1 2 3 4 5		TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD DRAFT MINUTES December 6, 2018
6 7	1.	CALL TO ORDER: Session was called to order at 7:02 pm by Chair Plumer.
8	2.	INTRODUCTIONS
9 10 11		Members Present: Chair Langdon Plumer, Pete Cameron – Clerk, Aaron Brown, Gwen English, Kathy Corson – Select Board, Nick Gray, Alternate, Jennifer Martel – Alternate
12		Staff Present: Dave Sharples, Town Planner
13 14		Chair Plumer indicated that Alternates, Nick Gray and Jennifer Martel would be active tonight.
15	3.	NEW BUSINESS
16 17 18		Public Hearings:
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		 Continuance of Exeter Rose Farm, LLC Open Space Subdivision and associated site improvements Review of Condition Use Permit (Shoreland Protection) Oak Street Extension and Forest Street R-1 Low Density Residential, R-2 Single Family Residential and R-4 Multi-Family Zoning Districts Tax Map Parcels: #54-5, #54-6, #54-7, #63-205 Case #17-27
27		Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Notice.
28 29 30 31 32 33		Mr. Sharples noted this hearing was tabled from the November 15, 2018 meeting. Several points were discussed. Items in the revised plans were reviewed and addressed. The Board communicated with Joanne Gallagher and Exeter Rose, LLC and received three letters, including a letter from Mrs. Gallagher. The public hearing was closed on November 15, 2018 and if the Board is to proceed to open and read any of these new documents, the Board would need to reopen the public hearing.
34 35 36 37 38		Chair Plumer recommended if the public session is reopened to table the hearing until January 10, 2019 and be limited to discussion concerning the new information and that Exeter Area Conservancy be responsible for the costs of additional notices. One document talks about alteration of terrain requirement and another is a second opinion on Patrick Seekamp's wetlands report.

- 39 Ms. English stated that she was in favor of reopening the public session since Mr.
- Seekamp was not in attendance at the last meeting and she would like to see Mrs.
 Gallagher's letter and is in favor of having all of these documents addressed.
- 42 Mrs. Corson stated that she was in favor of reviewing Items 1, 3 and 4 on Mr. Sharple's 43 list, but was not sure about item 2.
- Mr. Cameron asked what would occur when Mr. Seekamp comes in, cross-examination?
 This is subject to serious questions and there is no need to interrogate or question his
 qualifications. Mr. Cameron stated he had no opposition to going this route, it would be
 a benefit to hear from him.
- 48 Chair Plumer recommended limiting the session with Mr. Seekamp to present peer 49 review and only that.
- 50 Mr. Gray stated that he was not in favor of reopening the public session. Mr. Gray 51 stated he felt it was on the Conservancy to bring issues to the Board. This serves to 52 prolong the hearing and was hopeful to reach a conclusion.
- 53 Ms. Martel stated she was in favor of hearing Mrs. Gallagher's letter and that she should 54 be able to address the Planning Board again. As for Mr. Seekamp's report, it seemed 55 straightforward and there is no need to bring him in. The alteration of terrain is a 56 condition.
- 57 Mr. Sharples advised that he received an email from Mr. Seekamp regretting missing the 58 meeting. The report should be enough according to him. There is no confirmation that 59 he could attend the January meeting.
- 60 Mr. Brown asked if we ask Mrs. Gallagher questions, are we still in deliberations?
- 61 Ms. English asked if he was confident there is nothing in the report that is new or useful.
- 62 Mr. Sharples advised he could not really bring her back, it is more for clarification.
- Mr. Brown stated the AOT condition was beyond our jurisdiction. Mr. Gray agreed that 63 64 the state has authority and responsibility, not the Planning Board. Mr. Sharples advised that it included charges of misrepresentation. Mr. Cameron stated that "charges" are 65 taking this too far for this meeting, it is serious to make allegations. Ms. Martel asked 66 when the alleged misrepresentation occurred? Mr. Sharples advised that it includes 67 emails between DES and the applicant. The last email was October 26th. Ms. Martel 68 advised this could have been addressed before the public session was closed. Mr. Gray 69 stated that without a firm sense of what the misrepresentation is alleged to be, we 70 cannot reopen the public session. Mr. Sharples advised that DES forwarded to Mr. 71 Rosen on November 27th. Mr. Brown recommended if reopened it is worth brining those 72 accusations into it, but if not, he is comfortable regardless with tabling #1. 73
- Chair Plumer advised the attorney letter is #2. Mr. Brown advised that reading
 confidential information or notes does not incline the Board to reopen the hearing for that
 and to skip #2.
- Chair Plumer advised #3 is Mrs. Gallagher's letter and asked if all were in agreement?
 Mr. Gray stated he was sympathetic to her cause but what is the new information? Mr.

