1 2	TOWN OF EXETER PLANNING BOARD
3	NOWAK ROOM – TOWN OFFICE BUILDING
4	10 FRONT STREET
5	JUNE 8, 2023
6	APPROVED MINUTES
7	7:00 PM
8	I. PRELIMINARIES:
9	
10	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT BY ROLL CALL: Chair Langdon Plumer, Pete Cameron, Clerk, Gwen
11	English, John Grueter, Jen Martel and Nancy Belanger Select Board Representative
12	
13	STAFF PRESENT: Town Planner Dave Sharples
14	
15	II. CALL TO ORDER: Chair Plumer called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM and introduced the
16	members.
17	
18	III. OLD BUSINESS
19	
20	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
21	
22	May 11, 2023
23	
24	Mr. Grueter recommended an edit, that the meeting was adjourned by a 7-0-0 vote.
25	
26	Mr. Grueter motioned to approve the May 11, 2023 minutes, as amended. Ms. Belanger seconded the
27	motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0.
28	Mar. 25, 2022
29 30	May 25, 2023
30 31	The April 13, 2023 minutes and May 11, 2023 minutes had been approved at this meeting and those
32	edits were made. The motion that the minutes were tabled was corrected to read approved as
33	amended.
34	
35	Ms. Belanger motioned to approve the May 25, 2023 meeting minutes, as amended. Mr. Grueter
36	seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0.
37	
38	IV. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u> :
39	1. The application of Elizabeth A. Hewson Revocable Trust for a minor subdivision of an existing
40	2.30-acre parcel into three (3) residential lots.
41	45 Pine Street, R-2 Single Family Residential zoning district

42	Tax Map Parcel #83-59
43	Planning Board Case #23-5
44	
45	Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Hearing Notice.
46	
47	Mr. Sharples indicated the applicant is seeking a minor subdivision of an existing 2.30-acre
48	parcel located at 45 Pine Street into three (3) residential lots, one with a 1.2-acre parcel with
49	the existing residence with frontage on Pine Street and two (2) new residential lots, each
50	24,004 square feet in area with frontage on Nelson Drive. The applicant submitted a minor
51	subdivision application, plan and supporting documents dated May 8, 2023. There was no
52	Technical Review Committee meeting, however the plans were reviewed by staff or compliance
53	with zoning and subdivision regulations and Doug Eastman the Code Enforcement Officer
54	determined the proposal meets minimum dimensional requirements. There are no waivers
55	being requested.
56	
57	Mr. Sharples noted that there could be Conditional Use Permit required for one or both of the
58	lots for the project and rather than have the applicants come back at the end of August he
59	recommended the following condition be proposed in addition to the two standard conditions:
60	that the lot shall be deemed unbuildable until either a CUP is secured, or it is determined by the
61	town that one is not necessary.
62	
63	Ms. English motioned to open Planning Board Case #23-5. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion.
64 65	A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0.
	Henry Boyd of Millenium Engineering presented the plans on behalf of the applicant. He noted
66	Henry Boyd of Millenium Engineering presented the plans on behalf of the applicant. He noted
66 67	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall
66 67 68	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and
66 67 68 69	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a
66 67 68 69 70	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He
66 67 68 69 70 71	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town
66 67 68 69 70	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He
66 67 68 69 70 71 72	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer.
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer.
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer. Ms. English asked about driveways off Nelson Drive and Mr. Boyd indicated yes.
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76	the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer. Ms. English asked about driveways off Nelson Drive and Mr. Boyd indicated yes.
66 67 68 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78	 the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer. Ms. English asked about driveways off Nelson Drive and Mr. Boyd indicated yes. Chair Plumer opened the hearing for public comment at 7:21 PM.
66 67 68 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79	 the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer. Ms. English asked about driveways off Nelson Drive and Mr. Boyd indicated yes. Chair Plumer opened the hearing for public comment at 7:21 PM. Mr. Sharples provided the Board with letters dated June 1, 2023 and June 2, 2023 from the owners of 96 Court Street. She expressed concerns with decreased property values and preservation of the trees along the property line on the Court Street side especially the
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 74 75 76 77	 the existing dwelling will reside on Lot 1, which will be 52,151 s.f. in size. Lots 2 & 3 shall contain 24,004 s.f. each. He showed the location of the proposed parcels on the plan and noted that at one time they were three parcels voluntarily merged by the prior owner and a building was removed. He noted that two different existing wetland flags were found. He indicated the 100' setback area and the 150' CUP area. He noted the parcels will be on town water/sewer. Ms. English asked about driveways off Nelson Drive and Mr. Boyd indicated yes. Chair Plumer opened the hearing for public comment at 7:21 PM. Mr. Sharples provided the Board with letters dated June 1, 2023 and June 2, 2023 from the owners of 96 Court Street. She expressed concerns with decreased property values and

