1	TOWN OF EXETER
2	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
3	February 19, 2019
4	7:00 PM
5	Draft Minutes
6	I. Preliminaries
7 8	Members Present: Chair Laura Davies, Robert Prior, Kevin Baum, Rick Thielbar, Christopher Merrill – Alternate, Esther Olson- Murphy – Alternate
9 10	Members Absent: Vice-Chair Joanne Petito, Martha Pennell – Alternate, Hank Ouimet - Alternate
11	Others Present: Doug Eastman
12	Call to Order
13	Chair Davies called the meeting to order at 7:03 pm.
14	Introductions by Roll Call
15 16 17	Chair Davies identified the members who were present by Roll Call: Esther Olson-Murphy, Rick Thielbar, Laura Davies, Robert Prior, Kevin Baum, and Christopher Merrill. The active voting alternate for this hearing is identified as Esther Olson-Murphy.
18	II. New Business
19	Hearings
20 21 22 23 24	The application of Linda K. and Thorp W. Thomas for a special exception per Article 4, Section 4.2, Schedule I – Permitted Uses (Note #1) and Article 5.2 to permit the conversion of an existing "in-law" unit to a residential dwelling unit. The property is located at 61 Park Street, in the R-2, Single-Family Residential zoning district. Tax Map Parcel #63-151. Case #19-02.
25	Chair Davies read out loud the public notice.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Mrs. Thomas stated that she purchased the property 38 years ago and had used an apartment downstairs and existing barn apartment for her and her families use for 17 years for her daughters and her father was living in the downstairs apartment passed away last November. Now she would like to change the use to rent the apartment to a non-family member. There would be no changes to the property or apartment just from occupancy by a family member to a rental. There is ample parking to the rear for six spaces which are unmarked. There is an additional existing barn on the property for storage. The downstairs apartment is one bedroom connected to water and sewer. One unit would be owner occupied with no expansion or renovations. The upstairs apartment is two bedrooms. There would be no harm to health, safety, welfare. There is existing landscaping. There would be no affect to values because there is no physical change to the property.
37 38	Chair Davies opened the hearing to the public for questions and comments at 7:14 pm and being none closed the hearing to the public at 7:14 pm.

- 39 Mr. Thielbar asked what was being converted. Mrs. Thomas responded to rent to someone
- 40 outside of family.
- 41 Mr. Prior asked about parking. Mrs. Thomas responded there is sufficient off-street parking as
- 42 stated.
- Chair Davies went through the criteria and the Board discussed the previously existing use as
- existing apartments divided up from the single-family for use of family members. Mr. Prior
- clarified this is a portion of the primary dwelling. Mr. Prior ran through the criteria including
- parking and owner occupancy which were satisfied. There is no expansion or renovation. Mr.
- 47 Baum reviewed the criteria,"it is for residence in residential zone, off-street parking, similar but
- with one more person so there should be no change to values."
- 49 Mr. Thielbar moved that the request of the applicant for a special exception per Article 4,
- 50 Section 4.2, Schedule I Permitted Uses (Note #1) and Article 5.2 to permit the
- conversion of an existing "in-law" unit to a residential dwelling unit, be approved. Mr.
- 52 Prior seconded the motion, with all in favor, the motion passed unanimously.
- 53 The application of Seacoast Family Promise for a special exception per Article 4,
- 54 Schedule I Permitted Uses (Note #1) and Article 5, Section 5.2 to permit the conversion
- of an existing single-family residence into two residential condominiums. The subject
- property is located at 25 Hampton Road, in the NP-Neighborhood Professional zoning
- 57 district. Tax Map Parcel #86-8. Case #19-03.
- 58 Chair Davies read out loud the public notice.
- 59 Ken George who is the Treasurer of the Building Property Committee appeared on behalf of the
- owners, Rynhart and Nina Schuman who gave their permission.
- Mr. George presented this is for a conversion of an unoccupied four-bedroom, 1.5 bath single-
- 62 family to two residential condominium units in zoning which allows conversions.
- 63 Mr. George stated there will be no impact to water and sewer. There is over 29,000 s.f. of lot
- size. Mr. George addressed that 4d screenings and setbacks are adequate as existing and 4e
- parking is satisfied as there is parking on both sides to fit 6-8 cars. Chair Davies asked about
- the site plan showing the entrance and parking. The entry is on the west side. There is an
- 67 additional drive on the east side off Hampton Road. One abutter is Seacoast Family Promise.
- two are commercial businesses and a multi-family condominium behind. There is an access
- 69 road or right-of-way and the applicant is uncertain as to the status as owned or an easement
- and is still considered Hampton Road. Mr. Thielbar asked why it would be an access going to
- another condominium. Mr. Baum stated it didn't matter except that they were probably noticed
- 72 unnecessarily.
- 73 Chair Davies asked how the property would be rented, owned or sold as a condominium and
- whether it would be part of the program. The applicant responded it could be sold in the future
- 75 but would be rented by the owner and not be utilized by those in the program although it could
- be used by those who have gone through the program. Chair Davies asked for clarification on
- 77 how the rental period would distinguish this from transient housing such as a hotel or bed and
- 78 breakfast as it is not staffed. Mr. Prior expressed concerns that conversion to condominiums
- 79 was a way to skirt the requirement of owner occupancy in one of the units. This was a loophole
- 80 that needed to be addressed. Mr. Prior asked how this would be enforced. Chair Davies

