1	TOWN OF EXETER
2	PLANNING BOARD
3	November 19, 2020
4	VIRTUAL MEETING
5	APPROVED MINUTES
6	Zoom ID: 881 3382 1337
7	Phone: 1 646 558 8656
8	I. PRELIMINARIES:
9	
10	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT BY ROLL CALL: Chair Langdon Plumer, Vice-Chair Aaron Brown, Pete
11	Cameron, Clerk, Gwen English, John Grueter, Jennifer Martel, Molly Cowan, Select Board
12	Representative, Robin Tyner, Alternate, Nancy Belanger, Alternate and Pete Steckler, Alternate.
13	
14	STAFF PRESENT: Town Planner Dave Sharples
15	
16	II. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Plumer called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM and read out loud the
17	meeting preamble which indicated that an emergency exists and the provisions of RSA 91-A:2 III (b) are
18	being invoked. As federal, state and local officials have determined gatherings of ten or more people
19	pose a substantial risk to the community and the meeting imperative to the continued operation of
20	Town and government and services which are vital to public, health, safety and confidence. This
21	meeting will be conducted without a quorum physically present in the same location and welcome
22	members of the public accessing the meeting remotely.
23 24	III. OLD BUSINESS
25	III. OLD BOSINESS
26	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
27	AFFROVAL OF WINOTES
28	November 12, 2020 - Tabled
29	November 12, 2020 - Tableu
30	IV. NEW BUSINESS
30	IV. INEW DOSINESS
31	PUBLIC HEARINGS
32	1. A request by Wakefield Investment, Inc. (2 Hampton Road LLC) for modifications to a previously
33	approved multi-family site plan for the "Windsor Crossing" development. The subject property is
34	located on Acadia Lane, in the CT-Corporate Technology Park zoning district
35	Tax Map Parcel #69-3
36	Case #21404
37	
38	Chair Plumer read the Public Hearing Notice and indicated the applicant has requested to be removed
39	from the agenda until such time as they are ready to proceed.

- 40 2. The application of People's United Bank for the proposed construction of a drive-thru canopy and
- 41 reconstruction of the existing parking lot at 1 Center Street. The subject property is situated in the C-1,
- 42 Central Area Commercial zoning district
- 43 Tax Map Parcel #72-205 and #72-216
- 44 Case #20-3

46 Chair Plumer read the Public Hearing Notice out loud.

Mr. Sharples noted the application is ready to be heard.

Mr. Cameron motioned to hear Planning Board Case #20-3. Ms. Martel seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Cameron – aye, Martel – aye, English – aye, Grueter – aye, Brown – aye, Cowan – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

Mr. Sharples noted the applicant has requested site plan review for the construction of a drive-thru canopy and reconstruction of the existing parking lot. The HDC reviewed and recommended conditions. Staff and UEI reviewed the plans and supporting documents and UEI provided comments dated March 4, 2020. There were no concerns with access or emergency services. There are six waiver requests.

Christopher Berry of Berry Surveying & Engineering presented the proposed plan to add a drive-thru canopy and changes to the parking lots and access. The site currently contains 4,000 SF and an existing bank. There are two curb cuts being reduced to one on Center Street. Access to the parking lot would be through Ladd's Lane. No changes to water, sewer or other utilities. Impervious surface will be reduced from 76% to 64% and stormwater treatment via rain garden. The drive-thru will have a window and teller station, atm, concrete pad with two drive-thru lanes. The dumpster will be on a pad with chain link and barrier/screening. The upper parking lot will be resurfaced, and the off-site encroachment removed. Parking will be reduced from 25 spaces to 21. There are waivers requested.

Vice-Chair Brown asked about the conditions of the Historic District Commission and the existing ATM whether it will be eliminated, and Mr. Berry noted the existing atm will remain also.

Ms. Martel asked about the lighting plan and Mr. Berry noted the only addition will be the canned lighting in the canopy. There are two cobra style fixtures which provide light to the area which are not controlled by the bank.

Ms. Tyner asked about the triangle area shown between the two parking areas and Mr. Berry indicated there was an 18" curb change between the parking arrays.

78 Ms. English asked if exiting on Center Street would be both left and right turn and Mr. Berry indicated 79 there would be no restriction. Ms. English recommended signage to direct customers to the new 80 entrance on Ladd's Lane.

Ms. Martel asked about the rain garden and proposed groundcover which Mr. Berry indicated would be mown grass except where plants are shown.

