1			Town of Exeter	
2		Zoning Board of Adjustment		
3		February 18, 2020 7 PM		
4		Town Hall		
5			Final Minutes	
6				
7	Ι.	<u>Prelim</u>	inaries	
8			ers Present: Chair Joanne Petito, Vice-Chair Robert Prior, Clerk Rick Thielbar,	
9		Laura [Davies, Christopher Merrill - Alternate, Esther Olson-Murphy - Alternate	
10				
11		Membe	ers Absent: Kevin Baum, Martha Pennell - Alternate, Hank Ouimet - Alternate	
12		Others	Present Dave Fastman and Darkars Matures	
13		Others Present: Doug Eastman and Barbara McEvoy		
14 15			Order: Chair Detite called the meeting to order at 7 DM	
15 16		Call to	Order : Chair Petito called the meeting to order at 7 PM.	
17	П.	Now R	usiness	
18		-	The application of Carol Miller for an Appeal from an Administrative Decision	
19		А.	made by the Historic District Commission at their November 21st, 2019 meeting	
20			regarding a request for replacement windows. The subject property is located at	
21			30 High Street, in the R-2, Single Family Residential zoning district. Tax Map	
22			Parcel #71-6. Case #19-20.	
23		The ap	plicant requested a continuance to the March 17, 2020 meeting.	
24		ine ap		
25		В.	The application of Benjamin and Sarah Anderson for a modification to a	
26			previously granted variance from Article 4, Section 4.2 which permitted the use of	
27			the existing accessory barn on their property for community gatherings. The	
28			Applicant is seeking relief to permit the operation of a nano-brewery and tasting	
29			room, with limited hours, in the basement/ground floor of the barn structure. The	
30			subject property is located at 66 Newfields Road, in the RU-Rural zoning district.	
31			Tax Map Parcel #24-19. Case #20-2.	
32		The applicants requested a continuance to the March 17, 2020 meeting.		
33				
34		C.	The application of Exeter Hospital, Inc. for a variance from Article 6, Section	
35			6.16.2 Perimeter setback to permit a perimeter buffer setback of 25' from a	
36			residential zone where 50' is required. The subject property is located on	
37			Magnolia Lane, in the H-Healthcare zoning district. Tax Map Parcel #65-147.	
38			Case #20-3.	
39		Alternate Chris Merrill will be voting on this issue.		
40		Sharon Somers of Donahue, Tucker and Ciandella spoke representing Exeter Hospital.		
41		This variance request is driven by a Purchase and Sales agreement that the Hospital entered		
42	into with the town in September. They need a variance to confirm that the property can be used			
43	for their intended purpose, a Facilities building. Without the variance, they will not move forward			
44	with the sale.			

There is only one R2 lot which this parcel abuts, the property owned by Seacoast Mental Health. They are requesting a 25 foot setback here, rather than the standard 50 foot setback. If they can acquire this variance, they will purchase the property and merge it with the existing Hospital property. They have no objection to such a merger being a condition of the variance approval.

50 Phil Chaput, the Senior Director of Facilities Planning for Exeter Hospital, said they can't 51 support the Hospital's physical growth with the existing Facilities Building as-is; they would like 52 to construct a larger Facilities Building, incorporating this property. This property was sold by the 53 Hospital to the town in the 1940s for \$1, with the condition that only a water tower could go on 54 that lot. Now they'd like to purchase it back.

