1 2 3		TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD APPROVED MINUTES
4		December 20, 2018
5 6	1.	CALL TO ORDER: Session was called to order at 7:09 pm by Chair Plumer.
7 8	2.	INTRODUCTIONS
9 10 11		Members Present: Chair Langdon Plumer, Pete Cameron – Clerk, Aaron Brown, Gwen English, Kathy Corson – Select Board, Nick Gray, Alternate, Jennifer Martel – Alternate, John Grueter – Alternate, Kelly Bergeron, and Robin Tyner
12 13		Staff Present: Dave Sharples, Town Planner, Kristen Murphy, Natural Resource Planner
14 15	3.	NEW BUSINESS
16		Public Hearings:
17 18		Continuance of Anne C. Bushnell 2004 Trust
18 19		M/F Site Plan Review – 9 Units
20		12 Front Street
21		Tax Map Parcel #72-2
22		C-1, Central Area Commercial Zoning District
23		Case #18-12
24		
25		Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Notice.
26		Cory Belden, PE, Altus Engineering, stated he would give a quick summary of changes.
27		There has been a lot of positive feedback. Did Site Walk November 14 th ; met with the
28		Select Board who recommended to proceed with driveway access easement,
29		coordinated with DPW on their concerns, did not want to give up parking spot in
30		municipal lot. The proposed plow service agreement, updated traffic memo, pulled three
31		years of data on traffic flow, estimate 49 extra trips on Front Street. A waiver to reduce
32		stall size to provide more space. Vehicles can maneuver through stalls, notable in single
33		space turns, revised grading to collect more runoff water from roadway. The two trees
34 25		by driveway connection can be saved and not removed. Have improved plan, providing
35		opportunities for younger folks to get into housing market.
36		Alyssa Murphy stated the architectural plan has been improved. Still three stories.
37		Reduced square footage and made regular footprint (all right angles). Mentioned
38		balconies to provide on plan, not extending balconies. Looked at housing materials.
39 40		Discussed dens versus bedrooms. Without doors it reinforces they will not be additional
40		bedrooms.
41 42		Mr. Grueter asked the square footage difference in each unit – 1,010, 1008, 986, maybe 20 feet. Less than previous.
74		

- Attorney John Lyon stated units 2-10 (new 9) are only single bedroom units. There
 cannot be amendment. Any deed transferred will contain some comment (no
 amending). Bylaws reinforce this as well. Immediate action taken if unit used as more
 than single-bedroom unit.
- 47 Mrs. Corson asked can only two people live at maximum? Attorney Lyon stated if
 48 somebody had a baby it poses an issue. Could put in condition. Up to Board of
 49 Directors. Would not permit someone using two bedroom and would be enforced with a
 50 \$100/day fine.
- 51 Mr. Grueter advised enforcement is easier said than done. Don't see why not put in limit 52 to two people.
- 53 Attorney Lyon stated would people have to move out if they have a baby? Just don't 54 want a second bedroom. Still has to be enforced.
- 55 Mr. Grueter added that written notice would be helpful.
- 56 Mr. Sharples stated add in restriction of two people limit.
- 57 Mr. Brown advised when built on septic, the number of people is important.
- 58 Attorney Lyon stated the Board wants to add provision of three if one is under age five, 59 with everything else remaining the same. Doesn't have to be a five-year old.
- 60 Mr. Brown stated want to encourage one-bedroom use.
- 61 Mr. Cameron asked what if a family moves into home with a three-year old? Mr. Lion stated willing to have a three-year old, each unit assigned parking spot. Mr. Gray 62 clarified there would not be additional cars with a three-year old or five-year old. Mr. 63 Brown stated you need to be more reasonable because of design. Attorney Lyon stated 64 that having office space adds value to the unit, don't want to lose space. Mrs. Corson 65 stated as long as occupancy addressed, fine with having door or not. Attorney Lyon 66 added listed in that use is only residential. Mr. Grueter asked if usually have cover for 67 when people have office space. 68
- Ms. Corson directed Attorney Lyon to the plan and stated she is concerned that
 firetrucks could not get out. Would like them to look this over. The smallest trucks are
 32'. Should go back to Fire & Safety. Sprinkler system?
- 72 Mr. Belden stated because we have to be, will confirm.
- Mr. Sharples advised that TRC members usually get revised plans. They may want tolook at waivers now too.
- Ms. Corson asked about trash removal. Mr. Belden stated there would be one bin per
 unit with a private service.
- Chair Plumer indicated he was concerned with weight of trucks. Mr. Belden stated that
 are not large dump trucks. Mr. Belden offered they can make spaces bigger if need be.
 Exeter requires 9x19, ours is 8.5. Open to enlarging but would eliminate some green
 space.