79 Brown stated she has expressed concern about her position. Mr. Cameron asked if there was a response to her letter? Mr. Sharples advised that it was just received on 80 Tuesday, so the applicant's response could not be accepted either. If the public hearing 81 82 were reopened for limited purposes, you could include opportunity for applicant to respond. Mr. Gray asked if the Board could know the general purpose of the letter 83 without opening? Mr. Sharples advised the purpose concerns the roadway. Mr. Gray 84 stated he was uncertain if viewing the contents warrants a month delay. Mr. Sharples 85 stated it is up to the Board and the Board can defer to the attorney's advice. Mr. Plumer 86 87 stated he was open for #3.

- Mr. Plumer advised #4 is Patrick Seekamp. Mr. Cameron advised it is common practice 88 to bring back a third-party consultant. Ms. English stated the problem is that he hasn't 89 90 been here. Chair Plumer agreed that part of the request was for him to be here to present. Mr. Sharples advised that he had him do other review and didn't need to be 91 here for that. Mrs. Corson stated that if the public session is reopened the Board might 92 93 as well cover this one too if the Conservancy is ok with the costs associated. Mr. Sharples advised the cost may be a few hundred dollars. Mrs. Corson recommended 94 that it only be done if they are willing to pay for it. Mr. Sharples added that around 22 95 96 abutter's notices would need to be sent out.
- 97 Ms. English motioned to table Case #17-27 to January 10, 2019 to reopen the public 98 hearing for Items #1, 3 and 4 listed on Mr. Sharples' list.
- 99 Ms. English amended the motion.

- 100Ms. English motioned to reopen the public hearing session for Case #17-27 for101limited purposes as read, conditioned on the Exeter Area Conservancy being102responsible for all costs associated and table the hearing until January 20, 2019.
- 103Mr. Brown asked what would happen if they don't pay? Mr. Sharples advised public104would not be opened because continued. Mr. Brown stated he was not sure why105conditioned. Mr. Sharples advised the Town does not pay for notices.
- 106Mrs. Corson seconded the motion. Voting in favor were: Chair Plumer, Gwen107English, Pete Cameron, Aaron Brown, Jennifer Martel and Kathy Corson. Mr. Gray108was opposed. Motion carried: 6-1.
- 109 Chair Plumer advised that it is inappropriate for the public to message any Board 110 member about the case.
- Mr. Sharples advised the motion has been continued with limited purposes. If any
 emails are received, the Board members should send them to Mr. Sharples and he will
 advise the sender that there are limitations on what can or can't be discussed.
- Continuance of Exeter Station Properties, LLC
 M/F Site Plan Review 22 Units
 1 Rockingham Street
 Tax Map Parcel #73-175
 C-1, Central Area Commercial Zoning District
 Case #18-11