- 81 explained that it would be up to code enforcement in the event a complaint was received that
- people were moving in and out regularly. Mr. Prior asked the applicant if he would be
- acceptable to the condition requiring a minimum length of stay. The applicant responded if it
- were reasonable, yes and asked if the Board would restrict other people if they were not a non-
- 85 profit.
- 86 Chair Davies opened the hearing to the public at 7:44 pm for comments and questions.
- 87 An abutter, Mike ____ of 27 Hampton Road raised concerns about whether the spirit of the
- intention of this new application were in accordance with the original assurances given by
- 89 Seacoast Family Promise.
- 90 Chair Davies closed the hearing to the public at 7:47 pm for deliberations.
- 91 Mr. Baum expressed concerns with the rent units. It is an intent of conversion ordinance that
- 92 the owners live there. If a condominium, don't need owner occupancy. Mr. Thielbar questioned
- 93 what the owner would need to do to become a condominium. Chair Davies responded the
- 94 owner would have to file condominium documents.
- 95 Mr. Thielbar stated the applicant has made it about ownership by using it to get around the
- 96 criteria that it be owner occupied.
- 97 Mr. Baum stated it is permitted by special exception if the criteria is met. Conversion is under
- 98 the special exception criteria.
- 99 Mr. Thielbar stated there is no point to the clause if the owner can turn it into a condominium.
- 100 Chair Davies stated they haven't closed the loophole.
- Mr. Baum stated have to get a reason. There is nothing in the ordinance.
- Mr. Thielbar added there is no definition of transient and recommended a six month length of
- stay as a condition.
- Mr. Baum stated make sure the length of occupancy is not out of the landlord's control.
- Mr. Prior read out loud the criteria, adding (i) and (j) do not apply. Chair Davies stated the area
- 106 already has multi-unit properties.
- 107 Mr. Baum moved to approve the application of Seacoast Family Promise for a special
- 108 exception per Article 4. Schedule I Permitted Uses (Note #1) and Article 5. Section 5.2
- to permit the conversion of an existing single-family residence into two residential
- condominiums with the condition that the units not be leased for less than six months.
- 111 Mr. Thielbar seconded the motion. Voting were 2 in favor and 2 opposed, motion failed.
- Mr. Prior advised that oftentimes renters go to month to month after leasing for one year. One
- month is not sufficient and six months is more.
- 114 Mr. Prior moved to amend the motion to change the terms of the conditions. Mr. Thielbar
- seconded the motion, with all in favor, so moved.
- 116 Mr. Prior moved to amend the approval of the application of Seacoast Family Promise for
- 117 a special exception per Article 4, Schedule I Permitted Uses (Note #1) and Article 5,
- Section 5.2 to permit the conversion of an existing single-family residence into two

- residential condominiums with the condition that the units not be leased for less than three (3) months. Mr. Thielbar seconded the motion. Voting in favor were Chair Davies,
- 121 Mr. Thielbar, Mr. Prior and Ms. Olson-Murphy with Mr. Baum opposed, so moved.
- 122 III. OTHER BUSINESS:
- Approval of Minutes: January 15, 2019 Tabled
- 124 IV. Adjourn
- 125 Mr. Prior motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:31 pm. Mr. Baum seconded the motion,
- with all in favor, the vote was 5-0, motion carried.
- 127 Respectfully submitted,

128

129

- 130 Daniel Hoijer
- 131 Recording Secretary