1 2	TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD
3	NOVAK MEETING ROOM
4	DECEMBER 9, 2021
5	APPROVED MINUTES
6	I. PRELIMINARIES:
7	
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT BY ROLL CALL: Chair Langdon Plumer, Pete Cameron, Clerk, Gwen
9	English, John Grueter, Jennifer Martel, Molly Cowan, Select Board Representative and Nancy
10	Belanger, Alternate.
11	
12	STAFF PRESENT: Town Planner Dave Sharples
13	
14	II. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Plumer called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM and activated
15	Alternate Nancy Belanger. The members introduced themselves.
16	
17	III. OLD BUSINESS
18	
19	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
20	
21	October 28, 2021
22	
23	Mr. Grueter motioned to approve the October 28, 2021 meeting minutes. Ms. Martel
24	seconded the motion. A vote was taken. Mr. Cameron abstained. The motion passed 6-0-1.
25	
26	November 18, 2021
27	
28	Chair Plumer asked those four members who were in attendance at the November 18, 2021
29	meeting to vote to approve the November 18, 2021 meeting minutes.
30 31	Ms. Belanger motioned to approve the November 18, 2021 meeting minutes. Mr. Grueter seconded
32	the motion. A vote was taken. Mr. Cameron abstained. Ms. Belanger voted aye, Ms. English voted
33	aye, Mr. Plumer voted aye and Mr. Grueter voted aye. The motion passed 4-0-1.
34	
35	IV. OTHER BUSINESS
36	Chair Plumer indicated the Board would be hearing the request for a continuance on the application of
37	Exeter Station Properties, LLC, Planning Board Case #18-11 first.
38 39	Mr. Sharples indicated he received a letter from Attorney Somers dated 11/22/21 outlining the reasons
39 40	for the request for an extension for one year: until December 16, 2022. Mr. Sharples noted the

- 41 applicant was present and this is their third request for an extension. There have been no significant
- 42 changes to the subdivision or site plan regulations since 2018.
- 43

44 Ms. Martel inquired about the contaminated soils and if the remediation would change the site plan

- 45 application causing the changes to come back to be reviewed by the Board. Mr. Sharples indicated
- 46 there is no material change to the site plan. The applicant is following DES regulations. There would
- 47 need to be some change to the plan to trigger further Planning Board review.
- 48

Ms. English asked if the contamination was a Brownfields' category. David Cowie indicated cadmium
contamination was discovered in a small pocket near the railroad tracks and they are waiting to hear
back from DES.

52

53 Mr. Cameron motioned to grant the request of Exeter Station Properties, LLC, Planning Board Case

54 **#18-11**, for a one-year extension to December 6, 2022. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A vote was

- 55 *taken, all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.*
- 56

## 57 V. NEW BUSINESS

### 58 PUBLIC HEARINGS

- 59 1. Continued public hearing on the application of ZV Investments LLC for a multi-family site plan review
- 60 for the proposed conversion of the structures located at 50 Newfields Road into four (4) residential
- 61 condominium units
- 62 RU-Rural Residential zoning district
- 63 Tax Map Parcel #35-9
- 64 Planning Board Case #21-10
- 65

66 Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Hearing Notice.

67

68 Mr. Sharples indicated the application is for a multi-family site plan review for a conversion of a single-

- 69 family home and building into four residential condominium units. Three members of the Board
- 70 attended the site walk, Chair Plumer, Vice-Chair Brown and Nancy Belanger. As there was no quorum
- there were no meeting minutes for the site walk. The applicant had submitted revised site plan and
- supporting documents dated November 15<sup>th</sup>. There is a driveway permit requirement with the NH DOT
- and potentially a Phase I environmental review. The applicant has since submitted revised site plan and
- supporting documents dated November 30<sup>th</sup>. There were no waivers requested. There is a question to
- the Board if they feel it in their purview to require a Phase I assessment. Jen Mates at the DPW had
- 76 made note of the history of the former commercial uses, one of which was a dry cleaner. Mr. Sharples
- 77 noted he has requested information concerning that from Town Counsel if the Board choses to go into
- 78 non-public session to review that advice. Mr. Sharples noted he has proposed Conditions of Approval
- 79 ready.
- 80
- 81 Barry Geier of Jones & Beach noted he attended the site walk with members of the Board and submitted
- 82 revised plans and responded to comments. The DOT driveway permit has been filed but not received.