82 83	Court Street being preserved. She expressed concerns with drainage since the bridge work was done on the Little River which they addressed with the town engineer and manager to no avail.
84	Two photos of the street view were provided.
85	Two photos of the street view were provided.
86	Mr. Grueter noted that neither of the two owners were direct abutters, across the street but
87	not directly across.
88	
89	Chair Plumer closed public comments on the hearing at 7:22 PM.
90	
91	Ms. Martel questioned the drip lines from the roof and pitch of the driveway on either side.
92	
93	Mr. Sharples indicated he was not involved with the conversations with the town engineer.
94	
95	Mr. Grueter questioned the catch basins and their function.
96	
97	Mr. Sharples read out loud the proposed conditions of approval.
98	
99	1. A dwg file of the plan shall be provided to the Town Planner showing all property lines and
100	monumentation prior to the signing of the final plans. This plan shall be in NAD 1983 State
101	Plane New Hampshire FIPS 2800 feet coordinates;
102	2. All monumentation shall be set in accordance with Section 9.25 of the Site Plan Review and
103	Subdivision Regulations prior to the signing of the final plan; and
104	3. that the new lots of the plan shall be deemed unbuildable until either a CUP is secured, or it
105	is determined by the town that one is not necessary.
106	
107	Ms. Belanger moved that the request of Elizabeth A. Hewson Revocable Trust (Planning Board
108	Case #23-5) for minor subdivision approval be approved with the conditions read by the Town
109	Planner. Mr. Grueter seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion
110	passed 6-0-0.
111	
112	2. The application of Blind Tiger, LLC for a site plan review for the proposed reconstruction of
113	the club house and additional parking at the Exeter Country Club
114	58 Jady Hill Avenue, R-2, Single-Family Residential zoning district
115	Tax Map Parcel #52-1
116	Planning Board Case #23-2
117	Chair Dlumar road out loud the Dublic Llearing Nation
118	Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Hearing Notice.
119 120	Mr. Sharples indicated the case was ready for review purposes
120 121	with sharples indicated the case was ready for review purposes

122 *Ms.* English motioned to open Planning Board Case #23-2. Mr. Cameron seconded the 123 motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0.

124

125 Mr. Sharples indicated that the applicant is seeking site plan approval for the proposed 126 reconstruction of the existing club house, additional parking and associated site improvements 127 at the Exeter Country Club located at 58 Jady Hill Avenue. The applicant submitted a site plan review application, plans and supporting documents dated January 24, 2023 for review. A TRC 128 129 meeting was conducted on February 16, 2023 and it was determined that a second TRC 130 meeting was necessary. A copy of the TRC comment letter dated February 24, 2023 and UEI 131 comment letter dated February 17, 2023 were provided to the Board. Revised plans and 132 supporting documents were received on April 28, 2023. The second TRC meeting was held on 133 May 11, 2023. A second UEI comment letter dated May 12, 2023 was provided to the Board 134 with no further TRC comments or comments from Town Departments. The applicant provided revised plans and supporting documents dated May 24, 2023 addressing the concerns raised at 135 the second TRC meeting and staff is reviewing that submission. The applicant is requesting 136 several waivers in accordance with the waiver requested letter from Emanuel Engineering 137 138 dated April 25, 2023. 139 Bruce Scamman of Emanuel Engineering presented the plan on behalf of the applicant. Mr. 140 141 Scamman displayed the plan for the project and described the renovation of the 68'x94' club house that would include a restaurant and additional parking. He detailed the proposed 142

