1 2 3 4 5		TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD APPROVED MINUTES JUNE 13, 2019
6	1.	CALL TO ORDER: Session was called to order at 7:12 pm by Chair Plumer.
7 8	2.	INTRODUCTIONS
9 10 11 12		Members Present: Chair Langdon Plumer, Vice-Chair Aaron Brown, Pete Cameron, Clerk, John Grueter, Gwen English, Niko Papakonstantis, Select Board Representative, Marcia Moreno-Baez, Alternate, Nick Gray, Alternate, Jennifer Martel, Alternate, Robin Tyner, Alternate, Pete Steckler, Alternate
13		Staff Present:
14		Chair Plumer indicated that Alternate Nick Gray would be active.
15 16	3.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
17 18		May 23, 2019 - Tabled
19	4.	NEW BUSINESS
20		HEARINGS: None
21 22	5.	OTHER BUSINESS
23 24 25 26 27 28		Garrison Glen, LLC 24 Continental Drive116,288 SF multi-tenant, light manufacturing/distribution facility Case #17-29 Request for One-Year Extension of Approval
29 30		Chair Plumer referenced a letter dated May 30, 2019 from Thomas F. Monahan on behalf of Garrison Glen, LLC requesting a one-year extension.
31 32		Chair Plumer indicated a one-year extension had been granted on 1/11/18 and another through 7/11/19. This is to give additional time to satisfy conditions imposed.
33 34 35		Vice-Chair Brown motioned to grant a one-year extension as requested by Garrison Glen, LLC, Planning Board Case #17-29. Mr. Gray seconded the motion, with all in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
36		Master Plan Discussion
37		

Non-Public Session pursuant to RSA 91-A:3(II)(e) consideration of the advice of 38 39 legal counsel 40 41 By Roll Call, Vice-Chair Brown motioned to go into non-public session pursuant to RSA 91-A:3(II)(e) consideration of advice of legal counsel. Mr. Gray seconded the 42 motion, with all in favor, the motion passed unanimously. 43 44 The meeting was moved to the Wheelwright Meeting Room and closed to the public at 7:19 PM. 45 The meeting was reconvened by Chair Plumer at 8:14 PM. 46 47 Possible Amendments to Site Plan Review & Subdivision Regulations Chair Plumer indicated the Board would conduct an administrative work session to 48 49 discuss possible amendments to the Site Plan Review & Subdivision Regulations. Chair 50 Plumer indicated the focus of this work session would be on regulations concerning Significant Trees and Soil Survey requirements with zoning related matters to be 51 52 discussed later in the year. 1. Significant Tree Provision, Section 7.4.7 53 54 Chair Plumer reviewed Mr. Sharples May 30, 2019 Memorandum concerning Significant Tree provision. 55 56 Ms. Tyner noted one significant concern is a landowner can file an intent to cut before coming to the Planning Board. Chair Plumer questioned whether this was out of the 57 Planning Board's prevue as a landowner can essentially do what they want. Mr. 58 59 Eastman may have more information on that. Ms. Tyner noted in certain instances, such 60 as the presence of wetlands for example, cutting would be prohibited and only a percentage of a parcel could be cut,. Vice-Chair Brown added that cutting in buffers 61 would also be prohibited. 62 63 Ms. Tyner noted for example if seven acres of a ten-acre parcel were cut, this would represent a significant impact. Vice-Chair Brown noted any amount could have an 64 impact. 65 66 Ms. Tyner questioned what was the right place to monitor and enforce this? Mr. Cameron noted the regulation only requires an inventory and has no mechanism for 67 mitigation or enforcement. Chair Plumer noted 9.6.2 and 4.7.4 appear to cover this. 68 69 Vice-Chair Brown noted this was discussed with the Master Plan Oversight Committee 70 and Mr. Sharples was a big part of that conversation. Vice-Chair Brown noted 9.6.2 has the intent of preserving large or unique trees whenever possible. 71 72 Ms. Martel read out loud 8.9 and noted it is important to know that tree existed in order to mitigate with new planting conditions. Without a survey, you won't know. Ms. Martel 73 74 disagreed with getting rid of the requirement and would rather make it clear and 75 enforceable. Ms. Tyner noted the intent needed to be clear. Ms. Moreno-Baez added to 76 quantify the situation. Mr. Gray noted it was not practical to inventory or protect

individual trees and recommended an overall estimate benchmark per acre and perhaps an inventory aerially of some of the larger parcels, which is likely a short list Ms. Martel