83 The plan depicts the new septic and well which will serve all four units and shows hardscaping for 84 common areas and the fence on the south side of the lot. 85 Mr. Sharples noted the existing well is shown on the plan. He expressed concerns that an after-the-fact 86 87 CUP could be triggered by the wetland buffer for the septic and found that the septic was designed by 88 not installed but the area was notably disturbed. Mr. Geier noted he believes the well and water 89 apparatus to be exempt. Mr. Sharples will research that further and discuss it with Ms. Murphy. 90 91 Mr. Cameron clarified that a completely new septic system is intended, and Mr. Geier noted it would be 92 located on the northeast side of the lot. The system proposed in January was never installed. 93 94 Mr. Cameron asked about soil concerns in the well circle. Ms. Martel added where there was formerly a 95 dry cleaner located there which posed concerns in relation to the proposed new well. Mr. Geier noted 96 he believes the dry-cleaning establishment was a storefront and does not know if cleaning was 97 conducted on the premises or was drop off and pick up only. Mr. Geier noted the building will remain 98 and a second story will be added. 99 100 Ms. Martel asked about the common area for Units 2, 3 and 4 and Mr. Geier noted there is no 101 hardscaping being done prior to sale of the units but note #15 was added to indicated the maximum 102 hardscaping to be 400 SF and porous applications for Unit 4 which is in the buffer. 103 104 Ms. Martel asked about grading and noted the new contours are within five feet of the property line 105 which usually triggers a request for a waiver. Mr. Sharples indicated it would be. Mr. Geier noted the 106 applicant will pull that back. 107 108 Ms. Martel asked about clearing the woodland and Mr. Geier noted there is a good stand along the edge 109 and does not believe there will be enough disturbance to remove screening. 110 Ms. English asked if there were trees over 20" in the area and Mr. Geier noted he believes the ones we 111 112 thought were located and will confirm that. Chair Plumer noted that a tree that would have been 113 affected by the prior design will not be disturbed at this point. 114 115 Chair Plumer asked about the fence location and Mr. Geier indicated it is at the south end of the 116 property. 117 118 Mr. Cameron asked about the pipes for the existing well and if they will be removed. Mr. Geier 119 indicated the pipes will be left in place. Mr. Grueter asked if there were anything wrong with the 120 existing well and Mr. Geier noted the applicant is not certain it can support all four units so will drill a 121 new well. Mr. Grueter noted it could possibly locate the new well closer to the building and possible 122 contamination, if any. Mr. Geier indicated the pipes for the new well will go to the utility room and then 123 to the three units. Mr. Grueter indicated the protective well radius is 125.' 124 125 Ms. English asked about the structure to the south of the parking area and Mr. Geier indicated it is the 126 existing garage which will remain for use by Unit #1.

127 128 Ms. English asked about the dumpster pad and Mr. Geier indicated he responded to the question posed 129 by DPW. Ms. English noted it may be awkward for a truck to get to it. 130 131 Ms. Martel asked about utilities. Mr. Geier indicated there will be two gas tanks servicing all four units, 132 one behind Unit #1 and the other behind Unit #3. Ms. Martel asked about HVAC and Mr. Geier noted 133 the location could be outside the utility room or on the roof and they are still figuring that out. 134 135 Chair Plumer asked the purpose of propane #4 and Mr. Geier indicated for heat and hot water. 136 137 Ms. Martel asked if the question raised in October concerning the requirement for one of the units to 138 remain owner occupied had been resolved. Mr. Sharples noted Vice-Chair Brown had opined that he 139 would like to see a zoning amendment but that did not affect the requirement for this application. For 140 one unit to be owner occupied is required for a conversion of a single-family home in the zoning district 141 so that not all four units will be rented out simultaneously. The requirement is not in the purview of the 142 Planning Board and enforcement would be up to the code enforcement officer, complaint driven. 143 144 Ms. Belanger asked about snow removal and Mr. Geier referenced the note concerning the edge of 145 pavement. Ms. Belanger asked if the existing septic approval is valid, and Mr. Geier indicated it is valid and could be installed but they do not plan to use it. Mr. Sharples made recommendation for the 146 147 benefit of installing an advanced system given the nitrogen loading the Town is experiencing with their 148 nitrogen general permit to mitigate and reduce loading. Advanced systems tend to be more expensive 149 but someone will inevitably bear the cost. Mr. Sharples noted he would feel more comfortable if the regulations addressed this rather than on a case-by-case basis which he is uncertain if the Board could 150 151 require. Mr. Cameron agreed that on a case-by-case basis would be troubling and it should be universal. 152 Chair Plumer asked if the system was engineered, and Mr. Geier responded yes. 153 154 Chair Plumer asked the Board if they would like to consider the advice of Town Counsel in non-public 155 concerning the dry-cleaning business and Phase I assessment requirement. Mr. Geier urged the Board 156 not to require the Phase I assessment. Mr. Cameron noted if the Board felt it was not going to require it 157 then they would not need to go into non-public; if the business were only drop off and pick up and there 158 were no active use of chemicals on site but the Board does not know that. Mr. Grueter noted it seemed 159 an odd location for a retail drop off and pick up storefront. 160 161 Mr. Cameron motioned to go into non-public session at 7:58 PM and Ms. Cowan recommended hearing 162 the request of the Andersons first so they would not have to wait for what could be a lengthy non-public session. Mr. Geier noted he was okay with that. Mr. Cameron withdrew his motion. 163 164 165 Chair Plumer indicated the Board will hear the request of Ben Anderson & Sarah Anderson for 166 recommendation of granting of a sight easement, Tax Map Parcel #24-29 before returning to Planning 167 Board Case #21-10. 168 169