- 81 Mr. Grueter stated 1 spot per unit and three guest spaces? correct.
- 82 Mr. Brown asked about the drive-in garage. Mr. Belden stated it was considered. Need 83 drive entrance if have garage. Is very inefficient because of extra space. Looked at best 84 use of space.
- Attorney Lyon stated the problem with making the building taller is ZBA doesn't want it to be taller than the house out front. It is very close to that limit. Like this project more.
- 87 Mr. Cameron asked about the right turn entry coming out on Front Street. It seems to be 88 an issue to me, making a left turn. Mr. Belden explained it was originally a one-way flow. 89 Depends on time of day. If a busy time of day it makes sense to go right and back 90 around.
- 91 Chair Plumer asked if a significant increase in traffic?
- 92 Mr. Sharples advised if someone wants to turn left and can't how navigate that? Is that 93 any safer. Not sure if that's safer.
- Ms. Martel stated like small space to maximize green space. Existing trees tall and old.
 Cutting trees would make them seem exposed and should replace with lilacs or
 something. Mr. Belden stated they will replace overgrown trees with something as
 applicable.
- 98 Mr. Belden stated he would like to go over each waiver request. One if for working 99 within five feet of property line. Two is 14-foot driveway. Three is parking within 100 twentyOfive feet of front of building with 12 feet proposed, fourth is for recreational 101 space. There are a lot of opportunities in downtown area. The fifth is for parking stall 102 size of nine feet. The sixth is for off-street parking. Assuming the Board does not want 103 to reduce stall numbers. Don't need ADA stall for private property. Could strike one if 104 needed.
- 105 Mr. Cameron asked if there are two driveway connections? Mr. Belden stated they did 106 not change wording when updated.
- 107 Mr. Brown stated the waivers were appropriate for the location, except for the number of 108 spaces.
- 109 Ms. Corson would like to see the Fire Department weigh in on second one. Ms.
- Bergeron stated we have always done waivers and decision together. Mr. Belden stated so all good except #6 pending Fire Department review. Attorney Lyon stated they would add the language discussed to the by-laws.
- 113 Ms. Corson stated she does not like the plan, but it is better than the last.
- 114Ms. Bergeron moved to table Case #18-12 until January 24, 2019. Mr. Grueter115seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved.
- 116 Chair Plumer, at 10:45 pm, warned the meeting would be adjourned shortly.

117

 Salema K.I.D.S. Realty Ventures LLC 118 For a commercial Site Plan Review for the proposed construction of a 6,860 sf. 119 Commercial building consisting of a 1,840 sf. Donut shop with drive-thru window and 120 121 5,020 sf. Of retail space and associated site improvements on a 5.68-acre parcel 159 Epping Road, C-3, Epping Road Highway Commercial zoning district 122 123 Tax Map Parcel #47-9.02, Case #18-17 124 125 Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Notice. 126 127 Mr. Cameron moved to hear Case #18-17. Ms. Corson seconded the motion, with 128 all in favor, so moved. 129 Chris Tymula, MHF Design Consultants, stated the parcel has been subdivided. There 130 131 is a wetland system in this area. Soil mapping was done. They have gone before the 132 Conservation Commission. They did not receive support from them. 133 134 This is for a donut shop and drive through with retail and 39 parking spots. Snow storage will be around whole site. There will be two retaining walls on the North and 135 South side of lot and a substantial amount of landscaping. 136 137 A big concern is parking and buffers. 39 parking spaces with nine employees. 24 138 parking spaces are required. Plans have been redesigned multiple times. Wanted to 139 apply for a variance. Felt it was better to apply for waivers for setbacks of wetland 140 buffers. Pulled some parking from the buffer. Conservation Commission thought we 141 should have moved further from the buffer, impacting 7,000 sf. About 5%. Town 142 requirement seems low for parking. Feel that 30 spaces would be adequate. 143 144 Mr. Sharples advised one spot per three seats in this case. 145 146 Mr. Tymula stated with mixed use in Salem, with 4,300 sf, 38 spaces are required for 147 148 that. Dunkins likes to have adequate parking, 20-29 spots typically. 149 Mr. Sharples advised that CUP and Conservation Commission are biggest issues here. 150 Suggested going for variance. Could theoretically pull 25 feet forward. Could get 151 feedback, go to ZBA and be back for 24th. Buffer line originally 85-75 feet, moving 25 152 feet would do it. Mr. Tymula stated he did discuss this with Dave Sharples and did not 153 154 feel a variance was the right approach. 155 Mr. Brown asked if you could get variance would that improve the project? Mr. Tymula 156 stated there are concerns with parking close to road because of grading and drainage 157 from Route 27. Not sure there is enough room to prevent mixing. 158 Mr. Tymula stated the buffer impact is minimal. Seed mix would create wetland buffer 159 160 for planting in the future. 161 Mr. Brown asked if they were happy with what submitted other than pulling forward? 162 163