77

78

opined all trees are not created equal and with aerial surveys, you would not differentiate between oaks or other hardwoods and invasives, which would be more desirable to clear. Ms. Martel noted that while Mr. Sharples researched Stratham's regulations, she had researched 11 other Towns who have regulations, Seabrook, Windham, Portsmouth, Durham, Brentwood all require tree surveys. Not having them is the anomaly.

Chair Plumer questioned how to make use of the information in deliberations? What would the Planning Board's future plans be to mitigate the cut trees? Ms. Tyner noted they are often getting the information too late to make use of it.

Mr. Cameron noted the survey could be limited to the affected area. If you have 62 acres and 45 acres are not being touched. The regulation only goes to the inventory, not to mitigation, you have to go somewhere else. Mr. Gray questioned how does the caliper of the trees change things? If you don't know what species, only the diameter, then diameter replacing won't match. Ms. Martel advised typically the survey does list species. Other Towns use the diameter to calculate their replacement value. If you cut a tree of this caliper you replace it with trees of 2" diameter for example. Mr. Gray noted replacing trees every 30' on center is another possibility. Vice-Chair Brown noted Site Walks enable the Board to take note of large or unique trees.

Vice Chair Brown noted impervious surface being created matters. "What will never be." "This regulation is consistently waived and needs to match intent." Ms. Moreno-Baez added the step forward is what's missing. Mr. Gray agreed the inventory is useless unless the intent to not degredate the environment is mitigated by the crafting of a simple replacement system. Mr. Gray recommended a per acre average tree number formula be used to replace a certain number and caliper of cut trees.

Ms. Tyner advised that if a habitat is destroyed, replacing that with a manicured buffer strip is useless, nothing is going to live in that.

Chair Plumer recommended bringing the Conservation Commission into the discussion.

Vice-Chair Brown noted 7.4.7 needs work and suggested possibly a subcommittee. "It is beyond the bandwidth of the Planning Board to craft this." Vice-Chair Brown asked if anyone wants to take this on and reach out to Mr. Sharples? Mr. Steckler recommended if the Town has a professional, licensed forester to consult with, otherwise he could reach out to some of his colleagues.

2. High Intensity Soil Survey (HISS)

- Chair Plumer reviewed Mr. Sharples' May 30, 2019 Memorandum concerning High Intensity Soil Survey (HISS) provision.
- Vice-Chair Brown noted the purpose of revising the regulation is to be consistent with the State language.
- Ms. Martel questioned whether Mr. Sharples was recommending removing HISS altogether? In the event there was no Alteration of Terrain (AoT) permit, there would be no survey done at all.

119 120		Mr. Steckler recommended if the soil identification information is the same information to make sure it is brought to the Planning Board as well as the State and not skip us.
121	6.	TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS
122		Field Modifications
123		Announcements
124	7.	CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS
125 126		Chair Plumer indicated a vacancy was open on the Historic Commission if someone would be interested in serving.
127		Next Meeting: June 27, 2019
128 129 130	8.	PLANNING BOARD REPRESENTATIVES REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE" ACTIVITY
131	9.	ADJOURN
132 133		Chair Plumer motioned to adjourn the meeting. Vice-Chair. Brown seconded the motion, with all in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
134		Chair Plumer adjourned the meeting at 9:00 PM.
135 136 137 138	Respe	ctfully submitted,
139	Daniel Hoijer	
140	Recording Secretary	
141	Via Exeter TV	