121 Chair Plumer read out loud the public notice.

122

129

135

145

148

154

156

158

- 123 Mr. Sharples advised that the applicant is seeking a multi-family site plan review for a 124 23-unit development. The hearing was tabled to conduct a site walk. The applicant 125 submitted revised plans and responses and desires to conduct third-party traffic 126 review. The applicant wanted their own engineer to present first. They are seeking 127 three waivers. The TRC had no objection to the waiver requests. Mr. Brown added it 128 was similar to Conservation Commission.
- 130Attorney Sharon Somers stated that David Cowie, Eric Botterman of Millennium131Engineering, Mark Rogers, and Ken Cram were also present. Attorney Somers132thanked the Board for the opportunity to conduct the site walk. Attorney Somers133stated that they want to address issues raised at the last hearing and introduce to134traffic engineers. The applicant is looking to get conditional approval tonight.
- Eric Botterman stated that last week he resubmitted plans and would like to walk 136 through the main items. The roadway was changed a bit to accommodate firetruck. 137 The Southern access point was removed. The dotted lines on the plan represent the 138 drainage easement. There is a 12" pipe leaving the site which is adequate for 139 drainage. The DPW recommended parallel parking 22' long instead of 20' which 140 141 would give them 85 spaces. The extra spaces give the occupants space to expand their business and is more than required for open space. There is a cross section of 142 143 the island area. 9" deep pitched toward catch basin with no standing water as a result. 144
- 146Ms. Martel stated there are a lot of plants in the island to clog the catch basin and is147curious of the soil profile.
- 149Mr. Botterman stated that adding soil will help to infiltrate. The Condominium150Association would be responsible for maintaining the catch basin.
- 151152Mrs. Corson asked if that were clarified in the condominium documents? Mr.153Botterman stated it is always the case, but he is not sure if it is directly expressed.
- 155 Chair Plumer asked if the project required a stormwater management plan?
- 157 Mr. Sharples advised yes, it was already submitted.
- Attorney Somers advised that draft condominium documents have been submitted and reference the stormwater plan. The documents state the association will maintain the area. Attorney Somers discussed the possible recreation activities and spaces for picnic tables. Attorney Somers recommended the eliminated Southern access could be used as access to the commercial space. Mr. Botterman advised it was fenced off and there is no need for an easement. It is their intention to keep it on the plans.
- 167 Mrs. Corson asked if the condominium association includes the industrial buildings?

169 Attorney Somers advised that industrial building is part of the condominium along with 23 units, the entire property being one condominium. 170 171 Mr. Brown stated he could not find the fence in the plans and recommended adding 172 it. Mr. Botterman stated he would do that. 173 174 Ms. Martel stated the grading plan shows a slope where the picnic tables are. Mr. 175 176 Botterman advised it was a shallow slope. Ms. Martel stated she thinks its fine, just would not personally be open to use. Mr. Botterman stated it is adequate, but it is up 177 to the condominium what to do with the land provided. Mr. Sharples added there is a 178 179 note on the plans that picnic tables are not guaranteed there. Mr. Botterman advised the intent was to show a possible use. Ms. Martel agreed the island was a better 180 place to gather. 181 182 Ms. English would like to see a vegetated buffer between housing and railroad track. 183 184 Ms. English stated the snow storage is tucked in to the side of the building. Mr. 185 Brown stated if they have to move a lot of snow, that is a good place to move it to. 186 187 188 Chair Plumer recommended having the fence parallel to increase snow storage area. Mrs. Corson advised the applicant could work that out with Mr. Sharples. Mr. 189 190 Botterman offered to label the whole area as snow storage. 191 192 Ms. English asked if the dumpsters were adequate for commercial building? Mr. Botterman advised that should cover the whole area. 193 194 Ken Cram stated that they looked at the impact of 23 units at the three intersections 195 involved in the study and contacted NH DOT. Harvard Street carries low volumes of 196 traffic with average speed of 25-26 mph. 86% were around 31 mph. The Police 197 Department was contacted about crashes and indicated there were none reported 198 199 and no minor accidents. Assessed traffic growth rate and other projects that would 200 be included and there were no other projects proposed in Epping or Brentwood. The 201 Columbus intersection is being worked on for good reason. Columbus being diverted to Winter Street accounts for reduced volume. 134 trips per day are 202 203 projected. 12 trips in morning peak. 16 in evening peak. Numbers depend on 204 people's jobs. Look at where people live and work and construct model. The net increase varies from 0-6 cars each hour with a small increase given 400 cars use 205 206 Winter Street now. Critical movements were rated at A or B with A being best and B being worst. The result is a small impact overall in two access points with one lane 207 in and one lane out under stop sign control. Also looked at pedestrians. The highest 208 209 observed as a pedestrian in peak hour. Would suggest a crosswalk on Columbus Ave. The project would result in one extra car on Harvard Street. Chair Plumer 210 asked if there is a stop sign going out? Mr. Cram stated correct. Ms. English stated 211 she has a problem at the intersection of the railroad crossing. Mr. Botterman 212 advised that would be decision of Town. Ken Cram stated they could make a five 213 way stop. Railroad to Winter is uncontrolled two-way but with no crash history. Mrs. 214