# 170 VI. OTHER BUSINESS

171	
172	2. Ben & Sarah Anderson – 66 Newfields Road, Tax Map Parcel #24-29
173	Consideration of Planning Board recommendation for granting of sight easement
174	
175	Mr. Sharples explained that the Andersons were working with DOT and the Select Board for a sight
176	easement to keep vegetation clear along the stone wall to acquire their driveway permit. The
177	Andersons went before the Conservation Commission, and they recommended approval to the Select
178	Board. The Andersons went before the Select Board on November 22 <sup>nd</sup> , who did not vote, noting that
179	the Planning Board must also vote to recommend or not recommend before they put it to a vote. The
180	request would fall under NH RSA 41:14-a.
181	
182	Mr. Anderson noted the Conservation Commission supported the request 7-0 and the DPW is in favor.
183	The right-of-way follows an old stone wall.
184	
185	Ms. Belanger asked if Town Counsel had reviewed the easement and Mr. Sharples noted yes.
186	
187	Mr. Cameron asked what criteria the Board would use to recommend or not recommend, and Mr.
188	Sharples noted there were no standard criteria but state law enables the Planning Board to protect the
189	health, safety and welfare of the Town.
190	
191	Mr. Cameron motioned to recommend the request of Ben and Sarah Anderson for a sight easement at
192	Tax Map 24-29 per RSA 41:14-a be approved by the Select Board. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A
193	vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
194	
195	VII. NEW BUSINESS
196	PUBLIC HEARINGS
197	
198	1. Continued public hearing on the application of ZV Investments LLC for a multi-family site plan review
199	for the proposed conversion of the structures located at 50 Newfields Road into four (4) residential
200	condominium units
201	RU-Rural Residential zoning district
202	Tax Map Parcel #35-9
203	Planning Board Case #21-10
204	
205	Mr. Cameron motioned to go into non-public session pursuant to NH RSA 91-A:3(II)(I) advice of
206	counsel. Ms. Martel seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed
207	unanimously.
208	
209	The meeting room was closed to the public at 8:10 PM.
210	
211	The meeting was reopened to the public at 8:12 PM.

212 213 Mr. Geier noted the Board is allowed to request additional studies as needed but asked what question 214 was being asked and what report the Board would need to help deny or approve the application. 215 216 Mr. Grueter motioned to seal the minutes of the non-public session. Ms. Cowan seconded the motion. 217 A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously. 218 219 Ms. Martel noted while she knows the applicant has no ill intention if the Board knew the dry cleaner 220 were just a store front there would be no concern, but they don't know that and finding out is important 221 to be sure the site is not contaminated with chemicals. Mr. Geier noted the well water would need to 222 be tested and asked under what part of the ordinance the requirement would fall. Mr. Sharples 223 indicated the requirement to provide potable water. Mr. Geier noted there has not been anything 224 environmentally unsound. Mr. Sharples referenced Jen Mates of DPW's note that any contamination be 225 removed before adding three additional property owners to the site. Mr. Grueter asked if there had 226 been any regional well testing recently and Mr. Geier answered no. Mr. Cameron noted a reasonable 227 possibility and would like to see the question answered concerning whether the dry cleaning business 228 was drop off and pick up only or performed dry cleaning on site. Dry cleaning chemicals create 229 significant problems. 230 231 Ms. English noted she would not feel comfortable walking out of this room assuming the cleaning was 232 not going on and jeopardizing the health and well being of future residents. Mr. Cameron asked if there 233 were any way to find out. Mr. Sharples indicated he was sure they could find out and asked what 234 research the applicant had done. Mr. Geier indicated they didn't know until informed by the Town. The 235 property had been a church with a daycare prior to having the dry cleaner in the 70s or 80s. Mr. Grueter 236 agreed he would feel better. Ms. English agreed someone should know. Mr. Sharples noted in the 237 event contaminants were present they could migrate at any time, even in ten years. 238 239 Mr. Cameron motioned to continue the application of ZV Investments LLC, Planning Board Case #21-10 240 to the Thursday, December 16, 2021 meeting at 7 PM. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A vote was 241 taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 7-0-0. 242 243 Master Plan Discussion 244 245 **Field Modifications** 246 247 Bond and/or Letter of Credit Reductions and Releases 248 249 Public Comment 250 251

#### 252 VIII. TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS

- 253 Mr. Sharples noted a citizen's petition was received on December 8, 2021 requesting to amend the
- definition of Bed & Breakfast. The petition will be a Warrant Article. He noted that Ms. McEvoybelieved there will be a Public Hearing and will look into that further.

#### 256 IX. CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS

#### 257 X. PB REPRESENTATIVE'S REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE ACTIVITY"

#### 258 XI. ADJOURN.

- 259 *Ms. English motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:35 PM. Ms. Martel seconded the motion. A vote*
- 260 was taken all were in favor, the motion passed **7-0-0**.
- 261
- 262 Respectfully submitted,
- 263 Daniel Hoijer,
- 264 Recording Secretary