164	Mr. Tymula said yes, a lot of work went into this.
165	
166	Chair Plumer stated a lot of stormwater management. Ms. Martel added and a lot of
167	pavement.
	pavement.
168	
169	Mr. Tymula stated the amount of land disturbed would be about two acres. Stormwater
170	meets State standards.
171	
172	Ms. Corson asked about making the building smaller. Mr. Tymula stated he didn't think
173	size was an issue.
174	
	Ma Career solved about the dummater in the aboveland error. Mr. Tursula stated the
175	Ms. Corson asked about the dumpster in the shoreland area. Mr. Tymula stated the
176	best location for the dumpster is in way back.
177	
178	Ms. English asked the reason for three lanes around the building. Mr. Tymula stated the
179	new mobile pick up line allows for guest to pass the rest of the line.
180	
	Ma. Martal asked if had avalared paraus pavement?
181	Ms. Martel asked if had explored porous pavement?
182	
183	Mr. Tymula stated it is not great for this area in his personal opinion.
184	
185	Ms. Martel stated research shows it works well. There is a lot of pavement near
186	wetland.
187	
	Ma. English stated she was not supportive of this, need more work to do
188	Ms. English stated she was not supportive of this, need more work to do.
189	
190	Mr. Sharples advised that Conservation Commission felt that there are other places
191	further that can work. Felt it did not make an effort to minimize the buffer impact.
192	
193	Ms. Corson moved to table Case #18-17 to January 24, 2019. Mr. Grueter
194	seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved.
195	
196	One Home Builders, LLC for a multi-family Site Plan Review for proposed
197	redevelopment including demolition of an existing 5-unit apartment building and the
198	abandoned auto body structures (formerly known as Brad's Auto Body) and
199	construction of nine (9) residential townhouse condominium units, parking and
200	associated site improvements
201	69 Main Street, C-1, Central Area Commercial zoning district
202	Tax Map Parcel #63-255, Case #18-18
	Tax Map Farcer #05-200, Case #10-10
203	
204	Mr. Sharples advised the applicant requested a continuance until January 10, 2019.
205	
206	 Scott Boudreau LLS for a lot-line adjustment of the common boundary between
207	Tax Map Parcel #22-15 (B&M Corporation) and Tax Map Parcel #22-17
208	67 Newfield's Road, RU-Rural zoning district
209	Case #18-19 - Tabled
210	

4. OTHER BUSINESS

212 Proposed Zoning Amendments

At the December 6, 2018 meeting, Mr. Sharples provided drafts of potential
amendments to be reviewed, which were publicly noticed on December 7, 2018. *Copies*of the full text of the proposed amendments are available in the Planning Office.

- Article 2, Section 2.2 Definitions
 - Article 9, Section 9.2 Aquifer Protection District Ordinance
 - Article 9, Section 9.3 Exeter Shoreland Protection District Ordinance
 - Article 3, Section 3.2 Zoning Map
 - Article 4, Section 4.2, Schedule I: Permitted Uses
 - TO AMEND:
 - Article 2, Section 2.2 Definitions by deleting "2.2.30 <u>Fertilizer</u>" in its entirety. (Definition to be added to applicable district regulations).
 - Article 9, Section 9.2 Aquifer Protection District Ordinance by deleting in its entirety and replacing subsection 9.2.3K.12 (Use of Fertilizer) and amend subsection 9.2.4 Definitions by adding a definition for "fertilizer."
 - Article 9, Section 9.3 Exeter Shoreland Protection District Ordinance by deleting in its entirety and replacing subsection 9.3.4 F.12 (Use of Fertilizer) and amend subsection 9.3.2 Definitions by adding a definition for "fertilizer."
 - Article 3, Section 3.2 Zoning Map by changing the zoning district designation of the existing PP-Professional/Technology Park district to CT-Corporate Technology Park.
 - Article 4, Section 4.2, Schedule I: Permitted Uses by deleting "Assisted Living Facility" as a permitted use in the C-3, Epping Road Highway zoning district.