Ms. Belanger expressed concerns about three lanes exiting onto Center Street. Mr. Berry indicated they were discussed with DPW and UEI. The third lane is not under the canopy. Chair Plumer recommended painting lines. Vice-Chair Brown noted he is a customer at the 80 Main Street branch which uses the same exit style with their drive-thru canopy and three lanes work well. Mr. Cameron noted he drove around and echoed Mr. Brown's comments about the three lanes converging to two easily. It is a rational approach to what they want to achieve.

Chair Plumer opened the hearing to the public for comments and questions at 8:34 PM.

Mr. Grueter questioned the cars backing onto Ladd Lane. If someone came around the corner while someone was backing out there could be an issue. Ms. English noted she was not comfortable with cars backing onto Ladd Lane and questioned whether those five spots were necessary and raised the issue of snow plowing and the expense to the bank of removal as well as pedestrian use on Ladd Lane. Mr. Grueter agreed with Ms. English and recommended two or three parallel spots. Mr. Berry noted the five spots are important to the bank. The bank already takes care of snow removal as it has no snow storage now. Ms. Martel agreed the angled parking backing onto Ladd Lane is a concern especially during busy events and questioned where a passenger would get out – could the space be wider or have a landing area. Ms. Martel liked Mr. Grueter's idea of parallel spaces or eliminating. Ms. English asked if parallel spaces could be added to the upper lot and Mr. Berry noted it would not meet the requirement of the 12' lane. The landing platform or widening are feasible. Chair Plumer noted a paved walkway could allow pedestrians to come down the sidewalk to Center Street sidewalk. Mr. Berry noted he could reduce the radius and carry the sidewalk to the pole.

Ms. Tyner asked how often the back-parking lot is used and Mr. Berry noted there are 3-5 employees who use it regularly, sometimes more and the bank expects as business grows the need for employees will also. Ms. Tyner noted she was not as bothered by the backing out as there is not much traffic on Center Street. Without a walkway or direct path, people would cut across the lot. Vice-Chair Brown questioned whether there could be a walking area between the arrays or a stair. Extending the sidewalk around the corner makes a lot of sense.

Ms. Martel expressed concerns with the trash enclosure and the plant material. The proximity of the dumpster to Ladd House and the historic bank building would like to see something more attractive there than black chain link, perhaps faux wood. The three Pagoda Dogwood would not likely survive in the urban environment with the snow area and she recommended choosing something else.

Ms. English questioned the outlet structure size and the tree on the same spot, also the landscape boulders in the upper lot and if the area could be landscaped. Mr. Berry noted snow plowing would quickly destroy any landscaping. The boulders are to prevent cars from getting into trouble if they go too far down the slope.

Mr. Steckler noted he appreciated the rain garden/stormwater treatment and asked about the use of pervious pavers in the upper lot. Mr. Berry noted it was discussed with the applicant and decided against since it would require different maintenance, different plowing contractors and the area to be

dug down to reset the materials below. Ms. Martel asked if the half-spot in the upper lot could be landscaped and Mr. Berry agreed it could be. Chair Plumer asked about the direction of the water flow in the upper lot and Mr. Berry noted toward the back, zero grade changes, it would be milled and repaved with a small reduction in the amount of pavement at the boundary line which will be saw cut.

Chair Plumer closed the hearing to the public at 8:00 PM for deliberations and requested Mr. Berry go over the six waiver requests. Mr. Sharples noted he had no conditions of approval for the waivers.

Mr. Berry noted the first waiver requested was width behind parking which is being reduced from 20' to 16.' The standard aisle which is adequate is 16' and would be a hardship to the bank if denied because the project could not move forward.

Ms. Martel motioned after reviewing the criteria for granting waivers that the request of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) for a waiver from Section 9.13.6 of the Site Plan Review & Subdivision Regulations to reduce the minimum aisle width within the parking area be approved. Mr. Cameron seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Grueter – aye, Martel – aye, English – aye, Cowan – aye, Cameron – aye, Brown – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

Mr. Berry indicated the next waiver request was for the curb-cut radius of 10' as the sidewalk would be essentially gobbled up and create curb-cuts larger than needed. The applicant would also like to add shortening the radius to allow the sidewalk to be continued around the corner to the pole. This requirement would impede walkability of downtown and create confusion at the entrance/exit lanes by having it appear there are more lanes than there are.