55 Ms. Somers discussed the variance criteria. 1) The variance is not contrary to the public 56 interest and 2) the spirit of the ordinance is observed: yes, a 25 foot vs a 50 foot setback does 57 not violate the spirit of the ordinance. There will be no parking lot in the buffer area. The height of the proposed building will be conforming, and landscaping and screening will be included as 58 59 the Planning Board recommends. Activities inside this building won't have the impacts as other 60 Hospital functions, such as ambulances arriving at the ER. The lot is adjacent to an already 61 existing configuration of H and R2 zones. The siting of this building with a 25 foot setback is not 62 going to threaten the public health, welfare, or safety. 3) Substantial justice is met: yes, because 63 this is a balance of interests. There is benefit to the hospital, since if the variance is not granted, 64 the Hospital will likely not proceed with the sale. No harm will come to individuals from the 65 variance, and there will be a benefit to the public from the sale proceeding. 4) Values of surrounding properties will not be diminished: yes, the only property directly impacted is 66 67 Seacoast Mental Health, which has no objection to this proposal. The hospital will conform with 68 height restrictions and provide landscaping and screening. 5) Hardship: yes, this is a very small 69 parcel surrounded by other property owned by the hospital on three sides. The lot is a knoll 70 surrounded by slopes, and there is a gas line along the property line which cannot be moved 71 without significant expense and disruption of hospital services. The proposed building is 60x120 72 feet, which is as small and narrow as possible while being consistent with industry standards for 73 a hospital facilities building. Without a variance, it could only be 38 feet wide, which would not 74 meet the Hospital's needs. The proposed use is a reasonable use, and if the variance is granted 75 it will go before the Planning Board for further review.

76 Ms. Davies asked if they have a rendering of the proposed building. Ms. Somers said no, 77 they haven't yet reached the design phase, only determined the proposed footprint. Mr. Chaput 78 said that they're looking at a two story building, about 7,200 square feet per floor, within the 79 height limitation of 35 feet. There would likely be garage doors on the side facing the hospital. 80 The upper level would have windows, but the lower level where the equipment is stored 81 probably wouldn't. They would store generators and fuel, and have Facilities offices in this 82 building. The generators are currently stored outside, so there will be no more noise or vibration 83 than currently, and possibly less. Right now, they only have emergency power from the 84 generators, but this would allow them to operate as normal in an outage.

85 Mr. Prior asked if they could build over the gas line, and Mr. Chaput said it's not best 86 practice. Mr. Prior observed there was an existing road and asked if there would be traffic, but 87 Mr. Chaput said that, it's closed with a gate now, and will remain closed. Mr. Prior asked why 88 they are treating this as an individual lot, rather than viewing it as part of the Hospital campus, and doing a setback that incorporates the whole property. Ms. Somers said it's an issue of
timing; they don't want to buy it and merge it until they know they have the ability to put the
building on it that they want. Ms. Davies said they would still need to abide by the 50 foot buffer

92 even if the parcel were merged, and would probably still need a variance.

Mr. Thielbar said that in three years, the variance will expire. Ms. Somers said theHospital should be able to move forward with the project in that timeline.

Jonathan Ring from Jones and Beech Engineers spoke about the location of the gas
line. It creates an issue on the corner of the property which pushes them into the required 50
foot setback. Ms. Petito asked how much space would be between the proposed building and
the gas line; Mr. Ring said about 10 feet, although it's underground so they don't know its exact
location.

Mr. Merrill asked what will run the generators. Mr. Chaput said there will be fuel in the garage, with the tanks in the back right corner away from the gas line. They're looking at two tanks, given the generators' consumption. Mr. Merrill asked why they can't use the gas from the gas line, and Mr. Chaput said the generators can't rely on a utility that could be interrupted. According to code, they're required to have 96 hours of fuel on site for emergency needs. It will

105 be diesel fuel.

106 Ms. Petito asked if the proposed building is enough for the Hospital's needs. Ms. Somers 107 said yes, that's the determination they've made.

Mr. Prior said storing this fuel near the residential zone may impact the abutting property if Seacoast Mental Health were to sell. Could they store it elsewhere? Mr. Chaput said the topography of the land drops off radically, which makes the siting of the fuel a challenge. If it's inside, it can be monitored. Ms. Davies asked if would be contained and monitored with alarms. Mr. Chaput said they're double walled tanks with three levels of monitoring. Mr. Prior asked him how many gallons were involved, and Mr. Chaput said 27,000 gallons.

Ms. Petito asked about the noise that would be generated, and what steps they would take to minimize that. Mr. Chaput said the existing ones are outside; when they're in the building, the Hospital can control the noise better. They would end up doubling the existing generators from two to four. They're tested once a month for 30 minutes; every three months,

they do a four hour test; and during an outage, they would run as needed.