215Corson stated keep having to do intersection study. Chair Plumer asked if the stop216sign on Columbus Ave now because of request from neighbor. Any impact near217Railroad?

218

229

231

236

240

243

246

247 248 249

250

251 252

256

- Ken Cram stated it is minimally utilized, 8 cars in the morning and 7 in the evening 219 cross from Winter to Railroad. Ms. Martel advised the majority of traffic is Winter 220 Street. Most exit to Rockingham's easier route. Mr. Cram advised all traffic could go 221 that way and it wouldn't change the findings. There were just not many cars to begin 222 223 with. Mr. Brown stated you would notice that difference in cars, think volume is a bit low. Mr. Cram advised with 1-2-bedroom condos there is not much traffic at all. Mr. 224 Gray stated that Harvard Street seems low, not sure 3rd party review is warranted. 225 Mr. Brown agreed that it was probably not necessary. Chair Plumer added that 226 Harvard Street has potential to lower traffic on Railroad Ave. Mr. Brown stated he 227 was surprised by no accidents reported at that intersection. 228
- At 9:49 pm, Chair Plumer asked if there were any questions from the Public.
- Rene Valiquet, 8 Harvard Street asked about the intended use of the building on
 Railroad? Mr. Valiquet believes the traffic study is not accurate and does not
 address the proposed commercial use and asked about access for the Fire
 Department.
- Mr. Sharples advised the use is for commercial 1st floor with residential above. The
 commercial use has not been identified. Mr. Botterman advised the circle will
 accommodate a 40' firetruck per their request.
- 241 Mrs. Corson asked if they provided enough parking for commercial? For example. if 242 the use were to be a corner store.
- 244Mr. Sharples advised the commercial use requires 5 spaces provided based on245square footage. The Building Inspector would determine if there is enough.
 - Mr. Cram advised there is not enough traffic to warrant use as a convenient store.
 - Mr. Brown asked about seasonal adjustments and parents driving children to school. Mr. Cram advised there weren't any big changes and also included delivery people and guests, all in and outs.
- 253Donna Sweeney, 17 Harvard Street stated she believed information was missing254from the report from Harvard to Main or Winter to Epping Road and asked if 81255parking spaces were assigned to commercial?
- 257 Mr. Brown stated the volume is understated. The study is confined to the project 258 intersection, not to these other areas away from the project.

260Mr. Sharples advised that 23 spaces were assigned to commercial and 13 extra261consistent with zoning regulations. Mr. Botterman corrected there were 9 extra, not26213.

263

271

277

283

287

291

294

298

300

303

306

Elizabeth Loch – Winter Street stated she had concern with vent behind Coady's.
Residents don't want to breathe in fumes. It would be nice to get more information
and look at radius between buildings. A variety of spaces are advertised on the
website. Residents would like more sidewalks at intersection on Railroad and she is
worried about the intersection. Is it possible to make a section of Winter Street one
way? Ms. Loch is concerned people will divert down Harvard Street to avoid buses.
Could the redesign of intersection come from the CIP?