Vice-Chair Brown motioned after reviewing the criteria for granting waivers that the request of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) for a waiver from Section 9.14.9 of the Site Plan Review & Subdivision Regulations to permit a proposed curb-cut radii of 10' for a private driveway and the changes as discussed during the meeting in that area be approved. Ms. English seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Brown – aye, Cameron – aye, Cowan – aye, English – aye, Martel – aye, Grueter – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

Mr. Berry noted the next waiver request was for not backing into a public street for the five spaces abutting Ladd Lane which has a low volume of traffic. There are situations in downtown with busier areas where cars back out of spaces. The loss of these spaces may push the applicant to not move forward with the project or be able to increase business.

Mr. Cameron motioned after reviewing the criteria for granting waivers that the request of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) for a waiver from Section 9.13.5 of the Site Plan Review and Subdivision Regulations to allow proposed parking to back onto a public street be approved. Vice-Chair Brown seconded the motion.

Discussion followed. Ms. Martel noted introducing a wide curb cut where there is no curb cut today in a pedestrian area will make pedestrians feel like they are walking through a parking lot and damage the

character of the neighborhood. It is not an appropriate place to park. Ms. English expressed concerns with winter conditions and the grading which may cause drivers to be more careless backing out.

A roll call vote was taken Grueter – nay, Martel – nay, Cowan – nay, Cameron – aye, English – nay, Brown – aye, and Plumer – aye. With 3 in favor and 4 opposed, the motion failed.

Mr. Sharples recommended with the plan needing to be redesigned that the applicant meet with the engineer and come back. Mr. Berry noted the parallel parking recommendations could be balanced with green space as a compromise. Mr. Grueter noted this may allow the aisle to be wider, from 16' to 20.' A waiver may be required for the parallel spots.

Ms. Martel noted she felt more comfortable tabling and having the plan redrawn because it affects the planting plan, sidewalk and curb extension. Chair Plumer agreed. Mr. Cameron recommended allowing Mr. Berry to present the remaining waiver requests so he would have an idea of whether the other three waivers will be addressed. Vice-Chair Brown agreed.

Mr. Berry noted the next waiver is for 24' wide commercial drive requirement which will be 30.' Two curb-cuts are being reduced to one 30.' This was reduced from 35.'

Mr. Grueter motioned after reviewing the criteria for granting waivers that the request of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) for a waiver from Section 9.14.9 of the Site Plan Review & Subdivision Regulations to permit a proposed curb-cut of 30 feet for a commercial exit be approved. Ms. English seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Brown – aye, Cameron – aye, Cowan – aye, English – aye, Martel – aye, Grueter – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

Mr. Berry noted the next waiver was for percentage of slope. The maximum grade in a parking lot is typically 7% the regulations require 5% and 6% is proposed. Most of the existing grade on the property is between 6.5% and 7%. If the request is denied the bank will have no opportunity for redevelopment at this site as there are only so many ways to make up the difference with the existing slope conditions.

Ms. English motioned after reviewing the criteria for granting waivers that the request of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) for a waiver from Section 5.6.3 of the Zoning Ordinance to a reduction in the size of parking spaces be approved. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Grueter – aye, English – aye, Cameron – aye, Brown – aye, Cowan – aye, Martel – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

Mr. Berry reviewed his notes of items to address at the next meeting which included the dumpster, green space in the upper lot half parking space, sidewalk, tree/outlet structure, modify tree style, pedestrian platform. Ms. English added landscaping plans for the parallel parking green space.

Mr. Cameron motioned to table the application of People's United Bank (PB Case #20-3) to the Planning Board's meeting scheduled for December 3, 2020 at 7:00 PM. Vice-Chair Brown seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken Grueter – aye, Martel – aye, English – aye, Cowan – aye, Cameron – aye, Brown – aye and Plumer – aye. With all in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0.

215 216	V. OTHER BUSINESS
217	VI. TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS
218 219	Mr. Sharples noted the Solar Array information is due today and the Transportation Alternatives bid for the spring sidewalk project had a few responses.
220	VII. CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS
221 222	Chair Plumer indicated the next meetings will be on December 3, 2020 and December 17, 2020.
223	VIII. PB REPRESENTATIVE'S REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE ACTIVITY"
224	IX. ADJOURN
225 226 227	Vice-Chair Brown motioned to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
228 229	The meeting adjourned at 8:49 PM.
230	Respectfully submitted,
231	Daniel Hoijer,