119 Ms. Petito asked if any members of the public would like to speak.

Jack Stole, the Facilities Manager of Seacoast Mental Health, spoke in support of the
 project. That side is low-visibility for the public, with a parking lot and utility shed on that side.
 Ms. Petito closed the public session.

Mr. Thielbar said if they absorbed this property into their existing property, they could have a 50 foot buffer. They're only looking to get the variance to go forward with the sale. Is \$50,000 of value to the town enough to grant a variance? Ms. Davies said the setback is from

the residential zoning line, and they'd still have to get a variance to site the building there,

whether it was part of the Hospital property or not. If it were further to the south, it would beimpacting homes, so they've done a good job of siting it in a low-impact area given the

129 constraints.

Mr. Prior went through the variance criteria. 1) The variance is not contrary to the public
interest and 2) The spirit of the ordinance is observed: yes, the entity that would be impacted
the most is the Hospital itself, so they'll do everything necessary to protect themselves and

133 others. He's concerned about having so much diesel fuel near a residential district, but the 134 abutters at Seacoast Mental Health do not object. He believes it meets criteria 1 and 2. 3) 135 Substantial justice will be done; yes, they have not been presented with any evidence that there 136 would be harm to the general public or abutters. 4) Values of surrounding properties will not be 137 diminished; yes, they've had no testimony regarding property values, and the main abutter did 138 not object. 5) Literal enforcement of the ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship: he's not 139 as convinced on this point, but it's an unusual parcel, and constrained by the buffer from the gas 140 line. It would be preferable if the gas line could be moved, but it would be at considerable cost. 141 Ms. Petito said the hardship is that they would have to move the gas line. The benefit is to 142 increase the generator capacity beyond emergency services. Mr. Prior said they could do that 143 without this building. 144 Mr. Prior moved to grant the application as presented with two conditions: 1) that there be no 145 further setback or height requests for this building, and 2) that the proposal go through Planning 146 Board approval. Mr. Thielbar seconded. Ms. Davies said the height requirement in this district is 147 86 feet, so she'd like to condition it to the two-story/35 foot maximum. Doug Eastman said it's 148 already restricted to 35 feet. Ms. Davies said an amendment was not necessary in that case. All 149 were in favor. 150 151 152 D. The application of Seacoast Farms Compost Products, Inc. for a variance from 153 Article 4, Section 4.2 Schedule I: Permitted Uses to permit the expansion of the 154 current town composting and organic recycling services provided at the Cross 155 Road Transfer Station, in accordance with RSA 674:54 II a. The subject property 156 is located in the R-1, Low Density Residential zoning district, Tax Map Parcel 157 #100-4. Case #20-4. 158 Alternate Esther Olson-Murphy will be voting on this issue. 159 Bob Kelly, the owner of Seacoast Farms Compost Products Inc, spoke about the 160 proposal. His company provides organic compost to households and businesses along the 161 Seacoast from their location in Fremont. Their site there is being redeveloped, and they are 162 looking for another property for their operation. They propose to take over the existing area of 163 the leaf composting at the Transfer Station, which is approximately 3-4 acres, and run this 164 function for the town in addition to running their operation. This would be a more desirable and 165 central location for his business; it would also save the town money, expand the operation's 166 hours, and provide a better compost material to residents. The Select Board approved trying 167 this for one year and reviewing to see if both parties would like to continue. Instead of using the 168 Cross Road entrance, they would open up the Kingston Road entrance to divert traffic off of 169 local roads. They are looking for a variance so that a private business could operate on public 170 land. 171 Mr. Prior said a variance granted by the ZBA doesn't have an expiration date, so there 172 would need to be some constraint on it, to address the concern that it could be sold. Mr. Kelly 173 said he has no intention to sell, but they could agree to make it non-transferable. Mr. Prior 174 asked how many trucks would be coming into the operation. Mr. Kelly said 15 or so per day at 175 peak times of the year, but more like 4-5 at other times. He typically gets to a point in the fall 176 where he stops taking in material for a period, although he would not shut it to residents.

