing at that board on the matter, to be more involved and connected on it, for faster, easier decisionmaking. She felt handicapped on this matter due to incomplete plans. Ms. Murphy said it's atypical to have the Commission go on site walks before a matter comes before it. This was the Chair's decision to do it in this order this one time. Ms. Murphy does have full size plans of this project in her office. Selectman Clement spoke as a Planning Board member saying the Planning Board hasn't even had a site walk on this project yet, so the ConCom is welcome to come to any Planning Board session, he was just here to pick up some info tonight. Discussion on that suggestion was held. Ms. Murphy said in the past, meeting agendas were distributed beween the boards, perhaps the Town should revisit that practice again. Mr. Campbell asked Ms. Murphy to speak to Barb McEvoy of Planning to see if they can be sure to alert the ConCom to these things.

After more discussion, Ms. Murphy said the Commission should probably revisit its submission requirements. Ms. Eberhardt thought the burden of submissions should not fall on Ms. Murphy, so she will draft something up on submissions for the ConCom to evaluate and decide the criteria.

3. Committee Reports:

a. Property

i. Henderson-Swasey Timber Harvest:

Ms. Murphy said the harvester had two last loads to go out. The logging is done. This was a great and unique effort in an area of intense recreational usage. She has had many interactions with a lot of people and everything went well there. The Commission should do picture posts with pictures from different angles that show changes

over time because there was some artistry to the logging that was done. The harvester avoided creating large openings due to the other uses of the site. Everyone should be proud of the project and the Commission's commitment level to users. It should think about using students to pictorially document the changes that will be seen there over time. There should be a press release to coincide with the Trail Committee meeting coming up. Mr. Moreno said he would come to that meeting. Mr. Campbell said Eric Hawkins of the newspaper could do it. Ms. Surman said she would call him to get something done.

b. Trails

i. Trail Committee Meeting Date

The Trail Committee meeting in September should be other than 9/13 (ConCom's session) and the All Boards Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 9/7 at 6:30 pm. There is no Master Plan meeting set in September yet. Ms. Murphy suggested the Commission pick three dates and see what works. Discussion lead to Wednesdays 9/14, 9/20 and 9/27 as possible dates for the Trail meeting.

c. Outreach

i. Raynes Farm Fall Festival

Ms. Raub did not have much to report-it's still an open project. She set October 15th in the afternoon for the Pumpkin Toss event. The time is not set yet, but will be either 1-4 or 2-4 pm.

d. Annual Planning Calendar & Focus Area Overview

For Focus Areas Mr. Koff said he would like to do Jollyrand(-land (?) [← need clarification/correction note on that from Kristen: Andrew definitely said that, my meeting notes and the tape reveal that he did, but did he actually mean "Dolloff" instead? There is no "jolly land" on the Exeter Conservation Lands/NR list or map nor anything sounding at all like it other than "Dolloff" If it's not Dolloff, then I don't know what nickname he used to refer to some other parcel or named a parcel that my hearing and the tape did not discern], which is in his turf. Mr. Campbell said he will do Little River. Ms. Raub will do the Linden Street Grouping, including Morrissette, Hampton Easement, McDonnell and Linden Commons. Ms. Surman will do the Oakland area. Ms. Murphy said they don't have to monitor all the parcels, just get their feet wet exploring them and get to know some folks who are nearby, etc. Discussion occurred on this subject.

For other topics, Ms. Eberhardt will do vernal pools, wetlands and invasives if no one else will do it. Mr. Campbell is already committed to several of the topics. Mr Koff said he will address beavers.

4. Quarterly Treasurers Report:

Mr. Koff gave the report, being done quarterly now, so this covers through the end of June 2016. The Commission has \$3,609.00 for the rest of 2016 and has expended \$6,420.00 to date. There are no real problem areas, but the Timber Harvest results are still up in the air. Ms. Murphy said upcoming expenses include some dues that need to be paid and some mowing has to happen at Raynes Barn, and the trail work supplies already approved.

There were no other comments on the report, so Ms. Eberhardt moved approval of it, and Ms. Surman seconded. It was approved by unanimous vote.

5. Approval of the Minutes of 7/12/16:

After discussion on several revisions and changes to them, Ms. Surman moved to accept the Minutes of July 12, 2016 as amended, Mr. Koff seconded and they were unanimously approved.

Ms. Murphy said that the corrections made to the minutes considered at the last session on July 12th were for the wrong date due to a typo in the agenda. The Minutes of June instead of May were accepted as corrected. The Chair decided that the clarification was noted but no motion to correct that error was required.

6. Correspondence (Ms. Murphy):

There was notification that PEA would start its seasonal dock addition work.

There was abutter notification to the C3I project discussed earlier tonight.

7. Natural Resource Planner's Report (Ms. Murphy):

The rain garden planned for Westside Drive neighborhood was constructed and installed, but there were some problems, so hand digging it was not possible. It had to be excavated to install it. They got an inquiry from Laura Burrego asking if the ConCom would cover the \$250 for the excavator's costs. Ms. Murphy told her the budget was tight but she would inquire of the Commission. Ms. Raub asked if DPW paid for the rain garden. They paid for the materials. Mr. Campbell asked if DPW could cover that cost? Ms. Murphy said she could ask if it would. Mr. Koff was not in favor of ConCom spending any money for that cost.

Ms. Murphy attended a 'Complete Streets' Workshop with other Town officials. It involved ways of designing streets for "pop up" complete street scenarios. They lay down tape for lines and show expanded areas, pocket parks and things like outdoor cafes. There will be an event in October for Lincoln St, at the Arts Festival, and the Town officials that went are going to do a pop-up Complete Streets event there. They are involving the Lincoln St Arts Chamber for this, with a "parklet" idea and "sharrows" which are arrows that show that roads are shared by cars and bicycles, and it will have a connection of Lincoln St to downtown with signage showing distances indicating the train station is walkable to downtown. She will bring in a design for ConCom to see. They are going to work with the bike shop for rentals to tie it all together. Hopefully the Commission will help get the word out on this event.

8. Other Business:

There was no other business.

9. Next session is 9/13/16, with a submission deadline of 9/2/16.

10. Adjournment:

No other business coming before the Commission, Ms. Surman moved to adjourn, seconded by Ms. Eberhardt. It was unanimously voted. The session adjourned at 9:10 pm.

Respectfully submitted by David Pancoast, Recording Secretary

These minutes are subject to possible correction/revision at a subsequent Exeter Conservation Commission meeting

Exeter Conservation Commission

August 10, 2016

Site Walk

On August 10th the Conservation Commission conducted a site walk to inform their review of the wetland application and conditional use permit for the proposed development at 8 Commerce Way. Members in attendance included Bill Campbell, Ginny Raub, Anne Surman, Todd Piskovitz, and Andrew Koff. Also in attendance was Kristen Murphy (Exeter's Natural Resource Planner), Brendan Quigley (GES), John Lorden (MSC Engineers) and _____ (C3i Inc).

During the site walk the reviewed key components of the project such as the building corners, access road, stream crossing and trail connection.

Kristen Murphy