| 1 2 3 4 5 | | TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD DRAFT MINUTES February 28, 2019 |
|--|----|--|
| 6 7 | 1. | CALL TO ORDER: Session was called to order at 7:00 pm by Chair Plumer. |
| 8 | 2. | INTRODUCTIONS |
| 9 10 11 | | Members Present: Chair Langdon Plumer, Gwen English, Kelly Bergeron, John Grueter, Donald Clement, Select Board Representative, Jennifer Martel, Alternate, Robin Tyner, Alternate and Nick Gray, Alternate |
| 12 | | Staff Present: Dave Sharples, Town Planner |
| 13 | | Chair Plumer indicated that Alternates Jennifer Martel and Robin Tyner would be active. |
| 14 | 3. | NEW BUSINESS |
| 15 | | HEARINGS: |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | | A continued public hearing on the application of Salema K.I.D.S. Realty Ventures LLC for a commercial site plan review and Shoreland Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for the proposed construction of a 7,001 square foot commercial building consisting of a 1,941 sq. ft. donut shop with drive-thru window and 5,070 square feet of retail space and associated site improvements on a 5.68-acre parcel 159 Epping Road, C-3, Epping Road Highway Commercial zoning district Tax Map Parcel #47-9.02. Case #18-17. |
| 24 25 26 | | Chair Plumer advised that Case #18-17 requested a continuance to a date to be determined. |
| 20 27 28 29 30 31 32 | | The application of Palmer & Sicard, Inc. for a commercial site plan review and Wetlands & Shoreland Conditional Use Permits for the proposed construction of a 26,989 S.F. office building/warehouse/shop development and associated site improvements on a 14.74-acre parcel Off Holland Way, CT-Corporate Technology Park zoning district Tax Map Parcel #66-1. Case #18-22. |
| 34 35 | | Ms. Bergeron motioned to open the hearing for Case #18-22. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved. |
| 36 37 38 39 40 41 | | Chair Plumer opened the hearing by reading Dave Sharples' Memo. The applicants are seeking to construct an office building in the CT Corporate Technology Park zoning district and have appealed to the ZBA to run off Town water and sewer, which a variance was granted for. The applicants appeared before the TRC as well. Both comments are included in the member packets. The applicants intend to meet with |
| 42 | | Conservation Commission on March 13, 2019 |

Christian Smith responded to the UEI and TRC comments and proposed to introduce the Board to the project and schedule the Site Walk. The applicants plan to meet with Conservation on the 13th and Planning Board on the 14th.

Mr. Smith noted there is not a lot of functional value to the area shown. The applicants will be requesting waivers: for trees in excess of 16" caliper. They are proposing a gravel area so that trucks can go in and out of loading dock. The stormwater catch basin system covers entire impervious area. This proposal (shown) is a revised septic design, 600 gallons per day, slightly higher than a four-bedroom home. The system must be inspected biannually and use advanced enviroseptic, a standard site plan design.

Ms. Tyner asked about the waiver for trees greater than 16" caliper. Mr. Smith noted that two acres of 14.5-acre parcel are being developed and didn't believe the location would provide more beneficial information.

Mr. Gray recused himself.

Ms. English commented there is no site plan and is not sure if that is ready for the next meeting. Mr. Clement agreed and would like to see the site plan in future. There are lines on the plans not identified. Mr. Smith noted the printer company had trouble, the revised plan looks better. Ms. English proposed the graphic scale should be checked against the actual lines on the planset. Mr. Smith will doublecheck.

Ms. Martel asked about the tree waiver. A lot of trees have been removed and would like to see a survey of the trees that will be cut. Mr. Smith responded they will be cutting some and can be viewed in the field. Ms. Martel stated she would like to see on the plan, which trees will be removed.

Mr. Clement stated he would echo Ms. English's comments about the planset. Would the stormwater system be routed through gravel wetlands out to the Northern end? Would there be flow on the Southern side? Mr. Smith responded no, collected in drainage and conveyed to gravel wetland. Drainage analysis has been submitted as well.

Mr. Grueter asked about extending the parking area, now asphalt? Mr. Smith responded "correct."

Mr. Grueter asked if there was an issue with the existing traffic? Visibility? Mr. Smith responded the sight distance is very good there.

Mr. Grueter asked how close to the sewer? Mr. Smith stated the closest point is 1500 feet and terminates on private property.

Ms. English stated worked very hard to get out of Shoreland Protection District with previous owner. Shifted parking around it seems. Didn't see parking area. Mr. Smith stated initially had 54 and reduced to 53. Ms. English asked if that was adequate?