Mr. Sharples advised there will be five (5) Public Hearings, the first three regarding fertilizer, are proposed amendments to <u>Article 2, Section 2.2, Article 9, Section 9.2</u> <u>and Article 9, Section 9.3</u>, are connected and will require one (1) Warrant Article.

Ms. Bergeron moved to open the hearing to the public at 7:09 pm. Ms. Corson seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved. Approved 7-0.

Ms. Murphy, the Natural Resource Planning stated that amending Article 2 (definitions)
and Article 9 relating to fertilizer use, currently is a prohibited use of all fertilizer in
Aquifer and Shoreland Protection districts. Opportunities for waivers Intent was to limit
nitrogen and phosphorous in rivers. Concerns were raised upon adopting ordinances.
Significant differences between different types of fertilizer but all were treated the same.
The proposed removal of Article 2.2.30 entirely for clarity. 9.2.3.K permit use and add
restrictions.

256 Best management Practices • 257 • 50% slow release minimum • Annual limited (1.5 lbs. N/1000 sq. ft per year) 258 • Phosphorous free 259 260 Application rate limit (.5 lb. N/1000 sq. ft). • 261 Also adjusting water provisions. Look to acquire turf management plans. Increase in 262 limits from .5 to 1 or 1.5 to 3.0 annual. Add in three-year timeline to turf management. Returned water for restoration. Under Best Management Practices changed definition of 263 fertilizer. 264 265 Ms. Martel asked about organic versus non-organic. Not in final definition? Ms. Murphy stated that even organics can be misused. Thought process guidelines 266 were more important than going organic. 267 Mr. Brown stated the three-year provision would encourage us to review the process as 268 it evolves. Ms. Murphy stated not prohibited by making changes to ordinance during 269 those three years. Encourage Board to rely on staff recommendations if things change. 270 Mr. Grueter asked how do you manage this criteria? Ms. Murphy stated s the 271 responsibility of the compliance officer to try to educate the public. 272 Chair Plumer asked if this would have an effect on stores that sell fertilizer? Ms. Murphy 273 advised we would try to educate everyone so that all stores were aware of change in 274 275 ordinance. 276 Ms. Murphy stated interested in keeping healthy lawns, clean water as a Committee. Will go over impervious cover ordinance but will not discuss much until January. The 277 278 amendment to 9.3.4B not penetrable by water. Changing to state "shall not exceed 10% on any lot of portion thereof within boundary of SPD." Historically applied to all areas 279 with SPD. 280 Mr. Sharples stated there are few options on fertilizer amendments. Can move forward 281 and vote on final form of ordinance or can give time for people to hear about it. Could 282 table if wanted to. 283 284 Chair Plumer closed the hearing to the public at 7:43 pm for deliberations. Ms. Bergeron moved to move forward the proposed amendment regarding 285 fertilizer use as presented by the Natural Resource Planner. Mr. Grueter seconded 286 287 the motion, with all in favor, so moved. Approved 7-0. 288 Mr. Sharples advised the proposed amendment of Article 3, Section 3.2 would change 289 290 PP-Professional/Technology Park district to CT-Corporate Technology Park. Development would be enhanced if more uses were permitted, such as light industrial 291 292 facility. Try to encourage commercial growth along Holland Way Corridor. Not much potential left in PP zone. Some wetland constraints throughout. Ten zoning districts 293 allow commercial and industrial uses, not a lot of difference between two types (PP & 294 CT). Can have a larger childcare area in CT. Lab research no special exception 295 296 needed for CT. Light industry and hotels allowed in CT, not allowed in PP. Prototype