143 elevator, outdoor deck overlooking the 1st and 9th fairways and existing club shop on the first

- 144 floor and detailed the proposed drainage structures and noted that the Town did not want the
- 145 applicant to tie into their catch basin.
- 146

Mr. Sharples asked him to explain the change in access from the parking lot. Mr. Scammon
showed the proposed entrance and parking islands. There would be a sidewalk to Jady Hill in
the Town Right of Way, one of two offsite improvements. He showed the locations of various
stormwater management features including a dry well and swale, piping system to the 9th
fairway and man-made berm.

152

153 Ms. Martel asked about tree cutting and he showed the 11 large trees that would be cut. She 154 asked about adding trees and changing the cherry tree proposed to a canopy shade tree.

155

156 Mr. Cameron asked the hours, which were not yet determined yet and about the lighting plan.

- 157 There would be lights on the building itself and the parking lot and all would be LED down
- 158 facing, dark sky compliant. A lighting intensity plan analysis was provided. They would be going
- 159 from two to 11 lights to bring them up to current safety standards while as Mr. Sharples noted
- 160 there is no town requirement to add more lights. Mr. Sharples did note, however, that the

town required all lights to be off or reduced in intensity after 10 PM. Mr. Scammon stated they 161 would add a note to that effect to the plan. 162 163 164 Ms. English asked about the calculation for the number of parking spaces. Mr. Scammon 165 indicated there would be 120 parking spots. At times the golf course would be closed when the restaurant was open. The applicant worked with TRC on that. 166 167 168 Ms. English asked if the space would be used as an event venue for weddings and other large 169 events. The applicant indicated there would be meetings, smaller gatherings, no weddings or 170 large events. 171 172 Ms. Belanger asked the number of existing spaces. Mr. Sharples indicated 65 parking spaces 173 currently. They would be adding 55. 174 Mr. Cameron asked about calculations of impervious surface which Mr. Scammon provided, 175 going from approximately 30,000 SF to 59,000 SF. 176 177 178 Mr. Cameron asked about landscaping and Mr. Scammon pointed out plans for bushes and a 179 hedge row. Ms. Martel asked about planting near the retaining wall and where paving was 180 being removed at the lowest portion. Ms. English asked about the area next to the building and if the drip edge would be vegetated. 181 182 Ms. English expressed concerns about pedestrian safety and trucks Mr. Scammon noted 183 crosswalks would be impractical due to slopes. He explained how the golf carts would be 184 brought up by attendants from the storage area. He explained the requirement for fire trucks 185 to enter and exit and the grade change driven by town drainage moving the area onto the 186 187 applicant's property. 188 189 Ms. Martel questioned the loading dock area and also asked if the sidewalks could be wider and 190 push the plant bed so cars won't park into the sidewalk reducing its width. He noted the area 191 will not see much pedestrian traffic. Ms. Martel noted that is where the handicapped parking 192 will be and they will need to get around. 193 194 Chair Plumer opened the hearing to comments and questions from the public at 8:40 PM. 195 196 Greg McCarthy indicated he lived at 4 Webster Avenue and has concerns with drainage which 197 have been reported since 1975. There is a separate project for the Jady Hill sewer system 198 which will tear up the road that was just paved. He would like to keep runoff off Webster 199 Avenue and hopes a solution will be found. He noted he is not convinced the dry well will solve the problem. 200