Ms. Davies asked if there were materials other than leaves that would create an odor for the residents. Mr. Kelly said one option for material is a small amount of horse manure, but that could be subject to negotiation. However, that would not create odors. They wouldn't take any sludge or similar materials. They wouldn't be expanding the scope of the current operation, so there shouldn't be any additional odors.

182 Ms. Petito opened the discussion to the public.

183 Sheila Mullen of 4 Cross Road said she viewed the Seacoast Farms property in 184 Fremont, and it is a wooded site, not surrounded by homes as with the Transfer Station. This is 185 a low density residential zoning area. This is not a farm or an essential service, which would 186 permit a special exception in this district. The public health, safety, and welfare will not be protected, and it would affect property values in this area. They say the benefit to the town is to 187 188 get a free cubic yard of compost per year, but they already have the right to that. It's a conflict of 189 interest for Mr. Kelly, who is the chair of the Budget Recommendations Committee, to run 190 business on town property.

191 Teresa Kolb of 10 Cross Road said she was disappointed that residents were not invited 192 to give feedback at the Select Board meeting that led to the Memorandum of Understanding 193 with Seacoast Farms Products. This composting operation, with its expanded days of operation, 194 would have a large impact on their neighborhood; even if they opened a different entrance, 195 people would still come into her neighborhood looking to enter that way.

Travis Sawyer, also of 10 Cross Road, said they'd discussed acreage but not how high
the compost would be piled. The trucks would create noise, and put wear and tear on the road.
Clark Burns of 13 Cross Road said he'd only learned about this a few days ago. He

never would have moved there if he knew that a commercial compost operation would be
 moving into the Transfer Station. It's a conflict of interest to have Mr. Kelly be the chair of the
 Budget Recommendations Committee and to run this operation.

202Richard Carr of 4 Cross Road said he's concerned about any commercial business203moving into a residential area. He also questioned the process that led to this agreement.

Frederick Renz of 1 Connie Road said the town was not forthcoming in alerting the abutters of this proposal. He asked about the liability for the town if someone is hurt or killed in this commercial venture.

207 Mark Galante of 5 Farmington Road said he's opposed to the project because of the 208 potential for smells, noise, and rodents, as well as the effect on his property value.

Marye Carr of 4 Cross Road said manure does smell and that will affect property values. Regarding the trucks, she said the original proposal said 10-15 18 wheelers coming in from 111 daily, and they would be turning on a blind curve on a steep hill. There's an aquifer located on the property and that restricts what can be done there. It seems like corruption to have Mr. Kelly on the Budget Committee and running this operation.

John Gezzer of 16 Cross Road said he has concerns about smells and traffic, but his
main concern is his daughter, who has Down Syndrome and associated respiratory issues.
Compost creates bioaerosols which can contain fungi, bacteria, and viruses which could affect
her.

Travis Grieb of 1 Farmington Road said he was concerned about the trucks, smells, and the conflict of interest of putting this through. It sounds like they will grow the business. This would be more a benefit to the business than a benefit for the town. Cathy Edison of 12 Connie Road said her family enjoys the outdoors and the river, and this will impact their ability to be outdoors. She doesn't want the smell of manure in the neighborhood. She only recently heard about this proposal and is concerned about the process followed.

Charles Deon of 140 Kingston Road said if this expands after it is approved, it's hard to restrict that without a legal fight. The steep hill would cause loud braking sounds from the trucks coming in. There's no definite number of trucks that they've agreed to. There are health hazards from composting. They need more answers before any variances are granted.

Dan Jones of 181 Kingston Road said they've been there since the 70s and fought against a dump going in there. He's very concerned about this application saying only "organic materials," as that could encompass many different materials, not just leaves. There's no time limit on a variance, it runs with the land. One of the requirements is a hardship on the landowner, and they haven't heard any of that. They haven't heard about any pollution controls or monitoring. Composting should be on an impervious surface in an industrial area.