297	production prohibited in CT. Amusement centers and medical rehabilitation facilities
298	allowed via special exception in CT.
299	
300	Mr. Gray inquired if definitions for districts specific to Exeter or State wide?
301	
302	Mr. Sharples stated specific to Exeter but relatively consistent throughout Towns.
303	
304	Ms. Corson stated she looked at other Towns but not listed state-wide.
305	Mr. Sharples advised ran by Master Plan Oversight Committee. Consensus was
306	positive. Don't think the two are terribly different aside from permitting a few more uses.
307	
308	Mr. Brown asked if the Town notifies abutters if this changes? Mr. Sharples stated only
309	Public Notice but yes. Mr. Brown asked if any abutters greatly affected by this? Chair
310	Plumer asked if there are protections in place for direct abutters? Mr. Sharples advised
311	the process is via Special Exception. There was a time when we looked at all uses and
312	rezoned what's there.
313	
314	Ms. Corson stated will be on ballot to vote as well. Good to notice people to see if
315	anybody has concerns.
316	
317	Mr. Sharples suggested going on case by case basis if doing that process.
318	
319	Mr. Brown stated that changes in zoning are important to abutters and stake holders.
320	Comfortable with your discretion on who is notified.
321	
322	Chair Plumer opened the hearing to the public at 8:14 pm.
323	
324	Nancy Belanger clarify road names on map.
325	
326	Mr. Cameron moved to continue the public hearing until January 10, 2019. Ms.
327	Corson seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved. Approved 7-0.
328	
329	Mr. Sharples advised the proposed amendment of Article 4, Section 4.2, Schedule I:
330	Permitted Uses would prohibit Assisted Living Facilities in C-3 Epping Road Highway
331	zoning district.
332	
333	The use would be removed from the list of permitted uses. The Housing Advisory
334	Committed heard of proposal to add AL amendment would not immediately affect that
335	proposal. ALF defined in zoning ordinances. Mr. Cameron stated everyone's definition
336	is different (for ALFs).
337	
338	Ms. Corson stated there is not much left to major development.
339	
340	Mr. Sharples stated there are possibilities for redevelopment. The new proposal is
341	mainly residential use. ALFs in Town already.
342	

Ms. Corson asked are we limiting ourselves? It is hard to find an affordable facility.

Facilities bring in a lot of tax revenue.

- 345 346 Mr. Sharples stated HAC was looking at remaining land use and whether it should be used commercially. 347 348 Ms. Corson stated she is concerned they won't be able to have any more ALFs in 349 Exeter. 350 351 Mr. Sharples stated plenty of uses here have disappeared as we are so built out as a 352 353 Town. 354 Ms. Corson stated she questions whether it is enough for the future. Existing facilities 355 bring in a lot of tax revenue. Should just think about that and maybe discuss again later. 356 357 Ms. Belanger stated not to slow down, to stop elderly living. Want to promote diversity. 358 Open to discussing what's available. 359 360 Mr. Gray argued also creating a haven for those out of town. Some concerns for 361 proposal, not sure if this is right way to lower median use in Exeter. 362 363 Mr. Sharples stated it has nothing to do with demographics. It is more focused on 364 365 remaining commercial opportunities, trying to get the best use there. 366 367 Mr. Brown stated pushed to commercial with TIFF, no point to table. 368 Mr. Grueter asked if the new ALF has until October. 369 370 371 Mr. Sharples advised them of the possible amendment and that it would be 372 grandfathered for a year and that their application has to be in by then. 373 Mr. Sharples advised, if the Board were to deny, you don't need a motion. The Board 374 could motion to move forward and see where it goes from there. 375 376 Mr. Brown motion to move the amendment forward. Ms. Bergeron seconded the 377 378 motion, with Mr. Brown, Ms. Bergeron, Chair Plumer, Mr. Cameron, Mr. Grueter, 379 Mr. Gray, Ms. Tyner and Ms. Martel voting in favor, and Ms. Corson and Ms. English opposed, motion carries 5-2 in favor. 380 381 382 5. TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS 383 **Field Modifications** 384 385
- 386 Announcements
- 387

343

344

388	6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:	
389 390	August 22, 2019 Tabled	
390 391	August 23, 2018 – Tabled	
392	September 19, 2018 Site Walk - Tabled	
393		
394	September 20, 2018 - Tabled	
395		
396	September 27, 2018 – Tabled	
397 398	October 11, 2018 – Tabled	
399		
400	October 25, 2018 – Tabled	
401		
402	November 15, 2018 – Tabled	
403		
404	December 6, 2018 - Tabled	
405		
406	7. CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS	
407 408	8. PB REPRESENTATIVES REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE" ACTIVITY	
406		
409 ADJOURNMENT		
410	Ms. Corson motioned to adjourn the meeting at 10:51 pm. Ms. English seconded	
411	the motion, with all in favor, so moved.	
412		
413	espectfully submitted,	
414		
415		
416	aniel Hoijer	

416 Daniel Hoijer417 Recording Secretary