201 Maria George Carrasquillo of 77 Jady Hill Avenue expressed concerns with runoff and children 202 playing out front, speeding and beverage consumption. She noted patrons change into their 203 204 golf clothes out on the street on the public road. 205 Jacques Wagemaker of 14 Webster Avenue expressed concerns with drainage and hopes it will 206 207 be addressed. 208 209 Alex Pearson of 1 Webster Avenue expressed concerns with existing drainage and doubling the 210 parking lot and adding to the problem. He stated he was not sure the island would work. He 211 also noted the 11 new lights would be 20' up and was unsure how they could be downcast and 212 dark sky compliant. 213 214 Mr. McCarthy stated he was also concerned with failure of the system with more frequent, 215 larger storm systems being experienced. 216 Mr. Sharples read out loud a letter received from Doreen Chester and Sherm Chester of 3 217 218 Webster Avenue expressing traffic concerns and requesting a stop sign, as well as concerns with 219 runoff and sewer capacity in the past. Mr. Scammon indicated that the more narrow entrance may help with traffic concerns. 220 221 222 Mr. Sharples questioned whether it was known where the water was coming from and whether 223 the town engineer or UEI could attend the site walk or next planning board meeting to weigh in 224 on that. There may be separate issues. 225 226 Mr. Grueter asked about scheduling a site visit. Mr. Grueter proposed June 21st at 8 AM. Ms. 227 English asked that the parking area, storage area and dry well be marked. 228 229 Ms. Cameron motioned to table Planning Board Case #23-2 to 7:00 PM on June 22, 2023. Ms. 230 Belanger seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0. 231 232 3. The application of Meniscus Financial Holdings, LLC for a preliminary conceptual review for 233 the proposed construction of a vehicle storage/display area and associated site improvements 234 on the property located at 127 Portsmouth Avenue 235 C-2, Central Area Commercial zoning district 236 Tax Map Parcel #52-112-2 237 Planning Board Case #23-7 238 239 Chair Plumer read out loud the Public Hearing Notice. 240

- Mr. Sharples indicated the applicant is requesting a preliminary conceptual consultation (non-241 binding with no abutter notice) with the Board to discuss the proposed phased development of 242 the property located at 127 Portsmouth Avenue. Letter of explanation, application, conceptual 243 244 site plan and supporting documents dated May 18, 2023 were provided to the Board. 245 246 Christian Smith of Beals Associates presented the conceptual plan on behalf of the applicant. He noted the applicant needed additional inventory storage and display area. The 300' 247 248 shoreland setback and 150' buffer would be impacted. There were two wetland areas to be 249 filled. They would meet with the Conservation Commission at their July 11th meeting. There
- would be two acres of shoreland impact and 21,000' of impact to the buffer. The swale was
- 251 determined to be man made according to wetland scientist Jim Gove.
- 252
- 253 Ms. Martel recommended the landscape buffer be improved with plantings that would not
- block the visibility of the vehicles. She noted Hannaford had done something with theirfrontage.
- 256
- Lighting and tree cutting were discussed briefly. There could be a site walk scheduled with Conservation in attendance.
- 259

260 VI. OTHER BUSINESS

- Master Plan Discussion
- 262 Field Modifications
- e Bond and/or Letter of Credit Reductions and Release
- 264 Mr. Sharples reported that Ray Farm was all done.
- 265 VII. TOWN PLANNER'S ITEMS

266 Mr. Sharples reviewed the June 1st memo discussed with Kristen Murphy concerning the conflicting 267 criteria in the zoning ordinance for the CUP. The site plan and subdivision regulations were to be 268 revised then COVID hit. Currently stricter regulations would apply. He noted there would be a public 269 hearing scheduled in the near future to correct the ordinance.

270 VIII. CHAIRPERSON'S ITEMS

271 IX. PB REPRESENTATIVE'S REPORT ON "OTHER COMMITTEE ACTIVITY"

272

273 X. ADJOURN

- 274 Mr. Cameron motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:53 PM. Ms. Belanger seconded the motion. A
- 275 vote was taken all were in favor, the motion passed 6-0-0.
- 276 Respectfully submitted,
- 277 Daniel Hoijer,
- 278 Recording Secretary
- 279 Via Exeter TV