- 272Mr. Sharples advised the Capital Reserve Fund is used and the voters decide to fund273it or not and intersections are prioritized. Those funds could be used to study the274intersection. The sidewalks are a separate issue proportionately shared with the275project. Extension of the sidewalks down Railroad would need to be paid for by the276Town not as part of this project.
- 278Mrs. Corson advised they cannot address fumes from Coady's. Have spoken with279the Fire Department and less harmful chemicals are used now. Coady's is compliant280with the 10' setback. Chair Plumer asked if there were ever complaints about odors281from Coady's? JS stated you can't smell unless you're right there and they paint282one-two days per week.
- Mr. Sharples advised that abutters only receive initial notice. Subsequent meetings
 are posted in two public places. Mr. Sharples asked if the Board was requesting
 peer review? The Board's consensus was no.
- 288Mr. Gray stated that Ms. Sweeney made a good point about extra studies. Mr.289Brown agreed that it is a valid point and is not asking for a new study but to estimate290new flow.
- 292Mr. Cram advised the projected 5 yr. study hypothetically would add 9 new cares.293Do not know the volume of traffic on Main Street if all go down Harvard to Main.
- 295 Mr. Brown stated there is some validity to the school issue, but it is not a significant 296 change well in the capacity of a town road. Would need to see if the impact warrants 297 a significant change and don't think that it does.
- 299 Chair Plumer asked if the Board was not in favor of a third-party traffic study?
- 301Mrs. Corson asked what was left to discuss. Mr. Sharples advised there were minor302plan changes and sidewalk configuration.
- 304Mr. Sharples asked the distance between pole and structure, if it had 5' of clear width305- yes.

307	Chair Plumer closed the hearing to the public at 10:40 pm.
308	
309	Chair Plumer asked about waivers. Mr. Botterman advised they were requesting
310	waiver from high intensity soil survey for septic system.
311	
312	Mrs. Corson motioned to grant a waiver from Article 7.4.10 high intensity soil
313	survey, 2 nd by Mr. Cameron, with all in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
314	
315	Mr. Botterman advised they were requesting a waiver from 9.3.6.4 grading within five
316	5' of property line.
317	
318	Mrs. Corson motioned to grant waiver from Article 9.3.6.4 grading within five
319	(5') feet of property line, 2 nd by Mr. Cameron, with all in favor the motion
320	passed unanimously.
	passed unanniously.
321	Mr. Dettermen eduised they were requesting a weiver from Article 11.2.1.0s nermit
322	Mr. Botterman advised they were requesting a waiver from Article 11.3.1.2a permit
323	proposed parking within ten (10') feet of proposed building.
324	
325	Mrs. Corson motioned to grant waiver from Article 11.3.1.2a to permit parking
326	within ten (10') feet of the proposed building, 2 nd by Mr. Cameron, with all in
327	favor, the motion passed unanimously.
328	
329	Mr. Sharples read out loud the proposed standard condition of appeal. GET FROM
330	DS . Chair Plumer requested to add in the fence.
331	
332	Mrs. Corson motioned to approve Case #18-11 under conditions of approval
333	read by Town Planner and added by the Board, 2 nd by Mr. Cameron, with all in
334	favor the motion passed unanimously.
335	
336	1. A dwg file of the subdivision plan shall be provided to the Town Planner showing all
337	property lines and monumentation prior to signing the final plans. This plan must be in
338	NAD 1983 State Plane New Hampshire FIPS 2800 Feet coordinates.
339	1
340	2. All monumentation shall be set prior to the issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy;
341	
342	3. A preconstruction meeting shall be arranged by the applicant and his contractor with the
343	Town engineer prior to any site work commencing. The following must be submitted for
344	review and approval prior to the preconstruction meeting:
345	
346	i. The SWPPP (storm water pollution prevention plan), if applicable, be
347	submitted to and reviewed for approval by DPW prior to preconstruction
348	meeting.
349	ii. A project schedule and construction cost estimate.
350	
351	4. All comments in the Underwood Engineer Inc. first review letter and the TRC letter dated
352	September 26, 2018 shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Planner prior to
353	signing the final plans;
354	