Dianne Hefford of 22 Greystone Road said they live in a residential area and don't want a manure smell in her area. The water table is high, and when it gets wet the smell would be even worse. She suggested looking at the size of the trucks shown on the Seacoast Farms website to get an idea of the impact they would have.

Sheila Mullen read a letter from Hayley Gallagher of 157 Kingston Road, who is
concerned about heavy traffic, noise, smell, and small animals. This is a neighborhood for
families that needs to be peaceful and safe.

Rob Kereage of 13 Great Hill Court said this is a residential area and they don't want to see a commercial operation going in. He's concerned about traffic, big trucks, the smell, and noise. He wouldn't have moved in if there were a compost business there at the time, so he does think it will affect property values.

Bill Rousseau at 2 Great Hill Court said when people miss the entrance on Cross Road,
they turn around in his driveway. If they move the entrance to Kingston Road, the big trucks will
still turn around in his cul de sac. It's dangerous for his family.

249 John Deon from 11 Cross Road said as a truck driver he's not as concerned about the 250 trucks, but smells and rodents will be a problem. The neighbors weren't notified about this 251 proposal. They're running out of room at the dump, so why would they expand operations? It will 252 affect his property value. He expressed his dissatisfaction with the ZBA over this process, but 253 Mr. Prior and Ms. Davies said that if people have concerns about process their issue is likely 254 with the Select Board, not this board. Ms. Davies emphasized that they are considering this 255 case for the first time, and haven't made any decisions. Mr. Prior said that the town has latitude 256 to do as it wishes with town land, but that does not apply to a commercial operation. While the 257 Select Board may have created a Memorandum of Understanding, it could not begin operations 258 without going through the zoning process.

Gail Nickerson of 14 Cross Road said her property is directly across from the Transfer
Station, and she will be seriously impacted by the traffic and smell of this operation. She won't
be able to sell her house if this goes through.

262 Sheila Mullen clarified that those complaining that they were not notified are likely not 263 direct abutters, but everyone is impacted by this proposal. Mr. Prior asked if she were a direct 264 abutter and as such was notified, and she said yes, she was notified. Several other abutters stated that they were direct abutters and were not notified. Mr. Eastman said the definition of an abutter is someone whose property directly abuts town property. Someone on the other side of the road would be considered an abutter, but anyone one property removed is not. Everyone required to be notified legally was notified. He will investigate any direct abutters with claims that they were not notified.

270 Dan Jones said that the Transfer Station property is a separate parcel of land from the 271 former landfill, so the Connie Road properties do not abut the property in question.

Ms. Petito asked if the applicant would like to respond to the points raised.

272

273 Mr. Kelly said there were some points made that are untrue. For example, there would 274 be no food waste or garbage, only leaves. The proposal to compost food was a town initiative 275 and not his. Leaves would not attract rodents. Regarding the expansion of the operation, it can't 276 get any bigger than it already is, it's constrained by the existing footprint. This has been a leaf 277 composting area all along. If there's a concern about horse manure, they don't have to take it. It 278 would have been a small piece of the materials anyway. He wants to help the town run the leaf 279 dump. Regarding noise, they're bringing in the same type of equipment. The time frame of six 280 days of operation is intended to spread out the traffic from the intensity on Saturday morning, 281 when neighbors are actually home. As part of the memorandum, he's required to have 282 insurance. Regarding odor, some people say they never smell anything, some say they do. In 283 Fremont, he asked people to call, email, or text him if there were any problem. The Select Board 284 wanted to make this a one-year thing and see if it's working. Regarding bioaerosols and dust, 285 they would keep the piles managed and the process small to minimize this. Regarding traffic, it 286 is a state road; if the larger trucks are a concern, they can limit the kind of trucks they will bring 287 in. Regarding the aguifer, leaves don't generate much nitrogen. The landfill was closed properly, 288 and the town has monitoring wells. Their operation is a fraction of what the landfill was. He is a 289 volunteer on the Budget Recommendation Committee, but there is no way that he could 290 influence the decision about his business by the Select Board or other town entities.

