

D/DBP QUARTERLY REPORT

For surface water systems using chlorine or chloramine disinfection Form to be submitted to DWGB by $10^{\rm th}$ day following each calendar quarter

Quarter (circle) (1) 2 3 4 YEAR 20 19

System <u>Exeter Water Department</u>

PWSID: 0801010

| Total Trihalomethane Monitoring TTHM - Refer top DBP Sample Plan/Water Quality Schedule for Sample Locations | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|------|
| $Location {\to}$ | <u>321</u> | | <u>322</u> | | <u>323</u> | | <u>324</u> | |
| | Sample Date | ppb | Sample Date | ppb | Sample Date | ppb | Sample Date | ppb |
| 1st Qtr | Jan 8, '19 | 40.5 | Jan 8, '19 | 43.7 | Jan 8, '19 | 51.9 | Jan 8, '19 | 38.5 |
| 2nd Qtr | Apr 4, '18 | 53.9 | Apr 4, '18 | 36.1 | Apr 4, '18 | 54.1 | Apr 4, '18 | 43.0 |
| 3rd Qtr | Jul 9, '18 | 143.9 | Jul 9, '18 | 171.5 | Jul 9, '18 | 88.4 | Jul 9, '18 | 84.1 |
| 4 th Qtr | Oct 3, '18 | 135.0 | Oct 3, '18 | 162.9 | Oct 3, '18 | 115.8 | Oct 3, '18 | 85.7 |
| | Loc. Run Avg: | 93.3 | Loc. Run Avg: | 103.6 | Loc. Run Avg: | 77.6 | Loc. Run Avg: | 62.8 |

Was MCL (0.080 mg/L or 80 ppb) for TTHM exceeded?

YES

NO

| Haloacetic Acids Monitoring HAA5 - Refer top DBP Sample Plan/Water Quality Schedule for Sample Locations | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
| Location→ | <u>321</u> | | <u>322</u> | | <u>323</u> | | <u>324</u> | |
| | Sample Date | ppb |
| 1st Qtr | Jan 8, '19 | 34.6 | Jan 8, '19 | 31.6 | Jan 8, '19 | 46.4 | Jan 8, '19 | 38.4 |
| 2nd Qtr | Apr 4, '18 | 40.5 | Apr 4, '18 | 20.4 | Apr 4, '18 | 33.7 | Apr 4, '18 | 32.5 |
| 3rd Qtr | Jul 9, '18 | 47.4 | Jul 9, '18 | 98.0 | Jul 9, '18 | 24.5 | Jul 9, '18 | 51.4 |
| 4 th Qtr | Oct 3, '18 | 37.4 | Oct 3, '18 | 58.0 | Oct 3, '18 | 30.1 | Oct 3, '18 | 30.5 |
| | Loc. Run Avg: | 40.0 | Loc. Run Avg: | 52.0 | Loc. Run Avg: | 33.7 | Loc. Run Avg: | 38.2 |

Was MCL (0.060 mg/L or 60 ppb) for HAA5 exceeded?

YES

NO

B. CHLORINE OR CHLORAMINE RESIDUAL

Number of samples taken each of the last 3 months: (Must be equal to number of TCR routine samples)

10

<u>10</u>

10

Monthly average chlorine residual last 12 months:

0.893

mg/L

| | Month | Monthly avg. | | Month | Monthly avg. |
|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | | residual (mg/L) | | | residual (mg/L) |
| Month 1 | APR 2018 | 0.869 | Month 7 | OCT 2018 | 0.730 |
| Month 2 | MAY 2018 | 0.902 | Month 8 | NOV 2018 | 0.904 |
| Month 3 | JUN 2018 | 1.048 | Month 9 | DEC 2018 | 0.939 |
| Month 4 | JUL 2018 | 0.763 | Month 10 | JAN 2019 | 0.904 |
| Month 5 | AUG 2018 | 1.016 | Month 11 | FEB 2019 | 0.956 |
| Month 6 | SEP 2018 | 0.768 | Month 12 | MAR 2019 | 0.920 |
| | | | | Ave. of last 12 months | 0.893 |

Was the MDRL (4.0 mg/L) violated? (circle one)

YES

NO

SWTPLRAADDBP Page 1 of 2

C. DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT PRECURSORS (systems with conventional treatment only)

| one)? Supply | the alternate of information in alternate complete. | n the blanks for | r the selected c | riterion and co | mplete columr | ns (1) through (5 | , |
|--|---|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| ☐ The syste | m's source wate | er TOC RAA l | evel is less that | n 2.0 mg/L. So | urce water RA | A TOC: | |
| _ ` | | | | C | | AA TOC: | |
| _ | | | | • | | alkalinity RAA | |
| • | | | | • | | / 30 ppb, respe | • |
| | ater RAA TOC: | • | | - | | | ouvery. |
| | RAA | | | | | • | |
| ☐ The TTH | IM and HAA5 | RAAs are no g | greater than 40 | / 30 ppb, respe | ctively, and th | e system uses o | nly chlorine |
| for prima | ry disinfection a | and maintenan | ce of a residua | I in the distribut | tion system. | | |
| TTHM R | RAA | ppb | HAA5 RAA | ppb | | | |
| ☐ The system | m's source wate | er SUVA RAA | prior to any tr | eatment is less | than or equal | to 2.0 L/mg-m. | Source |
| water SU | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The syste | m's finished wa | ater SUVA RA | A is less than | or equal to 2.0 | L/mg-m. Fini | shed water SUV | VA |
| RAA: | | | | | | | |
| T. 13 | | | • | | 2 (1 1) | V | ™ T |
| is the system i | n compliance wi | tn tne selected | aiternate comp | nance criterion | (circie one) | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2. Number | of paired sam | ples this qua | rter <u>3</u> | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Raw | Raw TOC | Filtered | % TOC | % Req. TOC | Ratio ^c |
| | Date | Alk. mg/L | mg/L | TOC mg/L | Removal ^a | Removal ^b | (5)/(6) |
| Manath 4 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Month 1 | Jan 8, '19 | 25.5 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 58.696 | 45 | 1.304 |
| Month 2 Month 3 | Feb 6, '19 Mar 6, '19 | 26.0 26.5 | 3.4 3.1 | 1.5 1.5 | 55.882 51.613 | 35 35 | 1.597 1.475 |
| MOHITS | Iviai 0, 19 | 20.5 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 31.013 |] 35] | 1.475 |
| Notes: | a. Monthly TOC | removal = 1 - (filte | red TOC / Raw TOC | C))X 100 | | | |
| b. From Step 1 TOC Removal Table or from step 2 determination | | | | | | | |
| c. If this number is less than 1.00, the system is not in compliance with the TOC removal requirement | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. (Complete only if alternate criterion in 1 is <u>not</u> selected as means of compliance.) Has the System been in | | | | | | | |
| compliance with the % removal requirement over the last 4 quarters? (circle one) YES NO | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Prepared by (primary operator): Paul A Roy. Date: | | | | | | | |
| Taginting, promote and the same | | | | | | | |

SWTPLRAADDBP Page 2 of 2