355 5. All appropriate fees to be paid including but not limited to: sewer/water connection fees, impact fees, and inspection fees (including third party inspections), prior to the issuance 356 357 of a building permit or a Certificate of Occupancy whichever is applicable; 358 6. A Maintenance Log and Inspection & Maintenance Checklist for all onsite stormwater 359 management systems shall be provided to the satisfaction of the Town Planner prior to 360 signing the final plans. A completed log and checklist shall be submitted to the Town 361 Engineer annually on or before January 31st. this requirement hall be an on-going 362 condition of approval and noted in the Home Owner's Association bylaws; 363 364 365 7. All applicable State permit approval numbers shall be noted on the final plans. This shall include the Remedial Action Plan regarding the clean-up of the site; 366 367 8. All condominium documents (including the Declaration and By-laws) shall be submitted 368 to the Town Planner for review and approval prior to signing the final plans. In the event 369 the Town Planner deems that review is needed by the Town attorney, this review shall be 370 371 at the applicant's expense; 372 373 9. If determined applicable by the Exeter Department of Public Works, the applicant shall submit the land use and stormwater management information about the project using the 374 PTAPP Online Municipal Tracking Tool (https://ptapp.unh.edu/). The PTAPP submittal 375 must be accepted by DPW prior to the pre-construction meeting; 376 377 10. Security in the form reviewed and approved by the Town Planner shall be provided to 378 379 insure all common area improvements are secured prior to any unit being transferred; 380 381 11. Remove "prop. grilling area" and "prop. picnic tables" notes and just identify recreation areas to satisfy the minimum requirement; 382 383 384 12. Show fence in the southeast corner of Building #1 (bottom left corner as viewed on the plan) to the rear property line as indicated by the Planning Board; 385 386 387 13. If a dumpster will be needed, it shall be shown on the final plans with screening and pad as required by the Board's Site Plan Review Regulations; 388 389 14. The proposed sidewalk in the right-of-way (ROW) along the frontage will be 390 391 reconfigured as approved by the Town Planner; 392 393 15. Add snow storage area to the southeast corner of Building #1; and 394 395 16. The landscape plan shall be redesigned as discussed by the Board subject to the review 396 and approval of the Town Planner. 397 398 Continuance of Anne C. Bushnell 2004 Trust • M/F Site Plan Review – 9 Units 399 12 Front Street 400 Tax Map Parcel #72-2 401 C-1, Central Area Commercial Zoning District 402 Case #18-12 403

404		Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Notice.
405		Mr. Sharples noted Case #18-12 has been rescheduled until December 20, 2018.
406	4.	OTHER BUSINESS
407		Proposed Zoning Amendments
408		Mr. Sharples provided drafts of potential amendments to be reviewed on December 20 th .
409		Mrs. Corson asked if they could meet early, at 6:30 pm. Mr. Sharples agreed.
410	5.	TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS
411		
412		Field Modifications
		Field Mounications
413		Announcements
414		Announcements
415	c	
416	0.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES:
417		August 02, 0040 Tabled
418		August 23, 2018 – Tabled
419		Contombor 10, 2010 Site Walk, Toblad
420		September 19, 2018 Site Walk - Tabled
421		Sentember 20, 2019 Tobled
422		September 20, 2018 - Tabled
423		Contombor 07, 2010 Tabled
424		September 27, 2018 – Tabled
425		October 11, 2019 Tabled
426		October 11, 2018 – Tabled
427		Ostahan 25, 2010 Tabled
428		October 25, 2018 – Tabled
429		Nevember 45, 2040 Tabled
430		November 15, 2018 - Tabled
431	_	
432	1.	CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS
433		
434		Chair Plumer advised the Select Board appointed Mr. Grueter as a regular member and
435		will select their Vice-Chair soon.
436	_	
437	8.	PB REPRESENTATIVES REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE" ACTIVITY
438		

439 **9. ADJOURNMENT**

440 *Mr. Cameron motioned to adjourn the meeting at 10:51 pm. Ms. Corson seconded* 441 *the motion, with all in favor, so moved.*

442

443 Respectfully submitted,

444

- 446 Daniel Hoijer
- 447 Recording Secretary