Mr. Smith stated yes, maybe not initially. This plan reduces overall impervious

90

| 91 92 | surfaces. Ms. English asked if having gravel wetland where it is, is that an ideal place? Maybe could move some things around. Mr. Smith responded that he looked |
|------------|---|
| 93 | at many locations. Should be the best option where it is. |
| 94 | |
| 95 | Mr. Clement noted the planset did not match the one displayed. |
| 96 | |
| 97 | Ms. English asked about the steady flow of trucks coming in and off site? Could |
| 98 | pervious pavers be used back there? Mr. Smith advised the soils back there were |
| 99 | not conducive to pervious pavement. Not a great deal of truck traffic. Have box |
| 100 | trucks but maybe one large truck per day. |
| 101 | |
| 102 | Ms. Tyner asked what type of soil is that area? Mr. Smith responded that it was |
| 103 | poorly drained soil, not great for infiltration. |
| 104 | |
| 105 | Ms. English asked if it was necessary to have all that lane in back with little use by |
| 106 | trucks. Mr. Smith noted that occasionally there would be large trucks so their turn |
| 107 | radius would be looked at and taken into account. |
| 108 | |
| 109 | Ms. English asked about landscaping and heavy planting scheme at South end. Mr. |
| 110 | Smith noted originally there was mitigation for clear-cut left on there and that Mr. |
| 111 | Sharples advised there would be no need for it, so it was eliminated completely. |
| 112 | Mo. Mostal recommended enlarging the plant cohedule fort for the post iteration. Mo. |
| 113 | Ms. Martel recommended enlarging the plant schedule font for the next iteration. Ms. |
| 114 | English asked about photometric location. Mr. Smith noted that it may not be on |
| 115 | your plans but on the lighting plan and apologized for the printing job. |
| 116 117 | Mr. Clement asked about the drainage pond and two culverts and who would be |
| 117 | responsible for maintaining those? Mr. Smith stated he believes it is DOT but would |
| 119 | confirm that. |
| 120 | committuat. |
| 121 | Ms. English stated she is struggling with the size of the back-parking lot. Is it likely |
| 122 | that trucks will be parked there for a while? -Typically, not overnight. Mr. Clement |
| 123 | asked if there were overflow parking requirements? Mr. Smith responded not |
| 124 | overflow parking, just to get larger trucks in and out. |
| 125 | evernous partiting, just to get langer tracke in and eath |
| 126 | Ms. Tyner asked about the gravel area behind. Mr. Smith noted what was proposed, |
| 127 | the Town engineer thought would create problems. |
| 128 | |
| 129 | Chair Plumer asked if vans that employees use would come back there? - no. |
| 130 | • • |
| 131 | Ms. Martel asked if the applicant was going to State for Alteration of Terrain permit, |
| 132 | would need wetland permit? Mr. Smith advised they already have a wetland permit, |
| 133 | just for small area. |
| 134 | |
| 135 | Ms. Martel asked if Shoreland permit required? Mr. Smith responded not by State. |
| 136 | |
| | |
| | |

| 137 | | Chair Plumer opened the hearing to the public for questions and comments at 7:38 |
|-----|----|---|
| 138 | | pm and being none closed the hearing to the public for deliberations. |
| 139 | | pin and being none closed the hearing to the public for deliberations. |
| 140 | | Mr. Grueter noted that he didn't see large truck getting in easy. Mr. Smith added |
| 140 | | they can get in there, it was looked at. Mr. Grueter noted he wouldn't want to extend |
| | | |
| 142 | | any further. |
| 143 | | Ma. English solved if the detted line indicated outer quing of truck? Mr. Smith |
| 144 | | Ms. English asked if the dotted line indicated outer swing of truck? Mr. Smith |
| 145 | | responded the swing of front bumper of truck going out. It can be shut off if needed. |
| 146 | | Ms. English asked if it could be cut back a little from line to parking. Mr. Smith |
| 147 | | responded no, as they needed every inch of space there. |
| 148 | | Chair Diverse calcad have the arrayed will be treated there. Mr. Craith responded that |
| 149 | | Chair Plumer asked how the ground will be treated there. Mr. Smith responded that |
| 150 | | anything not paved will be seeded. Ms. Tyner asked how far? Mr. Smith responded |
| 151 | | within grading limits. |
| 152 | | Obein Dhuman asked about the alega out montion of land left after development? Ma |
| 153 | | Chair Plumer asked about the clear-cut portion of land left after development? Mr. |
| 154 | | Smith responded as noted on the plan a small piece to the north must be cleared. |
| 155 | | OL : DI COLLEGE SE LA COLLEGE |
| 156 | | Chair Plumer asked to schedule the site walk before the 13 th . Mr. Clement stated he |
| 157 | | would relay the information to Kristen Murphy. Ms. Tyner proposed Thursday, March |
| 158 | | 7 th at 8:30 am. |
| 159 | | Mr. Olemani marradi a tabla Osaa #40 00 ta Manah 44 0040 Ma Dannana |
| 160 | | Mr. Clement moved to table Case #18-22 to March 14, 2019. Ms. Bergeron |
| 161 | | seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved. |
| 162 | | ATHER RUGINESS |
| 163 | 4. | OTHER BUSINESS |
| 164 | | APPROVAL OF MINUTES: |
| 165 | | February 14, 2019 |
| 166 | | |
| 167 | | Amend Line 69, Sharples to Plumer. Insert Mr. Sharples would like to set aside time. |
| 168 | | |
| 169 | | Mr. Grueter motioned to accept the February 14, 2019 minutes, as amended. Ms. |
| 170 | | Bergeron seconded the motion, with Mr. Clement and Ms. Martel abstaining, |
| 171 | | approved 4-0, so moved. |
| 172 | | |
| 173 | 5. | TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS |
| 174 | | |

| 175 | 6. ADJOURNMENT | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 176 177 | Ms. Bergeron motioned to adjourn the meeting at 7:49 pm. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved. | | | |
| 178 | | | | |
| 179 | Respectfully submitted, | | | |
| 180 | | | | |
| 181 182 183 | Daniel Hoijer Recording Secretary | | | |