Ms. Petito asked what the hardship is for his business if he were not able to operate at this site. Mr. Kelly said he was trying to operate his business somewhere, and the town had a need. The hardship is that the town would lose an opportunity to improve their recycling program. The Sustainability Committee is trying to get the town to do things more sustainably, and this would be a "freebie" in that effort.

Sheila Mullen asked if the Board received the geophysical survey, and they said yes.
Ms. Petito closed the discussion to the public and after a short recess the Board began
deliberations.

299 Ms. Davies said she has concerns about the GZA Memorandum which raises issues like 300 the formal treatment of run-off, the need for a construction permit from the EPA, and a 301 stormwater pollution prevention plan, which weren't addressed as part of the application. Mr. 302 Thielbar said it's not clear what's proposed to be done or the scope of it. The town brings in 303 contractors to grind brush at the Transfer Station, but this is having a commercial operation set 304 up on town land and goes beyond running town activities. He doesn't see how the town would 305 manage the operation to ensure the business would follow the scope of the agreement. Mr. 306 Prior agreed and added that there is no hardship involved (criteria #5). The town is the owner, 307 not Mr. Kelly, and there's no hardship to the town. The MOU between Seacoast Farms Products 308 Inc. and the town is a draft and has not been signed, and should not be signed. Ms. OlsonMurphy said that there would need to be controls, and there aren't any. Mr. Prior said that he believes this operation would be a positive thing, but the ZBA makes permanent decisions that run with the land, and if they decided to sell the business there would be no controls on the scope, sending towns, etc. Ms. Petito agreed, saying she shares the abutters' concerns about the effect of the operation on the neighborhood.

Mr. Thielbar proposed that rather than going through the variance criteria, they should reject it as not having enough information. Mr. Prior disagreed, saying that left it open for the proposal to come back by carefully crafting the language, but he doesn't believe it will ever meet the hardship criteria.

318 Mr. Prior went through the variance criteria: 1) The variance is not contrary to the public 319 interest and 2) the spirit of the ordinance is observed: no. the spirit of the ordinance is whether 320 this is an appropriate use in a residential zone, and he does not think it's appropriate. If it were 321 identical to the use on the property now, it may be acceptable, but the application specifically 322 mentions an increase in scope. It would change the character of the neighborhood and threaten 323 public health, safety, or welfare. 3) Substantial justice is done: no, they've heard testimony that 324 the proposal has the potential to offer benefit to the applicant but it is outweighed by the harm to 325 the general public. 4) Values of surrounding properties will not be diminished. They generally 326 only accept expert testimony on this, but they had substantial input from abutters, and he does 327 believe the properties closest to this usage could be impacted. 5) Literal enforcement of the 328 ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship: no, the town as the owner of the property may 329 continue to do the work they've been doing on composting. They heard no testimony that 330 there's a literal hardship in denying this application. Ms. Petito said his alternative would be to 331 lease another property at market value, which is not considered a hardship.

332 333

334

335 336 337 Mr. Prior made a motion to deny the application because it does not meet variance criteria 1 - 5. Ms. Olson-Murphy seconded. All were in favor.

III. Other Business

A. Approval of Minutes: November 19, 2019 and January 21, 2020 Ms. Petito, discussing the November 19, 2019 minutes, asked that the spelling of Anthony Beairsto's name in line 24 be checked. [It is correct, he spelled it for the record during the meeting.] In line 131, "they don't have to take new input," she would like to add "on other issues".

- 343 Mr. Thielbar moved to accept the minutes to the November 19th meeting as amended. Mr.344 Merrill seconded. All were in favor.
- The January 21, 2020 minutes were tabled until the next meeting.
- 346 347

IV. Adjournment

348

349 Ms. Davies moved to adjourn. Ms. Olson-Murphy seconded. All were in favor and the meeting350 was adjourned at 9:50 PM.

351

- 352 Respectfully Submitted,
- 353 Joanna Bartell
- 354 Recording Secretary